

Fixed point subalgebras of lattice vertex operator algebras by an automorphism of order three

Kenichiro Tanabe^{*}

Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University,
Sapporo, Hokkaido, 060-0810, Japan
ktanabe@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp

Hiromichi Yamada[†]

Department of Mathematics,
Hitotsubashi University,
Kunitachi, Tokyo 186-8601, Japan
yamada@math.hit-u.ac.jp

September 30, 2006

Abstract

We study the fixed point subalgebra of a certain class of lattice vertex operator algebras by an automorphism of order 3, which is a lift of a fixed-point-free isometry of the underlying lattice. We classify the irreducible modules for the subalgebra. Moreover, the rationality and the C_2 -cofiniteness of the subalgebra are established. Our result contains the case of the vertex operator algebra associated with the Leech lattice.

^{*}Partially supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research No. 17740002

[†]Partially supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research No. 17540016

1 Introduction

Let V be a vertex operator algebra. For an automorphism g of V of finite order, the space $V^g = \{v \in V \mid gv = v\}$ of fixed points is a subalgebra of V called an orbifold of the vertex operator algebra V . It is conjectured in [7] that every irreducible V^g -module is contained in some irreducible untwisted or twisted V -module. It is also conjectured that V^g is rational if V is rational. These conjectures have important meanings in the theory of vertex operator algebras. However, it is difficult to investigate an orbifold in general, even if the original vertex operator algebra V is well understood.

In the case where V is the lattice vertex operator algebra V_Γ associated with a positive definite even lattice Γ and the automorphism g is a canonical lift θ of the -1 isometry $\alpha \mapsto -\alpha$ of the lattice Γ , the orbifold $V_\Gamma^\theta = V_\Gamma^+$ has been studied extensively. In fact, the representation theory of V_Γ^+ , that is, the classification of irreducible modules [3, 19] and the determination of fusion rules [1, 4], together with the C_2 -cofiniteness [2, 37] of V_Γ^+ are established.

In this paper we study an orbifold of a certain class of lattice vertex operator algebras by an automorphism of order 3. We start with a lattice $L \cong \sqrt{2} (A_2\text{-lattice})$ and a fixed-point-free isometry τ_1 of L of order 3. There are 12 cosets of L in its dual lattice $L^\perp$ and $L^\perp/L \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$. Using an even $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -code C of length ℓ and a self-orthogonal $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -code D of the same length, we construct a positive definite even lattice $L_{C \times D}$ of rank 2ℓ from the 12 cosets of L in $L^\perp$. We also consider an action of τ_1 on $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. The isometry τ_1 can be extended to a fixed-point-free isometry τ of $L_{C \times D}$ provided that C is invariant under the corresponding extension τ of τ_1 to $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)^\ell$. Our main concern is to classify the irreducible modules for the orbifold $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau$ of the lattice vertex operator algebra $V_{L_{C \times D}}$ by an automorphism τ of order 3 which is a lift of the isometry τ of $L_{C \times D}$. Here we use the same symbol τ to denote the lift of the isometry τ .

The vertex operator algebra $V_{L_{C \times D}}$ is simple, rational, C_2 -cofinite, and of CFT type. As shown in Section 2.2, the dual lattice $(L_{C \times D})^\perp$ of $L_{C \times D}$ is equal to $L_{C^\perp \times D^\perp}$, where $C^\perp$ (resp. $D^\perp$) is the dual code of C (resp. D). Then $V_{L_{(\lambda+C) \times (\gamma+D)}}$, $\lambda + C \in C^\perp/C$, $\gamma + D \in D^\perp/D$ form a complete set of representatives of equivalence classes of irreducible $V_{L_{C \times D}}$ -modules (cf. [8]). Such a $V_{L_{(\lambda+C) \times (\gamma+D)}}$ is τ -stable if and only if $\lambda \in C$. In addition to those irreducible $V_{L_{C \times D}}$ -modules, one can construct irreducible τ^i -twisted $V_{L_{C \times D}}$ -modules $V_{L_{C \times D}}^{T, \eta}(\tau^i)$, $\eta \in D^\perp \pmod{D}$ for $i = 1, 2$ by the method of [12, 28].

The orbifold $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau$ is a simple vertex operator algebra. The following is a list of known irreducible $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau$ -modules. Let $\zeta_3 = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1})$.

- (1) $V_{L_{C \times (\gamma+D)}}(\varepsilon) = \{u \in V_{L_{C \times (\gamma+D)}} \mid \tau u = \zeta_3^\varepsilon u\}$, $\gamma + D \in D^\perp/D$, $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}_3$.
- (2) $V_{L_{(\lambda+C) \times (\gamma+D)}}$, $0 \neq \lambda + C \in (C^\perp/C)_{\equiv \tau}$, $\gamma + D \in D^\perp/D$, where $(C^\perp/C)_{\equiv \tau}$ is the set of τ -orbits in $C^\perp/C$.
- (3) $V_{L_{C \times D}}^{T,\eta}(\tau^i)[\varepsilon] = \{u \in V_{L_{C \times D}}^{T,\eta}(\tau^i) \mid \tau^i u = \zeta_3^\varepsilon u\}$, $\eta \in D^\perp \pmod{D}$, $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}_3$, $i = 1, 2$.

These irreducible $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau$ -modules are inequivalent each other (cf. [20, 34]). The above mentioned conjecture says that any irreducible $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau$ -module is isomorphic to one of these.

In our argument we deal with not only simple current extension (cf. [13]) but also certain nonsimple current extension. Simple current extension is rather easy, whereas nonsimple current extension is complicated and difficult to study. In order to avoid the difficulty, we restrict ourselves to the special case where C is a τ -invariant self-dual $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -code with minimum weight at least 4 and D is a self-dual $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -code. In this case the lattice $L_{C \times D}$ is unimodular and there is a unique irreducible $V_{L_{C \times D}}$ -module, namely, $V_{L_{C \times D}}$ itself. Likewise, there is a unique irreducible τ^i -twisted $V_{L_{C \times D}}$ -module $V_{L_{C \times D}}^{T,\mathbf{0}}(\tau^i)$, $i = 1, 2$, where $\mathbf{0}$ is the zero codeword. Under this hypothesis we have the following theorem (see Theorem 7.10 below).

Theorem. *Suppose C is a τ -invariant self-dual $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -code with minimum weight at least 4 and D is a self-dual $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -code. Then the vertex operator algebra $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau$ is simple, rational, C_2 -cofinite, and of CFT type. Moreover, every irreducible $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau$ -module is isomorphic to one of $V_{L_{C \times D}}(\varepsilon)$, $V_{L_{C \times D}}^{T,\mathbf{0}}(\tau^i)[\varepsilon]$, $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}_3$, $i = 1, 2$.*

One of the most important examples of orbifold is the fixed point subalgebra V_Λ^θ of the Leech lattice vertex operator algebra V_Λ by the automorphism θ of order 2. This orbifold was first studied by I. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, and in fact it was used for the construction of the moonshine vertex operator algebra $V^\natural$ (cf. [22]). We note that the Leech lattice Λ can be expressed as $L_{C \times D}$ for some C and D which satisfy the hypothesis of the theorem.

A remarkable property of $V^\natural$ is that its automorphism group $\text{Aut } V^\natural$ is isomorphic to the Monster $\mathbb{M}$. The construction of $V^\natural$ in [22] is based on an element of order 2 in $\mathbb{M}$, namely, a $2B$ -element. In [22, Introduction],

it is suggested that an analogous construction may be possible for some appropriate elements in $\mathbb{M}$ of order 3, 5, 7, and 13, that is, elements of prime order p such that $(p-1)|24$. The classification of irreducible modules, the determination of fusion rules, and the rationality for the orbifold V_Λ^g by such an element g in $\mathbb{M}$ should play an important role in those expected construction. This is the motivation for the present work.

The organization of the paper is as follows. Section 2 is devoted to the preliminaries. In Section 2.1 we collect basic terminology for later use. In Section 2.2 we introduce the lattice $L_{C \times D}$ and study its properties. Actually, we construct $L_{C \times D}$ in an orthogonal sum $(L^\perp)^{\oplus \ell}$ of ℓ copies of $L^\perp$. We also introduce a central extension $\hat{L}_{C \times D}$ of $L_{C \times D}$ by a group $\langle \kappa_{24} \rangle$ of order 24 and discuss an action of a lift of the isometry τ of the lattice $L_{C \times D}$. For the definition of vertex operators for irreducible modules of the vertex operator algebra associated with the lattice L , we should consider a central extension of $L^\perp$ by a group whose order is a multiple of 12. In our case we need to define a lift of the isometry τ_1 of L and choose a section so that it is relevant to the lift. For this purpose we consider a central extension $\widehat{L}^\perp$ of $L^\perp$ by $\langle \kappa_{24} \rangle$ and fix a 2-cocycle which is suitable for the lift of τ_1 . The central extension $\hat{L}_{C \times D}$ is defined as a subgroup of a quotient group of a direct product of ℓ copies of $\widehat{L}^\perp$. The isometry τ of $L_{C \times D}$, which is an extension of τ_1 , can be lifted to an automorphism of $\hat{L}_{C \times D}$. In Section 2.3 the vertex operator algebra $V_{L_{C \times D}}$ is defined by using $\widehat{L}^\perp$. The automorphism τ of $\hat{L}_{C \times D}$ naturally induce an automorphism of $V_{L_{C \times D}}$ of order 3, which is again denoted by τ .

In Section 3 we discuss in detail the irreducible τ^i -twisted $V_{L_{C \times D}}$ -modules $V_{L_{C \times D}}^{T, \eta}(\tau^i)$, $i = 1, 2$, which are obtained by the method of [12, 28]. We describe those irreducible τ^i -twisted $V_{L_{C \times D}}$ -modules as modules for $(V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes \ell}$. The classification of irreducible modules for the orbifold $V_L^{\tau_1}$ was accomplished in [36]. Our argument here is based on the result. In [10, 36], a central extension of L by a group $\langle \kappa_6 \rangle$ of order 6 was used. We note that V_L in the notation of [10, 36] can be embedded naturally into our $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}} \subset V_{L_{C \times D}}$. Thus the results of [10, 36] are applicable.

In Section 4 we determine certain fusion rules for $V_L^{\tau_1}$, which will be necessary in Section 5. In fact, these fusion rules are crucial for our arguments.

The proof of the main theorem is divided into three steps. In Section 5 we begin with the classification of irreducible modules for the orbifold $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ of $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}$ by the automorphism τ . This is the case where both of C and D are the zero code. The rationality and the C_2 -cofiniteness of $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ are also

obtained. Moreover, some of the fusion rules are computed.

Next, in Section 6 we classify the irreducible modules for $V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^\tau$ (Theorem 6.2 below), which is the case where C is the zero code $\{\mathbf{0}\}$. In this case only simple current extension is involved and the argument is relatively straightforward. The argument here is similar to that in Section 5. The rationality and the C_2 -cofiniteness of $V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^\tau$, together with some of the fusion rules are also obtained.

Section 7 consists of two subsections. In Section 7.1 we use Zhu's theory to study the irreducible $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ -modules contained in a $V_{L_{C(\mu) \times \mathbf{0}}}^\tau$ -module, where $C(\mu)$ is the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -code generated by μ and $\tau(\mu)$. The vertex operator algebra $V_{L_{C(\mu) \times \mathbf{0}}}^\tau$ is a special case of $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau$ with $C = C(\mu)$ and $D = \{\mathbf{0}\}$. The results obtained here will be necessary in Section 7.2. We do not discuss the classification of irreducible modules nor the rationality for the vertex operator algebra $V_{L_{C(\mu) \times \mathbf{0}}}^\tau$. Note that $V_{L_{C(\mu) \times \mathbf{0}}}^\tau$ is a nonsimple current extension of $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$.

In Section 7.2 we study the orbifold $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau$ and prove the main theorem under the hypothesis that C is a τ -invariant self-dual $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -code with minimum weight at least 4 and D is a self-dual $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -code. We first show that any $\mathbb{N}$ -graded weak $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau$ -module contains one of the irreducible $V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^\tau$ -modules $V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^\tau(\varepsilon)$, $V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^{T, \mathbf{0}}(\tau^i)[\varepsilon]$, $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}_3$, $i = 1, 2$. This fact enables us to classify the irreducible $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau$ -modules and establish the rationality of $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau$. Our argument for the proof of the main theorem fails if the minimum weight of C is 2 (see Remark 7.2 below). The case $L_{C \times D} \cong E_8$ -lattice is such an example.

We should make a few remarks on the simplicity and the CFT type property. Most of the vertex operator algebras discussed in this paper are clearly simple and of CFT type. In such a case we omit the proof of these properties.

2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, $\zeta_n = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/n)$ is a primitive n -th root of unity for a positive integer n . For simplicity, 0, 1 and 2 are sometimes understood to be elements of $\mathbb{Z}_3$.

2.1 Basic terminology

We recall some notation for untwisted or twisted modules for a vertex operator algebra $(V, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega)$. A basic reference to twisted modules is [14]. For

untwisted modules, see also [29]. Let g be an automorphism of V of finite order T . Set $V^r = \{v \in V \mid gv = \zeta_T^r v\}$, so that $V = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}/T\mathbb{Z}} V^r$.

Definition 2.1. A weak g -twisted V -module M is a vector space equipped with a linear map

$$Y_M(\cdot, x) : v \in V \mapsto Y_M(v, x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Q}} v_n x^{-n-1} \in (\text{End } M)\{x\}$$

which satisfies the following conditions.

- (1) $Y_M(v, x) = \sum_{n \in r/T + \mathbb{Z}} v_n x^{-n-1}$ for $v \in V^r$.
- (2) $v_n w = 0$ if $n \gg 0$, where $v \in V$ and $w \in M$.
- (3) $Y_M(\mathbf{1}, x) = \text{id}_M$.
- (4) For $u \in V^r$ and $v \in V$, the g -twisted Jacobi identity holds.

$$\begin{aligned} x_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0}\right) Y_M(u, x_1) Y_M(v, x_2) - x_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_2 - x_1}{-x_0}\right) Y_M(v, x_2) Y_M(u, x_1) \\ = x_2^{-1} \left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2}\right)^{-r/T} \delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2}\right) Y_M(Y(u, x_0)v, x_2). \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

For subsets A, B of V and a subset X of a weak g -twisted V -module M , set $A \cdot B = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{u_n v \mid u \in A, v \in B, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $A \cdot X = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{u_n w \mid u \in A, w \in X, n \in (1/T)\mathbb{Z}\}$. Then it follows that $(A \cdot B) \cdot X = A \cdot (B \cdot X)$ by [32, Lemma 3.12] and [36, Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6].

Let $\mathbb{N}$ be the set of nonnegative integers.

Definition 2.2. A $\frac{1}{T}\mathbb{N}$ -graded weak g -twisted V -module M is a weak g -twisted V -module with a $\frac{1}{T}\mathbb{N}$ -grading $M = \bigoplus_{n \in \frac{1}{T}\mathbb{N}} M(n)$ such that

$$v_m M(n) \subset M(n + \text{wt}(v) - m - 1) \tag{2.2}$$

for any homogeneous vectors $v \in V$.

A $\frac{1}{T}\mathbb{N}$ -graded weak g -twisted V -module here is called an admissible g -twisted V -module in [14]. Without loss we can shift the grading of a $\frac{1}{T}\mathbb{N}$ -graded weak g -twisted V -module M so that $M(0) \neq 0$ if $M \neq 0$. We call such an $M(0)$ the top level of M .

Definition 2.3. A g -twisted V -module M is a weak g -twisted V -module with a $\mathbb{C}$ -grading $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} M_\lambda$, where $M_\lambda = \{w \in M \mid \omega_1 w = \lambda w\}$. Moreover, each M_λ is a finite dimensional space and for any fixed λ , $M_{\lambda+n/T} = 0$ for all sufficiently small integers n .

A g -twisted V -module is sometimes called an ordinary g -twisted V -module. Any g -twisted V -module is a $\frac{1}{T}\mathbb{N}$ -graded weak g -twisted V -module (cf. [14, Lemma 3.4]).

Definition 2.4. A vertex operator algebra V is said to be g -rational if every $\frac{1}{T}\mathbb{N}$ -graded weak g -twisted V -module is a direct sum of irreducible $\frac{1}{T}\mathbb{N}$ -graded weak g -twisted V -modules.

In the case where $g = 1$, we omit the term “ g -twisted” in the above definitions. For instance, a 1-twisted weak V -module is simply called a weak V -module. A vertex operator algebra V is said to be *rational* if every $\mathbb{N}$ -graded weak V -module is a direct sum of irreducible $\mathbb{N}$ -graded weak V -modules.

There is an important intrinsic property of a vertex operator algebra, namely, the C_2 -cofiniteness. Let $C_2(V) = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{u_{-2}v \mid u, v \in V\}$. More generally, we set $C_2(M) = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{u_{-2}w \mid u \in V, w \in M\}$ for a weak V -module M . If the dimension of the quotient space $V/C_2(V)$ is finite, V is said to be C_2 -cofinite. Similarly, a weak V -module M is said to be C_2 -cofinite if $M/C_2(M)$ is of finite dimension. The notion of C_2 -cofiniteness of a vertex operator algebra was first introduced by Zhu [38]. The subspace $C_2(M)$ of a weak V -module M was studied in Li [31].

If V has no proper submodules, then V is said to be *simple*. If $V = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n$ and $V_0 = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$, then V is said to be of *CFT type*. Here $V_n = \{u \in V \mid \omega_1 u = nu\}$ is the homogeneous subspace of weight n .

Theorem 2.5. [2, Proposition 5.6 and Corollary 5.7] *Suppose V is C_2 -cofinite and of CFT type. Then the following assertions hold.*

- (1) *Every weak V -module is an $\mathbb{N}$ -graded weak V -module.*
- (2) *Every irreducible weak V -module is an irreducible ordinary V -module.*

Theorem 2.5 says that for a vertex operator algebra which is C_2 -cofinite and of CFT type, the classification of irreducible V -modules means the classification of irreducible weak V -modules.

For $h \in \text{Aut} V$ and a weak (resp. $\frac{1}{T}\mathbb{N}$ -graded weak) g -twisted V -module (M, Y_M) , we define a weak (resp. $\frac{1}{T}\mathbb{N}$ -graded weak) $h^{-1}gh$ -twisted V -module $(M \circ h, Y_{M \circ h})$ by $M \circ h = M$ as vector spaces and $Y_{M \circ h}(u, x) = Y(hu, x)$. Note that if M is irreducible, so is $M \circ h$.

Let G be an automorphism group of V and V^G the vertex operator subalgebra of G -invariants of V . Note that for a V -module M and $h \in G$, $M \circ h \cong M$ as V^G -modules. A set $\mathcal{S}$ of irreducible V -modules is said to

be G -stable if for any $M \in \mathcal{S}$ and $h \in G$ there exists $W \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $M \circ h \cong W$. An irreducible V -module M is said to be G -stable if $M \circ g \cong M$ for all $g \in G$. It is shown in [17, Theorem 4.4] that if V is simple and G is of finite order, then V^G is simple.

We recall the definition of intertwining operators for V -modules which was introduced in [21].

Definition 2.6. Let M^i , $i = 1, 2, 3$ be V -modules. An intertwining operator of type $\begin{pmatrix} M^3 \\ M^1 \ M^2 \end{pmatrix}$ is a linear map

$$\begin{aligned} I(\cdot, x) : M^1 &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M^2, M^3)\{x\}, \\ v &\mapsto I(v, x) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{C}} v_{\gamma} x^{-\gamma-1}, \end{aligned}$$

which satisfies the following conditions: Let $u \in V, v \in M^1$, and $w \in M^2$.

- (1) For any fixed $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$, $v_{\gamma+n}w = 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ sufficiently large.
- (2) $I(\omega_0 v, x) = \frac{d}{dx} I(v, x)$.
- (3) The Jacobi identity holds:

$$\begin{aligned} &x_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0}\right) Y_{M^3}(u, x_1) I(v, x_2) w - x_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{-x_2 + x_1}{x_0}\right) I(v, x_2) Y_{M^2}(u, x_1) w \\ &= x_2^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2}\right) I(Y_{M^1}(u, x_0) v, x_2) w. \end{aligned}$$

The set $I_V\left(\begin{smallmatrix} M^3 \\ M^1 \ M^2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ of all intertwining operators of type $\begin{pmatrix} M^3 \\ M^1 \ M^2 \end{pmatrix}$ is a vector space. Its dimension is called the *fusion rule* of type $\begin{pmatrix} M^3 \\ M^1 \ M^2 \end{pmatrix}$.

We denote by $\mathcal{M}$ the set of all irreducible V -modules up to isomorphism. Let $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{M}$ be a free $\mathbb{Z}$ -module with basis $\mathcal{M}$. For $M^1, M^2 \in \mathcal{M}$, we use a notation

$$M^1 \times M^2 = \sum_{M^3 \in \mathcal{M}} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} I_V\left(\begin{smallmatrix} M^3 \\ M^1 \ M^2 \end{smallmatrix}\right) M^3 \in \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{M}$$

to represent the fusion rules. Note that $M^1 \times M^2 = M^2 \times M^1$ by [21, Proposition 5.4.7]. We write $\sum_{M \in \mathcal{M}} S_M M \geq \sum_{M \in \mathcal{M}} T_M M$ when $S_M \geq T_M$ for all $M \in \mathcal{M}$.

Define two binary operations

$$u * v = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{\text{wt} u}{i} u_{i-1} v, \quad u \circ v = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{\text{wt} u}{i} u_{i-2} v.$$

for $u, v \in V$ with u being homogeneous and extend $*$ and $\circ$ for arbitrary $u \in V$ by linearity. Let $O(V)$ be the subspace of V spanned by all $u \circ v$ for $u, v \in V$. It is a two sided ideal with respect to the operation $*$. Thus $*$ induces an operation in $A(V) = V/O(V)$. It is shown in [38, Theorem 2.1.1] that $(A(V), *)$ is an associative algebra. We call $A(V)$ the *Zhu algebra* for V .

Theorem 2.7. [38, Theorem 2.1.2] *Let $M = \oplus_{n=0}^{\infty} M(n)$ be an $\mathbb{N}$ -graded weak V -module. Define $o(u) = u(\text{wt}(u) - 1)$ for homogeneous $u \in V$ and extend $o(u)$ for arbitrary $u \in V$ by linearity. Then $o(u)$ acts on the top level $M(0)$ of M . Moreover, $o(u * v)|_{M(0)} = o(u)|_{M(0)} o(v)|_{M(0)}$ for $u, v \in V$ and $o(v)|_{M(0)} = 0$ if $v \in O(V)$. That is, $M(0)$ is an $A(V)$ -module.*

2.2 Lattice $L_{C \times D}$

We follow the notation in [10, 24, 25, 36]. Let L be $\sqrt{2}$ times an ordinary root lattice of type A_2 and let β_1, β_2 be a $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of L such that $\langle \beta_1, \beta_1 \rangle = \langle \beta_2, \beta_2 \rangle = 4$ and $\langle \beta_1, \beta_2 \rangle = -2$. Set $\beta_0 = -\beta_1 - \beta_2$. Let τ_1 be an isometry of L induced by the permutation $\beta_1 \mapsto \beta_2 \mapsto \beta_0 \mapsto \beta_1$. Then τ_1 is fixed-point-free and of order 3. We remark that τ_1 is denoted by τ in [36].

There are 12 cosets of L in its dual lattice $L^\perp = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L \mid \langle \alpha, L \rangle \subset \mathbb{Z}\}$. These 12 cosets are parametrized by $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $\mathbb{Z}_3$. Let $(L^\perp)^{\oplus \ell}$ be an orthogonal sum of ℓ copies of $L^\perp$. We shall construct a lattice $L_{C \times D}$ in $(L^\perp)^{\oplus \ell}$ from those 12 cosets of L by using a $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -code C and a $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -code D . Before it, we introduce a certain isometry group of $(L^\perp)^{\oplus \ell}$.

First, τ_1 can be extended to an isometry of $L^\perp$. Let $\tilde{H}_\ell$ be a direct product of ℓ copies of the group $\langle \tau_1 \rangle$ generated by τ_1 . Each element $g = (g_1, \dots, g_\ell)$ of $\tilde{H}_\ell$ transforms $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_\ell \in (L^\perp)^{\oplus \ell}$ as $g(\alpha) = g_1(\alpha_1) + \dots + g_\ell(\alpha_\ell)$, where $g_s \in \langle \tau_1 \rangle$ and α_s is the s -th component of α . Let $\tau = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_1) \in \tilde{H}_\ell$. Our main concern is the fixed point subalgebra of a certain lattice vertex operator algebra by a lift of τ . A symmetric group S_ℓ of degree ℓ acts on $(L^\perp)^{\oplus \ell}$ by permuting the components. Let $\tilde{G}_\ell$ be an isometry group of $(L^\perp)^{\oplus \ell}$ generated by $\tilde{H}_\ell$ and S_ℓ , which is a semidirect product $\tilde{H}_\ell \rtimes S_\ell$ of $\tilde{H}_\ell$ by S_ℓ .

Now, we discuss a $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -code and a $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -code. A $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -code of length ℓ means an additive subgroup of $\mathcal{K}^\ell$, where $\mathcal{K} = \{0, a, b, c\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ is Klein's four-group. We call it a $\mathcal{K}$ -code also. For $x, y \in \mathcal{K}$, define

$$x \circ y = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = y \neq 0, \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \text{if } x \neq y, x \neq 0, y \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \text{ or } y = 0, \end{cases}$$

$$x \cdot y = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \neq y, x \neq 0, y \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that $2(xy) \equiv x \cdot y \pmod{2\mathbb{Z}}$. For $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell), \mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_\ell) \in \mathcal{K}^\ell$, let $\langle \lambda, \mu \rangle_{\mathcal{K}} = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \lambda_i \cdot \mu_i \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. The orthogonal form $(\lambda, \mu) \mapsto \langle \lambda, \mu \rangle_{\mathcal{K}}$ on $\mathcal{K}^\ell$ was used in [24, 27]. For a $\mathcal{K}$ -code C of length ℓ , we define its dual code by

$$C^\perp = \{\lambda \in \mathcal{K}^\ell \mid \langle \lambda, \mu \rangle_{\mathcal{K}} = 0 \text{ for all } \mu \in C\}.$$

A $\mathcal{K}$ -code C is said to be self-orthogonal if $C \subset C^\perp$ and self-dual if $C = C^\perp$. For $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell) \in \mathcal{K}^\ell$, its support is defined to be $\text{supp}_{\mathcal{K}}(\lambda) = \{i \mid \lambda_i \neq 0\}$. The cardinality of $\text{supp}_{\mathcal{K}}(\lambda)$ is called the weight of λ . We denote the weight of λ by $\text{wt}_{\mathcal{K}}(\lambda)$. A $\mathcal{K}$ -code C is said to be even if $\text{wt}_{\mathcal{K}}(\lambda)$ is even for every $\lambda \in C$.

We consider an action of τ_1 on $\mathcal{K}$ such that $\tau_1(0) = 0, \tau_1(a) = b, \tau_1(b) = c$, and $\tau_1(c) = a$. Moreover, we consider a componentwise action of $\tilde{H}_\ell$ on $\mathcal{K}^\ell$, so that τ acts on $\mathcal{K}^\ell$ by $\tau(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell) = (\tau_1(\lambda_1), \dots, \tau_1(\lambda_\ell))$. Since S_ℓ acts on $\mathcal{K}^\ell$ by permuting the components, $\tilde{G}_\ell$ acts on $\mathcal{K}^\ell$ naturally. We denote by $(\mathcal{K}^\ell)_{\equiv \tau}$ the set of all τ -orbits in $\mathcal{K}^\ell$. For simplicity of notation, we sometimes denote a τ -orbit in $\mathcal{K}^\ell$ by its representative $\lambda \in \mathcal{K}^\ell$. Note that $\tilde{G}_\ell$ also acts on $(\mathcal{K}^\ell)_{\equiv \tau}$ since τ is an element of the center of $\tilde{G}_\ell$.

For $\mu \in \mathcal{K}^\ell$, $C(\mu)$ denotes the $\mathcal{K}$ -code generated by μ and $\tau(\mu)$. Note that $C(\mu)$ is τ -invariant since $\mu + \tau(\mu) + \tau^2(\mu) = \mathbf{0}$, where $\mathbf{0} = (0, \dots, 0)$. This notation will be used in Sections 4, 5, and 7.

Lemma 2.8. [27, Lemma 2.8] *Let C be a $\mathcal{K}$ -code of length ℓ .*

- (1) *If C is even, then C is self-orthogonal.*
- (2) *If C is τ -invariant, then C is even if and only if C is self-orthogonal.*

Proof. Suppose C is even. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell), \mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_\ell) \in C$ and set $S_\lambda = \text{supp}_{\mathcal{K}}(\lambda), S_\mu = \text{supp}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mu)$. We also set $I = \{i \in S_\lambda \cap S_\mu \mid \lambda_i \neq \mu_i\}$. Then $\lambda_i \cdot \mu_i$ is 1 if $i \in I$ and 0 if $i \notin I$. Hence $\langle \lambda, \mu \rangle_{\mathcal{K}} = |I| + 2\mathbb{Z}$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} |S_\lambda| + |S_\mu| &= 2|S_\lambda \cap S_\mu| + |S_\lambda - S_\mu| + |S_\mu - S_\lambda|, \\ S_{\lambda+\mu} &= I \cup (S_\lambda - S_\mu) \cup (S_\mu - S_\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Since $|S_\lambda|, |S_\mu|$, and $|S_{\lambda+\mu}|$ are all even, $|I|$ is also even. Thus $\langle \lambda, \mu \rangle_{\mathcal{K}} = 0$ and (1) holds. Next, suppose C is τ -invariant and self-orthogonal. For $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell) \in C$, we have $\lambda_i \cdot \tau(\lambda_i) = 1$ or 0 according as $i \in S_\lambda$ or not. Hence $\langle \lambda, \tau(\lambda) \rangle_{\mathcal{K}} = |S_\lambda| + 2\mathbb{Z}$. Since $\tau(\lambda) \in C$ and $\langle \lambda, \tau(\lambda) \rangle_{\mathcal{K}} = 0$ by our hypothesis, $|S_\lambda|$ is even. Thus (2) holds. $\square$

Remark 2.9. A self-orthogonal $\mathcal{K}$ -code is not necessarily even. For instance, the $\mathcal{K}$ -code $C = \{(0, 0, \dots, 0), (a, 0, \dots, 0)\}$ is self-orthogonal but it is not even.

A $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -code of length ℓ is a subspace of the vector space $\mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$. For $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_\ell)$, $\delta = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$, we consider the ordinary inner product $\langle \gamma, \delta \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_3} = \sum_{i=1}^\ell \gamma_i \delta_i \in \mathbb{Z}_3$. The dual code $D^\perp$ of a $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -code D is defined to be

$$D^\perp = \{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell \mid \langle \gamma, \delta \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_3} = 0 \text{ for all } \delta \in D\}.$$

Then D is said to be self-orthogonal if $D \subset D^\perp$ and self-dual if $D = D^\perp$.

We define the support and the weight of $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$ in the same way as before. Thus $\text{supp}_{\mathbb{Z}_3}(\gamma) = \{i \mid \gamma_i \neq 0\}$ and $\text{wt}_{\mathbb{Z}_3}(\gamma)$ is the cardinality of $\text{supp}_{\mathbb{Z}_3}(\gamma)$. Note that $\text{wt}_{\mathbb{Z}_3}(\gamma) \equiv \langle \gamma, \gamma \rangle \pmod{3\mathbb{Z}}$. For $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$, $D(\gamma)$ denotes the $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -code generated by γ . This notation will be used in Sections 4 and 5.

We shall use the following lemma later.

Lemma 2.10. *Let D be a self-orthogonal $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -code of length ℓ . Then $\text{wt}_{\mathbb{Z}_3}(\delta - \gamma) \equiv \text{wt}_{\mathbb{Z}_3}(\delta) \pmod{3\mathbb{Z}}$ for any $\gamma \in D$ and $\delta \in D^\perp$.*

Proof. By the hypothesis we have $\langle \gamma, \gamma \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_3} = 0$ and $\langle \delta, \gamma \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_3} = 0$. Hence $\langle \delta - \gamma, \delta - \gamma \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_3} = \langle \delta, \delta \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_3}$ and the assertion holds. $\square$

We consider the trivial action of τ_1 on $\mathbb{Z}_3$, that is, $\tau_1(j) = j$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}_3$. Then $\tilde{H}_\ell$ acts trivially on $\mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$. Since S_ℓ acts on $\mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$ by permuting the components, $\tilde{G}_\ell$ acts on $\mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$ naturally. We have defined the action of the group $\tilde{G}_\ell$ on three different sets, namely, $(L^\perp)^{\oplus \ell}$, $\mathcal{K}^\ell$, and $\mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$. These three actions are necessary for later arguments.

We use the same notation as in [10, 24, 25, 36] to denote the 12 cosets $L^{(x,i)}$, $x \in \mathcal{K}$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ of L in its dual lattice $L^\perp$. For each $x \in \mathcal{K}$ we assign $\beta(x) \in L^\perp$ by $\beta(0) = 0$, $\beta(a) = \beta_2/2$, $\beta(b) = \beta_0/2$, and $\beta(c) = \beta_1/2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} L^{(x,i)} &= \beta(x) + \frac{i}{3}(-\beta_1 + \beta_2) + L \\ &= \{\beta(x) + (-\frac{i}{3} + m_1)\beta_1 + (\frac{i}{3} + m_2)\beta_2 \mid m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}\}. \end{aligned}$$

For $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell) \in \mathcal{K}^\ell$ and $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$, let

$$L_{(\lambda, \gamma)} = L^{(\lambda_1, \gamma_1)} \oplus \dots \oplus L^{(\lambda_\ell, \gamma_\ell)} \subset (L^\perp)^{\oplus \ell}.$$

Moreover, for $\mu \in \mathcal{K}^\ell$, $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$, $P \subset \mathcal{K}^\ell$, and $Q \subset \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$, set

$$L_{\mu \times Q} = \bigcup_{\gamma \in Q} L_{(\mu, \gamma)}, \quad L_{P \times \delta} = \bigcup_{\lambda \in P} L_{(\lambda, \delta)}, \quad L_{P \times Q} = \bigcup_{\lambda \in P, \gamma \in Q} L_{(\lambda, \gamma)}.$$

For a $\mathcal{K}$ -code C of length ℓ and a $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -code D of the same length, $L_{C \times D}$ is an additive subgroup of $(L^\perp)^{\oplus \ell}$. However, $L_{C \times D}$ is not an integral lattice in general. In the case where $C = \mathcal{K}^\ell$ and $D = \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$, $L_{C \times D}$ coincides with $(L^\perp)^{\oplus \ell}$. If $C = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ and $D = \{\mathbf{0}\}$, then $L_{\{\mathbf{0}\} \times \{\mathbf{0}\}} = L_{(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0})} = L^{\oplus \ell}$, where $\mathbf{0} = (0, \dots, 0)$.

Denote by $\beta_i^{(s)}$ the element $\beta_i \in L$ in the s -th entry of $(L^\perp)^{\oplus \ell}$. Similarly, we denote $\beta(x) \in L^\perp$ in the s -th entry by $\beta(x)^{(s)}$. Then any element $\alpha \in L_{(\lambda, \gamma)}$ with $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell) \in \mathcal{K}^\ell$ and $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$ can be written in the form

$$\alpha = \sum_{s=1}^{\ell} \left(\beta(\lambda_s)^{(s)} + \left(-\frac{\gamma_s}{3} + m_1^{(s)}\right) \beta_1^{(s)} + \left(\frac{\gamma_s}{3} + m_2^{(s)}\right) \beta_2^{(s)} \right) \quad (2.3)$$

for some $m_1^{(s)}, m_2^{(s)} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let $\beta \in L_{(\mu, \delta)}$ with $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_\ell) \in \mathcal{K}^\ell$ and $\delta = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$ be

$$\beta = \sum_{s=1}^{\ell} \left(\beta(\mu_s)^{(s)} + \left(-\frac{\delta_s}{3} + n_1^{(s)}\right) \beta_1^{(s)} + \left(\frac{\delta_s}{3} + n_2^{(s)}\right) \beta_2^{(s)} \right) \quad (2.4)$$

for $n_1^{(s)}, n_2^{(s)} \in \mathbb{Z}$. In order to describe the inner product $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ in $(L^\perp)^{\oplus \ell}$, we denote the elements of $\mathcal{K} = \{0, a, b, c\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ by

$$0 \leftrightarrow (0, 0), \quad a \leftrightarrow (0, 1), \quad b \leftrightarrow (1, 1), \quad c \leftrightarrow (1, 0) \quad (2.5)$$

and let $\lambda_s = (\lambda_{s,1}, \lambda_{s,2}), \mu_s = (\mu_{s,1}, \mu_{s,2}) \in \mathcal{K}$ in this notation. Thus $\lambda_{s,i}, \mu_{s,i} \in \{0, 1\}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \equiv \sum_{s=1}^{\ell} \left(\lambda_s \circ \mu_s + \frac{4}{3} \gamma_s \delta_s + \lambda_{s,1}(\delta_s + n_2^{(s)}) + \lambda_{s,2}(\delta_s + n_1^{(s)}) \right. \\ \left. + \mu_{s,1}(\gamma_s + m_2^{(s)}) + \mu_{s,2}(\gamma_s + m_1^{(s)}) \right) \pmod{2\mathbb{Z}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

The inner product modulo $\mathbb{Z}$ is (cf. the proof of [24, Theorem 5.7])

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \equiv \sum_{s=1}^{\ell} \left(\frac{1}{2} \lambda_s \cdot \mu_s + \frac{1}{3} \gamma_s \delta_s \right) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}. \quad (2.7)$$

Let $(L_{C \times D})^\perp = \{\alpha \in (\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L)^{\oplus \ell} \mid \langle \alpha, L_{C \times D} \rangle \subset \mathbb{Z}\}$ be the dual lattice of $L_{C \times D}$ for a $\mathcal{K}$ -code C and a $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -code D of length ℓ .

Lemma 2.11. $(L_{C \times D})^\perp = L_{C^\perp \times D^\perp}$.

Proof. Since $L_{C \times D} \supset L^{\oplus \ell}$, $(L_{C \times D})^\perp$ lies in $(L^\perp)^\ell$. Hence the assertion follows from (2.7). $\square$

By the above lemma, we see that $L_{C \times D}$ is an integral lattice if and only if both of C and D are self-orthogonal. The first assertion of the next lemma follows from (2.6). The second assertion is a special case of the above lemma (cf. [24, Theorems 5.6, 5.7]).

Lemma 2.12. (1) *If C is even and D is self-orthogonal, then $L_{C \times D}$ is an even lattice.*

(2) *If C and D are self-dual, then $L_{C \times D}$ is a unimodular lattice.*

Suppose C is a τ -invariant even $\mathcal{K}$ -code of length ℓ and D is a self-orthogonal $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -code of the same length. Then $L_{C \times D}$ is a positive definite even lattice by Lemma 2.12. The isometry τ_1 of $L^\perp$ permutes the cosets $L^{(x,i)}$, $x \in \mathcal{K}$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ of L in $L^\perp$ by $\tau_1(L^{(x,i)}) = L^{(\tau_1(x),i)}$. Thus τ induces an isometry of $L_{C \times D}$, for we are assuming that C is τ -invariant. Note that τ is fixed-point-free on $L_{C \times D}$. We also have $g(L_{C \times D}) = L_{g(C) \times g(D)}$ for $g \in \tilde{G}_\ell$. We remark that the action $\tau_1(L^{(x,i)}) = L^{(\tau_1(x),i)}$ of τ_1 on $L^\perp/L$ is compatible with the action of τ_1 on $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_3$. This justifies our definition of the action of τ_1 on $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_3$.

For any positive integer n , let $\langle \kappa_n \rangle$ be a cyclic group of order n with generator κ_n . We assume that $\kappa_n^{n/m} = \kappa_m$ if m is a divisor of n . We shall construct a central extension $\hat{L}_{C \times D}$ of $L_{C \times D}$ by $\langle \kappa_{24} \rangle$ which will be used to define a vertex operator algebra $V_{L_{C \times D}}$ in Section 2.3. We realize it as a subgroup of a central extension of $(L^\perp)^{\oplus \ell}$ by $\langle \kappa_{24} \rangle$.

Take a $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis $\tilde{\beta}_1 = \beta_1/2, \tilde{\beta}_2 = (\beta_1 - \beta_2)/6$ of $L^\perp$. Note that $\{2\tilde{\beta}_1, 6\tilde{\beta}_2\}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of L . We have $\langle \tilde{\beta}_1, \tilde{\beta}_1 \rangle = 1$, $\langle \tilde{\beta}_2, \tilde{\beta}_2 \rangle = 1/3$, and $\langle \tilde{\beta}_1, \tilde{\beta}_2 \rangle = 1/2$. We also have $\tau_1(\tilde{\beta}_1) = \tilde{\beta}_1 - 3\tilde{\beta}_2$ and $\tau_1(\tilde{\beta}_2) = \tilde{\beta}_1 - 2\tilde{\beta}_2$. Define a $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinear form $\varepsilon_1 : L^\perp \times L^\perp \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/24\mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\varepsilon_1(m_1\tilde{\beta}_1 + m_2\tilde{\beta}_2, n_1\tilde{\beta}_1 + n_2\tilde{\beta}_2) = -6m_2n_1 + 24\mathbb{Z}. \quad (2.8)$$

Then $\varepsilon_1(\tilde{\beta}_1, \tilde{\beta}_1) = \varepsilon_1(\tilde{\beta}_2, \tilde{\beta}_2) = 0$, $\varepsilon_1(\tilde{\beta}_1, \tilde{\beta}_2) = 0$, and $\varepsilon_1(\tilde{\beta}_2, \tilde{\beta}_1) = -6 + 24\mathbb{Z}$. Since ε_1 is $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinear, it is a 2-cocycle. We also define a $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinear form

$c_0 : L^\perp \times L^\perp \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/24\mathbb{Z}$ by

$$c_0(\alpha, \beta) = \varepsilon_1(\alpha, \beta) - \varepsilon_1(\beta, \alpha), \quad (2.9)$$

which is in fact an alternating form.

Define a multiplication on the set $\widehat{L^\perp} = \langle \kappa_{24} \rangle \times \{\alpha \mid \alpha \in L^\perp\}$ by

$$(\kappa_{24}^p, \alpha) \cdot (\kappa_{24}^q, \beta) = (\kappa_{24}^{p+q+\varepsilon_1(\alpha, \beta)}, \alpha + \beta).$$

We simply write $\kappa_{24}^p e^\alpha$ for $(\kappa_{24}^p, \alpha) \in \widehat{L^\perp}$. In particular, $\kappa_{24}^p = (\kappa_{24}^p, 0)$ and $e^\alpha = (1, \alpha)$. The product of e^α and e^β in $\widehat{L^\perp}$ is

$$e^\alpha e^\beta = \kappa_{24}^{\varepsilon_1(\alpha, \beta)} e^{\alpha + \beta}. \quad (2.10)$$

Define $\bar{\cdot} : \widehat{L^\perp} \rightarrow L^\perp$ by $\overline{\kappa_{24}^p e^\alpha} = \alpha$. Then

$$1 \rightarrow \langle \kappa_{24} \rangle \rightarrow \widehat{L^\perp} \xrightarrow{\bar{\cdot}} L^\perp \rightarrow 1$$

is a central extension of $L^\perp$ by $\langle \kappa_{24} \rangle$ with associated commutator map c_0 (cf. [22, Sections 5.1 and 5.2], [29, Section 6.4]).

Define an automorphism of the group $\widehat{L^\perp}$ of order 3 by

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{24} &\mapsto \kappa_{24}, \\ e^{m_1 \tilde{\beta}_1 + m_2 \tilde{\beta}_2} &\mapsto \kappa_8^{3m_1^2 + 2m_2^2 + 6m_1 m_2 - 2m_1} e^{\tau_1(m_1 \tilde{\beta}_1 + m_2 \tilde{\beta}_2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

Indeed, we can easily verify that the map is in fact an automorphism of the group $\widehat{L^\perp}$ of order 3 by (2.8) and (2.10). By abuse of notation, we denote it by τ_1 also.

We note that $\cup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_3} L^{(0, i)} = \mathbb{Z}2\tilde{\beta}_1 + \mathbb{Z}2\tilde{\beta}_2$. Hence $\varepsilon_1(\alpha, \beta) = 0$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \cup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_3} L^{(0, i)}$, and so

$$e^\alpha e^\beta = e^{\alpha + \beta} \quad (2.12)$$

for $\alpha, \beta \in \cup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_3} L^{(0, i)}$ by (2.10). Similarly, we can verify that

$$\tau_1(e^\beta) = e^{\tau_1(\beta)} \quad (2.13)$$

for $\beta \in \cup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_3} L^{(0, i)}$. We also note that

$$\tau_1(e^{n_1 \beta_1 + n_2 \beta_2}) = \kappa_8^{12n_1^2 + 12n_2^2 - 48n_1 n_2 - 4n_1 - 4n_2} e^{\tau_1(n_1 \beta_1 + n_2 \beta_2)}$$

for $n_1\beta_1 + n_2\beta_2 \in L$.

Since $\langle \tilde{\beta}_1, \tilde{\beta}_1 \rangle = 1$, $\langle \tilde{\beta}_2, \tilde{\beta}_2 \rangle = 1/3$, and $\langle \tilde{\beta}_1, \tilde{\beta}_2 \rangle = 1/2$, we may take an integer s of [29, Remark 6.4.12] to be any multiple of 12. Here we choose $s = 24$ so that an automorphism of $\widehat{L^\perp}$, which is a lift of the isometry τ_1 of $L^\perp$, satisfies (2.13).

Let $(\widehat{L^\perp})^\ell$ be a direct product of ℓ copies of $\widehat{L^\perp}$ and let T be a subgroup in the center of $(\widehat{L^\perp})^\ell$ generated by $\kappa_{24}^{(r)}(\kappa_{24}^{(s)})^{-1}$, $1 \leq r, s \leq \ell$, where $\kappa_{24}^{(s)}$ denotes $\kappa_{24} \in L^\perp$ in the s -th entry of $(\widehat{L^\perp})^\ell$. We consider $\mathcal{L} = (\widehat{L^\perp})^\ell / T$. For simplicity of notation, we write $e^{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_\ell}$ for $(e^{\alpha_1}, \dots, e^{\alpha_\ell})T$ and κ_{24}^p for $(\kappa_{24}^{(1)})^p T$ in $\mathcal{L}$. Then any element of $\mathcal{L}$ can be expressed uniquely in the form $\kappa_{24}^p e^\alpha$ with $p \in \mathbb{Z}/24\mathbb{Z}$ and $\alpha \in (L^\perp)^{\oplus \ell}$. We extend the $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinear form $\varepsilon_1(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $L^\perp \times L^\perp$ to $(L^\perp)^{\oplus \ell} \times (L^\perp)^{\oplus \ell}$ naturally. Thus

$$\varepsilon_1(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{s=1}^{\ell} \varepsilon_1(\alpha^{(s)}, \beta^{(s)}) \quad (2.14)$$

for $\alpha = \sum_{s=1}^{\ell} \alpha^{(s)}$, $\beta = \sum_{s=1}^{\ell} \beta^{(s)} \in (L^\perp)^{\oplus \ell}$, where $\alpha^{(s)}$ and $\beta^{(s)}$ are in the s -th entry of $(L^\perp)^{\oplus \ell}$. Then (2.10) implies that

$$e^\alpha e^\beta = \kappa_{24}^{\varepsilon_1(\alpha, \beta)} e^{\alpha + \beta} \quad (2.15)$$

in $\mathcal{L}$. For $\kappa_{24}^p e^\alpha \in \mathcal{L}$, let $\overline{\kappa_{24}^p e^\alpha} = \alpha \in (L^\perp)^{\oplus \ell}$.

We define an alternating $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinear form $c_0 : (L^\perp)^{\oplus \ell} \times (L^\perp)^{\oplus \ell} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/24\mathbb{Z}$ by

$$c_0(\alpha, \beta) = \varepsilon_1(\alpha, \beta) - \varepsilon_1(\beta, \alpha). \quad (2.16)$$

Then

$$1 \rightarrow \langle \kappa_{24} \rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\sim} (L^\perp)^{\oplus \ell} \rightarrow 1$$

is a central extension of $(L^\perp)^{\oplus \ell}$ by $\langle \kappa_{24} \rangle$ with associated commutator map $c_0(\cdot, \cdot)$.

By the action of τ_1 on $\widehat{L^\perp}$ (cf. (2.11)), $\tilde{G}_\ell$ acts on the group $\mathcal{L}$ naturally. In particular, $\tau = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_1)$ acts on $\mathcal{L}$ as a group automorphism of order 3. For $g \in \tilde{G}_\ell$ and $a \in \mathcal{L}$, we have $\overline{g(a)} = g(\bar{a})$.

Denote by $\tilde{\beta}_j^{(s)}$ the element $\tilde{\beta}_j \in L^\perp$ in the s -th entry of $(L^\perp)^{\oplus \ell}$. For $\alpha = \sum_{s=1}^{\ell} (m_1^{(s)} \tilde{\beta}_1^{(s)} + m_2^{(s)} \tilde{\beta}_2^{(s)})$ and $\beta = \sum_{s=1}^{\ell} (n_1^{(s)} \tilde{\beta}_1^{(s)} + n_2^{(s)} \tilde{\beta}_2^{(s)}) \in (L^\perp)^{\oplus \ell}$,

we have

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle + 2\langle \alpha, \tau(\beta) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^{\ell} (m_1^{(s)} n_2^{(s)} - m_2^{(s)} n_1^{(s)}).$$

Moreover, we also have

$$c_0(\alpha, \beta) = 6 \sum_{s=1}^{\ell} (m_1^{(s)} n_2^{(s)} - m_2^{(s)} n_1^{(s)}) + 24\mathbb{Z}.$$

Hence, $c_0(\alpha, \beta) = 12\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle + 24\langle \alpha, \tau(\beta) \rangle + 24\mathbb{Z}$ for any $\alpha, \beta \in (L^\perp)^{\oplus \ell}$. In particular,

$$\kappa_{24}^{c_0(\alpha, \beta)} = \kappa_2^{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle}$$

if both of $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ and $\langle \alpha, \tau(\beta) \rangle$ are integers. This is the case for $\alpha, \beta \in L_{C \times D}$, since $L_{C \times D}$ is a τ -invariant integral lattice.

Now, set $\hat{L}_{C \times D} = \{a \in \mathcal{L} \mid \bar{a} \in L_{C \times D}\}$. Then

$$1 \rightarrow \langle \kappa_{24} \rangle \rightarrow \hat{L}_{C \times D} \xrightarrow{\tau} L_{C \times D} \rightarrow 1 \quad (2.17)$$

is a central extension of $L_{C \times D}$ by $\langle \kappa_{24} \rangle$ with associated commutator map c_0 . As mentioned above, $ab = \kappa_2^{\langle \bar{a}, \bar{b} \rangle} ba$ for $a, b \in \hat{L}_{C \times D}$. Note that τ induces an automorphism of $\hat{L}_{C \times D}$ of order 3.

Let $\theta \in \text{Aut } \hat{L}_{C \times D}$ be a distinguished lift of the isometry -1 of $L_{C \times D}$ defined by (cf. [22, (10.3.12)])

$$\theta : \hat{L}_{C \times D} \rightarrow \hat{L}_{C \times D}; \quad a \mapsto a^{-1} \kappa_2^{\langle \bar{a}, \bar{a} \rangle / 2}. \quad (2.18)$$

Then $\theta^2 = 1, \overline{\theta(a)} = -\bar{a}$ for $a \in \hat{L}_{C \times D}$, and $\theta(\kappa_{24}) = \kappa_{24}$. Note that $\theta\tau = \tau\theta$ by (2.18) since $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is τ -invariant.

Thus we have obtained the following lemma.

Lemma 2.13. *$\hat{L}_{C \times D}$ is a central extension of $L_{C \times D}$ by $\langle \kappa_{24} \rangle$ with commutation relation*

$$ab = \kappa_2^{\langle \bar{a}, \bar{b} \rangle} ba, \quad a, b \in \hat{L}_{C \times D}. \quad (2.19)$$

Moreover, τ and θ are automorphisms of $\hat{L}_{C \times D}$ such that $\tau^3 = \theta^2 = 1$, $\tau(\kappa_{24}) = \theta(\kappa_{24}) = \kappa_{24}$, $\tau(a) = \tau(\bar{a})$, $\theta(a) = -\bar{a}$ for $a \in \hat{L}_{C \times D}$, and $\theta\tau = \tau\theta$.

The sublattice $L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}$ of $L_{C \times D}$ has nice properties. For $\alpha, \beta \in L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}$, we have $e^\alpha e^\beta = e^{\alpha+\beta}$ by (2.12) and $\tau(e^\alpha) = e^{\tau(\alpha)}$ by (2.13). Furthermore, $\theta(e^\alpha) = e^{-\alpha}$ by (2.18), since $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle \in 4\mathbb{Z}$ for $\alpha \in L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}$.

Now, set $\mathbb{C}\{(L^\perp)^{\oplus \ell}\} = \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{L}]/(\kappa_{24} - \zeta_{24})\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{L}]$, which is a twisted group algebra of $(L^\perp)^{\oplus \ell}$. By abuse of notation, we denote the image of $e^\alpha \in \mathcal{L}$ in $\mathbb{C}\{(L^\perp)^{\oplus \ell}\}$ by the same symbol e^α for $\alpha \in (L^\perp)^{\oplus \ell}$. The automorphisms τ and θ also induce automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}\{(L^\perp)^{\oplus \ell}\}$. We use the same symbols τ and θ to denote those automorphisms.

Set $\mathbb{C}\{L_{C \times D}\} = \oplus_{\alpha \in L_{C \times D}} \mathbb{C}e^\alpha \subset \mathbb{C}\{(L^\perp)^{\oplus \ell}\}$. The following lemma is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.13.

Lemma 2.14. *$\mathbb{C}\{L_{C \times D}\}$ is a twisted group algebra of $L_{C \times D}$ such that*

$$e^\alpha e^\beta = (-1)^{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} e^\beta e^\alpha, \quad \alpha, \beta \in L_{C \times D}.$$

Moreover, τ and θ are automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}\{L_{C \times D}\}$ such that $\tau^3 = \theta^2 = 1$ and $\theta\tau = \tau\theta$.

2.3 Vertex operator algebra associated with $L_{C \times D}$

First, we recall the standard notation for the vertex operator algebra $(V_\Gamma, Y(\cdot, x))$ associated with a positive definite even lattice Γ and its irreducible modules (cf. [22, 29]). Consider $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Gamma$ to be an abelian Lie algebra and let $\hat{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}c$ be the corresponding affine Lie algebra. Let $M(1)$ be a unique irreducible highest weight $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ -module with highest weight vector 1 such that $(\alpha \otimes t^n) \cdot 1 = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}$, $n \geq 0$ and $c \cdot 1 = 1$. We write $\alpha(n)$ for the action of $\alpha \otimes t^n$ on $M(1)$.

For the dual lattice $\Gamma^\perp$ of Γ , let $\mathbb{C}\{\Gamma^\perp\} = \oplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma^\perp} \mathbb{C}e^\alpha$ be a twisted group algebra of $\Gamma^\perp$ with basis $e^\alpha, \alpha \in \Gamma^\perp$ such that

$$e^\alpha e^\beta = (-1)^{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} e^\beta e^\alpha, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma.$$

For a subset P of $\Gamma^\perp$, let $\mathbb{C}\{P\} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{e^\alpha \mid \alpha \in P\}$ and $V_P = M(1) \otimes \mathbb{C}\{P\}$. For each $v \in V_\Gamma$, a vertex operator $Y(v, x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} v_n x^{-n-1} \in (\text{End } V_{\Gamma^\perp})[[x, x^{-1}]]$ is defined. It is known that V_Γ is a simple, rational, C_2 -cofinite, and CFT type vertex operator algebra and $V_{\Gamma^\perp}$ is a V_Γ -module. Moreover, $V_{\lambda+\Gamma}$ is an irreducible V_Γ -submodule of $V_{\Gamma^\perp}$ for any $\lambda \in \Gamma^\perp$.

Now, we return to our lattice $L_{C \times D}$ constructed in Section 2.2. Let C be a τ -invariant even $\mathcal{K}$ -code of length ℓ and D be a self-orthogonal $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -code of the same length. Thus the lattice $L_{C \times D}$ is a τ -invariant positive definite even lattice. We use the twisted group algebra $\mathbb{C}\{L_{C \times D}\}$ in Lemma 2.14. Note that

$$\mathbb{C}\{L^{\oplus \ell}\} \subset \mathbb{C}\{L_{C \times D}\} \subset \mathbb{C}\{(L_{C \times D})^\perp\} \subset \mathbb{C}\{(L^\perp)^{\oplus \ell}\}.$$

Throughout this paper, we identify $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}$ with $V_L^{\otimes \ell}$ and $V_{(L^\perp)^{\oplus \ell}}$ with $V_{L^\perp}^{\otimes \ell}$. Recall the action of the group $\tilde{G}_\ell$ on three different sets, namely, $(L^\perp)^{\oplus \ell}$, $\mathcal{K}^\ell$, and $\mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$ discussed in Section 2.2. For $g \in \tilde{G}_\ell$, define a linear isomorphism on $V_{(L^\perp)^{\oplus \ell}}$ by

$$\alpha^1(-n_1) \cdots \alpha^k(-n_k) e^\beta \mapsto (g\alpha^1)(-n_1) \cdots (g\alpha^k)(-n_k) g(e^\beta).$$

For simplicity of notation, we denote it by g also. Then

$$g(Y_{(L_{C \times D})^\perp}(u, x)v) = Y_{(L_{g(C) \times g(D)})^\perp}(gu, x)gv$$

for $u \in V_{L_{C \times D}}$ and $v \in V_{(L_{C \times D})^\perp}$. Hence $g : V_{L_{C \times D}} \mapsto V_{L_{g(C) \times g(D)}}$ is an isomorphism of vertex operator algebras. If C and D are invariant under g , then g induces an automorphism of the vertex operator algebra $V_{L_{C \times D}}$. In particular, τ is an automorphism of $V_{L_{C \times D}}$. Our purpose is the classification of irreducible modules for the fixed point subalgebra $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau = \{u \in V_{L_{C \times D}} \mid \tau u = u\}$ of $V_{L_{C \times D}}$ by the automorphism τ .

In the case where $C = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ and $D = \{\mathbf{0}\}$, the group $\tilde{G}_\ell$ becomes an automorphism group of the vertex operator algebra $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}$ naturally. In the case of $\ell = 1$, τ is reduced to an automorphism τ_1 of the vertex operator algebra V_L .

We also note that

$$g : V_{L_{(\lambda+C) \times (\gamma+D)}} \mapsto V_{L_{(g(\lambda)+g(C)) \times (g(\gamma)+g(D))}}$$

for $\lambda \in C^\perp$ and $\gamma \in D^\perp$ is a map from $V_{L_{C \times D}}$ -modules to $V_{L_{g(C) \times g(D)}}$ -modules. In the case where C and D are g -invariant, we have

$$V_{L_{(\lambda+C) \times (\gamma+D)}} \circ g \cong g^{-1}(V_{L_{(\lambda+C) \times (\gamma+D)}}) = V_{L_{(g^{-1}(\lambda)+g^{-1}(C)) \times (g^{-1}(\gamma)+g^{-1}(D))}}. \quad (2.20)$$

By [8, Theorem 3.1] and Lemma 2.11, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.15. $\{V_{L_{(\lambda+C) \times (\gamma+D)}} \mid \lambda + C \in C^\perp/C, \gamma + D \in D^\perp/D\}$ is a set of all irreducible $V_{L_{C \times D}}$ -modules up to isomorphism.

The following lemma is a straightforward consequence of the definition of $V_{(\lambda+C) \times (\gamma+D)}$ and the τ -invariance of C .

Lemma 2.16. We have $V_{(\lambda+C) \times (\gamma+D)} \circ \tau \cong V_{(\tau^{-1}(\lambda)+C) \times (\gamma+D)}$. In particular, $V_{(\lambda+C) \times (\gamma+D)}$ is τ -stable if and only if $\lambda \in C$.

For $\varepsilon = 0, 1, 2$, let $V_{L_{C \times (\gamma+D)}}(\varepsilon) = \{u \in V_{L_{C \times (\gamma+D)}} \mid \tau u = \zeta_3^\varepsilon u\}$. These are irreducible $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau$ -modules.

The following proposition is clear.

Lemma 2.17. *As $(V_L)^{\otimes \ell}$ -modules, we have*

$$V_{L_{(\lambda+C) \times (\gamma+D)}} = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \lambda+C, \delta \in \gamma+D} V_{L_{(\mu, \delta)}}.$$

The fusion rules for $V_{L_{C \times D}}$ is given by the following lemma.

Lemma 2.18. [11, Corollary 12.10] *For $\lambda^1, \lambda^2 \in C^\perp$ and $\gamma^1, \gamma^2 \in D^\perp$, we have*

$$V_{L_{(\lambda^1+C) \times (\gamma^1+D)}} \times V_{L_{(\lambda^2+C) \times (\gamma^2+D)}} = V_{L_{(\lambda^1+\lambda^2+C) \times (\gamma^1+\gamma^2+D)}}.$$

3 Irreducible τ^i -twisted $V_{L_{C \times D}}$ -modules, $i = 1, 2$

In this section we assume that C is a τ -invariant even $\mathcal{K}$ -code of length ℓ and D is a self-orthogonal $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -code of the same length. Thus $L_{C \times D}$ is a positive definite even lattice by Lemma 2.12 and τ induces a fixed-point-free isometry of $L_{C \times D}$. Following [12] we shall construct a family of irreducible τ^i -twisted $V_{L_{C \times D}}$ -modules, $i = 1, 2$. The argument in the τ^2 -twisted case is parallel to that in the τ -twisted case. Thus we deal with mainly the τ -twisted ones.

We have already constructed a central extension $\hat{L}_{C \times D}$ of $L_{C \times D}$ by $\langle \kappa_{24} \rangle$ with associated commutator map c_0 (cf. (2.17)), where

$$c_0(\alpha, \beta) = 12\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle + 24\mathbb{Z}$$

for $\alpha, \beta \in L_{C \times D}$. Note that $aba^{-1}b^{-1} = \kappa_{24}^{c_0(\bar{a}, \bar{b})}$ in $\hat{L}_{C \times D}$. In order to keep the notation consistent, we follow [12, Remark 2.2] with p, q, L , and ν being 3, 24, $L_{C \times D}$, and τ , respectively. Then $\hat{L}_{C \times D}$ here is the same central extension of $L_{C \times D}$ by $\langle \kappa_{24} \rangle$ in [12, Remark 2.2] up to equivalence. The τ -invariant alternating $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinear form $c_0^\tau(\cdot, \cdot)$ of $L_{C \times D} \times L_{C \times D}$ to $\mathbb{Z}/24\mathbb{Z}$ ([12, (2.10)]) becomes

$$c_0^\tau(\alpha, \beta) = 8\langle \tau\alpha + 2\tau^2\alpha, \beta \rangle + 24\mathbb{Z}. \quad (3.1)$$

Note that $\alpha + \tau\alpha + \tau^2\alpha = 0$, since τ is fixed-point-free on $L_{C \times D}$. Let

$$1 \rightarrow \langle \kappa_{24} \rangle \rightarrow \hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau} \xrightarrow{\sim} L_{C \times D} \rightarrow 1 \quad (3.2)$$

be a central extension of $L_{C \times D}$ by $\langle \kappa_{24} \rangle$ with associated commutator map $c_0^\tau(\cdot, \cdot)$. Thus $aba^{-1}b^{-1} = \kappa_{24} c_0^\tau(\bar{a}, \bar{b})$ in $\hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau}$. Such a central extension is unique up to equivalence.

There is a set-theoretic identification between the groups $\hat{L}_{C \times D}$ and $\hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau}$ such that the group multiplications $\times$ in $\hat{L}_{C \times D}$ and $\times_\tau$ in $\hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau}$ are related as

$$a \times b = \kappa_{24}^{\varepsilon_0(\bar{a}, \bar{b})} a \times_\tau b, \quad (3.3)$$

where $\varepsilon_0 : L_{C \times D} \times L_{C \times D} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/24\mathbb{Z}$ is a τ -invariant $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinear form satisfying

$$\varepsilon_0(\alpha, \beta) - \varepsilon_0(\beta, \alpha) = c_0(\alpha, \beta) - c_0^\tau(\alpha, \beta) \quad (3.4)$$

(cf. [12, (2.4), (2.5)]). Here we can take (cf. [12, (2.13)])

$$\varepsilon_0(\alpha, \beta) = 20\langle \tau^2\alpha, \beta \rangle + 24\mathbb{Z}. \quad (3.5)$$

Note that the right hand side of (3.3) is the product of $\kappa_{24}^{\varepsilon_0(\bar{a}, \bar{b})}$ and $a \times_\tau b$ in the group $\hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau}$. Since $\overline{\kappa_{24}} = 0$ and $\varepsilon_0(\cdot, \cdot)$ is $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinear, (3.3) is equivalent to $\kappa_{24}^{-\varepsilon_0(\bar{a}, \bar{b})} a \times b = a \times_\tau b$, where the left hand side is the product of $\kappa_{24}^{-\varepsilon_0(\bar{a}, \bar{b})}$ and $a \times b$ in the group $\hat{L}_{C \times D}$. We have considered $e^\alpha \in \hat{L}_{C \times D}$ for $\alpha \in L_{C \times D}$ in Section 2.2. Under the set-theoretic identification of $\hat{L}_{C \times D}$ and $\hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau}$, we can regard e^α as an element of $\hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau}$. Then $\alpha \mapsto e^\alpha$ is a section $L_{C \times D} \rightarrow \hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau}$ of the central extension (3.2).

Since $\varepsilon_0(\cdot, \cdot)$ is θ - and τ -invariant, it follows from (3.3) that the automorphisms θ and τ of $\hat{L}_{C \times D}$ in Lemma 2.13 induce automorphisms of $\hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau}$ under the set-theoretic identification of the groups $\hat{L}_{C \times D}$ and $\hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau}$ (cf. [12, Remark 2.2]).

Let $\widehat{L^{\oplus \ell}}$ (resp. $\widehat{L^{\oplus \ell}_\tau}$) be the inverse image of $L^{\oplus \ell}$ under $\hat{L}_{C \times D} \xrightarrow{\sim} L_{C \times D}$ of (2.17) (resp. $\hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau} \xrightarrow{\sim} L_{C \times D}$ of (3.2)). In [10, 36], we have considered irreducible τ_1 -twisted V_L -modules $V_L^{T_{\chi_j}}(\tau_1)$, $j = 0, 1, 2$. Recall that τ of [10, 36] is denoted by τ_1 here. In order to apply the results obtained in these previous papers, we need to examine the relation between $\hat{L}$ (resp. $\hat{L}_\tau$) of [10] and $\widehat{L^{\oplus \ell}}$ (resp. $\widehat{L^{\oplus \ell}_\tau}$).

In [10, (2.1)], $\hat{L}$ was a central extension of L by $\langle \kappa_6 \rangle$ with trivial associated commutator map $L \times L \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ and a section $L \rightarrow \hat{L}$; $\alpha \mapsto e^\alpha$ was chosen

so that $e^\alpha e^\beta = e^{\alpha+\beta}$ and $\tau_1(e^\alpha) = e^{\tau_1(\alpha)}$. In our case we have $e^\alpha e^\beta = e^{\alpha+\beta}$ and $\tau_1(e^\alpha) = e^{\tau_1(\alpha)}$ in $\widehat{L^\perp}$ for $\alpha, \beta \in L$ by (2.12) and (2.13). Thus for each $1 \leq s \leq \ell$, the map

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_6 &\mapsto \kappa_{24}^4 = \kappa_6, \\ e^\alpha &\mapsto (1, \dots, e^\alpha, \dots, 1)T\end{aligned}$$

is an injective group homomorphism of $\hat{L}$ to $\widehat{L^{\oplus \ell}}$, where $(1, \dots, e^\alpha, \dots, 1)$ is the element of $(\widehat{L^\perp})^\ell$ whose s -th component is e^α and the other components are 1. Furthermore, this injective group homomorphism is compatible with the action of τ_1 .

The embedding of $\hat{L}$ into $\widehat{L^{\oplus \ell}}$ implies an embedding $v \mapsto 1 \otimes \dots \otimes v \otimes \dots \otimes 1$ of the vertex operator algebra V_L into $V_L^{\otimes \ell} \cong V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}$ which maps V_L isomorphically to the s -th component of $V_L^{\otimes \ell}$ for each $1 \leq s \leq \ell$. This embedding is again compatible with the action of τ_1 .

We denote ε_0 of [10, (4.4)] by ε'_0 for a while. Thus $\varepsilon'_0(\alpha, \beta) = 5\langle \tau_1^2 \alpha, \beta \rangle + 6\mathbb{Z}$. In [10], the multiplications $a \times b$ in $\hat{L}$ and $a \times_{\tau_1} b$ in $\hat{L}_{\tau_1}$ are related as $a \times b = \kappa_6^{\varepsilon'_0(\bar{a}, \bar{b})} a \times_{\tau_1} b$. Since $\kappa_{24}^{\varepsilon_0(\alpha, \beta)} = \kappa_6^{\varepsilon'_0(\alpha, \beta)}$ by (3.5), it follows from (3.3) that the map

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_6 &\mapsto \kappa_{24}^4 = \kappa_6, \\ e^\alpha &\mapsto e^\alpha\end{aligned}$$

for $\alpha \in L$ is an injective group homomorphism of $\hat{L}_{\tau_1}$ to the s -th component of $\widehat{L^{\oplus \ell}}_\tau$ for each $1 \leq s \leq \ell$.

We are going to construct irreducible τ -twisted $V_{L_{C \times D}}$ -modules by the method of [12]. Now, $L^{\oplus \ell} \subset L_{C \times D}$ and so $V_L^{\otimes \ell} \cong V_{L^{\oplus \ell}} \subset V_{L_{C \times D}}$. Since $\tau = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_1)$ and since the irreducible τ_1 -twisted V_L -modules in [10, 36] were constructed by the same method, the above argument means that the action of V_L on the irreducible τ_1 -twisted V_L -modules $V_L^{T_{\chi_j}}(\tau_1)$, $j = 0, 1, 2$ studied in [10, 36] is realized in the action of the s -th component of $V_L^{\otimes \ell}$ on the irreducible τ -twisted $V_{L_{C \times D}}$ -modules. A similar discussion holds for the irreducible τ_1^2 -twisted V_L -modules $V_L^{T_{\chi'_j}}(\tau_1^2)$, $j = 0, 1, 2$ of [10, 36] and the irreducible τ^2 -twisted $V_{L_{C \times D}}$ -modules constructed by the method of [12].

Recall the elements ω , P , and J of V_L^τ in [36]. The action of ω_1 , P_1 , and J_2 on the top level of the irreducible V_L^τ -modules $V_L^{T_{\chi_j}}(\tau_1)(\varepsilon)$, $V_L^{T_{\chi'_j}}(\tau_1^2)(\varepsilon)$,

$j, \varepsilon \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ can be found in [36, Section 4]. We shall consider the elements $\omega^{(s)}$, $P^{(s)}$, and $J^{(s)}$ of $(V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes \ell}$ which are ω , P , and J of the s -th component $V_L^{\tau_1}$ of $(V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes \ell}$, respectively. Since $(V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes \ell}$ is naturally embedded into $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^{\tau}$, we can compute the action of $\omega_1^{(s)}$, $P_1^{(s)}$, and $J_2^{(s)}$ on any irreducible τ - or τ^2 -twisted $V_{L_{C \times D}}$ -module constructed by the method of [12] and compare it with the results in [36, Section 4]. This consideration plays an important role in the proof of Theorem 3.12 below.

In order to describe $c_0^\tau(\alpha, \beta)$ explicitly, we introduce the following notation for $x, y \in \mathcal{K}$.

$$P(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

$$Q(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = y \neq 0 \text{ or } \tau^2(x) = y \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0, y = 0, \text{ or } \tau(x) = y. \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

For $\alpha \in L_{(\lambda, \gamma)}$ and $\beta \in L_{(\mu, \delta)}$ of the form (2.3) and (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tau\alpha + 2\tau^2\alpha, \beta \rangle \equiv \sum_{s=1}^{\ell} \left(\frac{3}{2}Q(\lambda_s, \mu_s) + \gamma_s\delta_s + \gamma_s(-P(\mu_s) + n_1^{(s)} + n_2^{(s)}) \right. \\ \left. + \delta_s(P(\lambda_s) - m_1^{(s)} - m_2^{(s)}) \right) \pmod{3\mathbb{Z}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Lemma 3.1. $\sum_{s=1}^{\ell} Q(\lambda_s, \mu_s) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ for $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell)$ and $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_\ell) \in C$.

Proof. We refine the argument in the proof of Lemma 2.8. Let $S_\lambda = \text{supp}_{\mathcal{K}}(\lambda)$, $S_\mu = \text{supp}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mu)$, and $I^k(\lambda, \mu) = \{i \in S_\lambda \cap S_\mu \mid \tau^k(\lambda_i) = \mu_i\}$ for $k = 0, 1, 2$. Then $S_\lambda \cap S_\mu$ is a disjoint union of $I^0(\lambda, \mu)$, $I^1(\lambda, \mu)$, and $I^2(\lambda, \mu)$. Moreover,

$$S_{\lambda+\mu} = I^1(\lambda, \mu) \cup I^2(\lambda, \mu) \cup (S_\lambda - S_\mu) \cup (S_\mu - S_\lambda).$$

This implies that $|I^1(\lambda, \mu)| + |I^2(\lambda, \mu)|$ is even. By the definition of $I^k(\lambda, \mu)$, we have $I^k(\lambda, \mu) = I^{k+2}(\tau(\lambda), \mu)$ and so

$$|I^0(\lambda, \mu)| + |I^2(\lambda, \mu)| = |I^1(\tau(\lambda), \mu)| + |I^2(\tau(\lambda), \mu)|,$$

which is even. The left hand side of the above equation is equal to $\sum_{s=1}^{\ell} Q(\lambda_s, \mu_s)$. Hence the assertion holds. $\square$

Remark 3.2. In the proof of Lemma 3.1, we have $|S_\lambda \cap S_\mu| \equiv |I^k(\lambda, \mu)| \pmod{2\mathbb{Z}}$, $k = 0, 1, 2$. We note that Lemma 3.1 is not true if C is not τ -invariant. For instance, let $C = \{(0, 0), (a, b), (b, a), (c, c)\}$ be an even $\mathcal{K}$ -code with $\ell = 2$ and take $\lambda = (a, b)$ and $\mu = (b, a)$. Then $\sum_{s=1}^{\ell} Q(\lambda_s, \mu_s) = 1$.

If $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_\ell), \delta = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_\ell) \in D$, then $\langle \gamma, \delta \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_3} = \sum_{s=1}^{\ell} \gamma_s \delta_s \equiv 0 \pmod{3\mathbb{Z}}$ since D is assumed to be self-orthogonal. Thus the alternation $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinear form $c_0^\tau(\cdot, \cdot)$ of (3.1) can be written as

$$c_0^\tau(\alpha, \beta) = 8 \sum_{s=1}^{\ell} \left(\gamma_s(-P(\mu_s) + n_1^{(s)} + n_2^{(s)}) + \delta_s(P(\lambda_s) - m_1^{(s)} - m_2^{(s)}) \right) + 24\mathbb{Z} \quad (3.9)$$

for $\alpha, \beta \in L_{C \times D}$ of the form (2.3) and (2.4).

We now follow [28]. Note that the commutator map $C(\alpha, \beta)$ of [28] is $\kappa_{24} c_0^{\tau}(\alpha, \beta)$ in our notation. Let $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_{C \times D} = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L_{C \times D}$, so that $\mathfrak{h} = (\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L)^{\oplus \ell}$. We extend τ to an isometry of $\mathfrak{h}$ linearly. Since τ is fixed-point-free on $\mathfrak{h}$, N of [28] is identical with $L_{C \times D}$ in our case.

We consider $(1 - \tau)L_{C \times D}$, which corresponds to the subgroup denoted by M in [28]. For each coset $L^{(x, i)}$ of L in $L^\perp$ with $x \in \mathcal{K}$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}_3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \tau)L^{(x, i)} &= \beta(\tau^2(x)) + (1 - \tau)L^{(0, P(x) + i)} \\ &= \{\beta(\tau^2(x)) + (m_1 + m_2)\beta_1 + (P(x) + i - m_1 + 2m_2)\beta_2 \mid m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Here we note that

$$\begin{aligned} &\{n_1\beta_1 + n_2\beta_2 \mid n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, n_1 + n_2 \equiv i \pmod{3}\} \\ &= \{(m_1 + m_2)\beta_1 + (i - m_1 + 2m_2)\beta_2 \mid m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}\} \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 0, 1, 2$. In particular,

$$(1 - \tau)L^{(0, i)} = \{(m_1 + m_2)\beta_1 + (i - m_1 + 2m_2)\beta_2 \mid m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

and for $x \in \mathcal{K}$,

$$(1 - \tau)L^{(x, 0)} \cup (1 - \tau)L^{(x, 1)} \cup (1 - \tau)L^{(x, 2)} = L^{(\tau^2(x), 0)} \quad (3.11)$$

is a disjoint union. In the case of $x = 0$, we have $L/(1 - \tau)L \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$. Note that $(1 - \tau)L^{(x, i)} \cap (1 - \tau)L^{(y, j)} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $x = y$ and $i = j$. It follows from (3.11) that

$$(1 - \tau)L^\perp = \bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{K}} L^{(x, 0)}; \quad \text{disjoint.} \quad (3.12)$$

Define a map $\varphi : L^\perp \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_3$ by

$$\varphi(\beta(x) + (-\frac{i}{3} + m_1)\beta_1 + (\frac{i}{3} + m_2)\beta_2) = -P(x) + m_1 + m_2 + 3\mathbb{Z} \quad (3.13)$$

for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ and $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. We can easily verify that φ is a homomorphism of additive groups. Moreover, $\varphi((1-\tau)L^{(x,i)}) = \{i + 3\mathbb{Z}\}$ since $P(\tau^2(x)) = P(x)$ for $x \in \mathcal{K}$. Thus the restriction $\varphi|_{(1-\tau)L^\perp} : (1-\tau)L^\perp \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_3$ of φ to $(1-\tau)L^\perp$ is a surjective homomorphism and its kernel is $\bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{K}} (1-\tau)L^{(x,0)}$.

For $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell) \in \mathcal{K}^\ell$ and $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$, it follows from (3.10) that

$$(1-\tau)L_{(\lambda,\gamma)} = \left\{ \sum_{s=1}^{\ell} \left(\beta(\tau^2(\lambda_s))^{(s)} + (m_1^{(s)} + m_2^{(s)})\beta_1^{(s)} + (P(\lambda_s) + \gamma_s - m_1^{(s)} + 2m_2^{(s)})\beta_2^{(s)} \right) \mid m_1^{(s)}, m_2^{(s)} \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}. \quad (3.14)$$

Thus $(1-\tau)L_{(\lambda,\gamma)} \subset L_{(\tau^2(\lambda),0)}$. We also note that $(1-\tau)L_{(\lambda,\gamma)} \cap (1-\tau)L_{(\mu,\delta)} = \emptyset$ if $\lambda \neq \mu$ or $\gamma \neq \delta$.

Let $R = \{\alpha \in L_{C \times D} \mid c_0^\tau(\alpha, \beta) = 0 \text{ for all } \beta \in L_{C \times D}\}$ be the radical of the alternating $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinear form $c_0^\tau(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $L_{C \times D}$. Recall that the commutator map $C(\alpha, \beta)$ of [28] is $\kappa_{24} c_0^\tau(\alpha, \beta)$ in our notation. Thus R in [28, Section 6] is identical with our R . It follows that

$$(1-\tau)L_{C \times D} \subset R \subset L_{C \times \mathbf{0}}. \quad (3.15)$$

Indeed, if $\alpha = (1-\tau)\eta$ for some $\eta \in L_{C \times D}$ then $\tau\alpha + 2\tau^2\alpha = (1+\tau+\tau^2)\eta - 3\eta$. We have $(1+\tau+\tau^2)\eta = 0$, since τ is fixed-point-free on $L_{C \times D}$. Thus $(1-\tau)L_{C \times D}$ is contained in R (cf. [28, Section 6]). By (3.9), $\alpha \in L_{C \times D}$ such that $c_0^\tau(\alpha, \beta) = 0$ for all $\beta \in L_{(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0})} = L^{\oplus \ell}$ must lie in $L_{C \times \mathbf{0}}$. Thus $R \subset L_{C \times \mathbf{0}}$.

It follows from (3.11) that

$$L_{C \times \mathbf{0}} = \bigcup_{\substack{\lambda \in C \\ \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell}} (1-\tau)L_{(\lambda,\gamma)}; \quad \text{disjoint.} \quad (3.16)$$

Furthermore, we have the explicit form of the elements in R by (3.9).

Lemma 3.3. *The radical R of the alternating $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinear form $c_0^\tau(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $L_{C \times D}$ consists of the elements $\sum_{s=1}^\ell (\beta(\lambda_s)^{(s)} + m_1^{(s)} \beta_1^{(s)} + m_2^{(s)} \beta_2^{(s)})$ with $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell) \in C$, $m_1^{(s)}, m_2^{(s)} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that*

$$\sum_{s=1}^\ell \delta_s (P(\lambda_s) - m_1^{(s)} - m_2^{(s)}) \equiv 0 \pmod{3\mathbb{Z}} \quad (3.17)$$

for all $\delta = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_\ell) \in D$.

The following lemma is a direct consequence of (3.9). By the lemma we can choose $L_{C \times \mathbf{0}}$ as the group A of [28, Proposition 6.2].

Lemma 3.4. *$L_{C \times \mathbf{0}}$ is a subgroup of $L_{C \times D}$ which is maximal subject to the condition that the alternating $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinear form $c_0^\tau(\cdot, \cdot)$ is trivial on it.*

We extend $\varphi : L^\perp \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_3$ to a surjective homomorphism of additive groups $\varphi : (L^\perp)^{\oplus \ell} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$ componentwise, so that it maps the s -th component $L^\perp$ to $\mathbb{Z}_3$ by (3.13). Thus for $\alpha \in L_{\mathcal{K}^\ell \times \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell}$ of the form (2.3), we have $\varphi(\alpha) = (g_1, \dots, g_\ell)$ with $g_s = -P(\lambda_s) + m_1^{(s)} + m_2^{(s)} + 3\mathbb{Z}$.

Set $M_0 = (1 - \tau)L_{C \times \mathbf{0}}$ and $M = (1 - \tau)L_{C \times D}$ for simplicity of notation.

Lemma 3.5. *The restriction $\varphi|_{L_{C \times \mathbf{0}}} : L_{C \times \mathbf{0}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$ of φ to $L_{C \times \mathbf{0}}$ is a surjective homomorphism and its kernel is M_0 . Moreover, $\varphi(M) = D$ and $\varphi(R) = D^\perp$, that is, φ gives the following surjections.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} M_0 & \subset & M & \subset & R & \subset & L_{C \times \mathbf{0}} \\ \varphi : & \downarrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{0} & \subset & D & \subset & D^\perp & \subset & \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell. \end{array} \quad (3.18)$$

Proof. Since $P(\tau^2(x)) = P(x)$ for $x \in \mathcal{K}$, we see from (3.14) that $\varphi((1 - \tau)L_{(\lambda, \gamma)}) = \{\gamma\}$. Hence the first part of the lemma is a consequence of (3.16). Similarly, $\varphi(M) = D$. Lemma 3.3 implies that $\varphi(R) = D^\perp$. $\square$

By (3.14), M_0 contains $\beta_1^{(s)} - \beta_2^{(s)}$ and $\beta_2^{(s)} - \beta_0^{(s)}$. Thus $\beta_i^{(s)}$, $i = 0, 1, 2$ are congruent to each other modulo M_0 . We can also verify that $\beta_i^{(s)} \notin M_0$ and $3\beta_i^{(s)} \in M_0$. Then the cosets of M_0 in $L_{C \times \mathbf{0}}$, R , or M can be

described by using $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$. In fact, we have the following coset decompositions.

$$M = \bigcup_{\gamma \in D} (\gamma_1 \beta_i^{(1)} + \dots + \gamma_\ell \beta_i^{(\ell)} + M_0), \quad (3.19)$$

$$R = \bigcup_{\gamma \in D^\perp} (\gamma_1 \beta_i^{(1)} + \dots + \gamma_\ell \beta_i^{(\ell)} + M_0), \quad (3.20)$$

$$L_{C \times \mathbf{0}} = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell} (\gamma_1 \beta_i^{(1)} + \dots + \gamma_\ell \beta_i^{(\ell)} + M_0). \quad (3.21)$$

For any subgroup Q of $L_{C \times D}$, we denote by $\hat{Q}_\tau$ the inverse image of Q under the homomorphism $\hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau} \xrightarrow{\tau} L_{C \times D}$. Lemma 3.4 implies that the inverse image $\hat{L}_{C \times \mathbf{0}, \tau}$ of $L_{C \times \mathbf{0}}$ is isomorphic to $L_{C \times \mathbf{0}} \times \langle \kappa_{24} \rangle$, which is a maximal abelian subgroup of $\hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau}$. The inverse image $\hat{R}_\tau$ of R is the center of the group $\hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau}$.

A central subgroup K defined in [12, Remark 4.2] is crucial for the construction of a certain class of irreducible $\hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau}$ -modules (see also [22, Section 7.4], [28, Section 6]). Let $K = \{a\tau(a)^{-1} \mid a \in \hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau}\}$. Then K is a subgroup of the center $\hat{R}_\tau$ of $\hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau}$ and $K \cap \langle \kappa_{24} \rangle = 1$ (cf. [12, Remark 4.2]). Indeed, $\overline{a\tau(a)^{-1}} = \bar{a} - \tau(\bar{a}) \in M$. If $a\tau(a)^{-1} \in \langle \kappa_{24} \rangle$, then $\bar{a} = \tau(\bar{a})$ and so $\bar{a} = 0$. Hence $a \in \langle \kappa_{24} \rangle$ and $a\tau(a)^{-1} = 1$. Thus $K \cap \langle \kappa_{24} \rangle = 1$. Since $M \subset R$, K lies in $\hat{R}_\tau$. Then for $a, b \in \hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau}$, $b\tau(b)^{-1}$ commutes with $\tau(a)^{-1}$ and we can calculate that

$$a\tau(a)^{-1}b\tau(b)^{-1} = ab\tau(b)^{-1}\tau(a)^{-1} = ab\tau(ab)^{-1}. \quad (3.22)$$

This in particular means that $(a\tau(a)^{-1})^{-1} = a^{-1}\tau(a) \in K$. Thus K is a group. Now the inverse image $\hat{M}_\tau$ of M in $\hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau}$ is $K \times \langle \kappa_{24} \rangle \cong M \times \langle \kappa_{24} \rangle$. Clearly, K is τ -invariant. Moreover, K is θ -invariant since θ commutes with τ .

We shall construct an irreducible $\hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau}$ -module T_ψ as in [28, Proposition 6.2]. Since $\hat{M}_\tau = K \times \langle \kappa_{24} \rangle$, there is a unique group homomorphism $\rho : \hat{M}_\tau \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that $\rho(\kappa_{24}) = \zeta_{24}$ and $\rho(a) = 1$ for $a \in K$, where $\zeta_{24} = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/24)$. Note that $(1 + \tau + \tau^2)\alpha = 0$ for $\alpha \in L_{C \times D}$. Thus ρ is the homomorphism denoted by τ in [28, Proposition 6.1]. Let $\chi : \hat{R}_\tau \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a homomorphism extending ρ and $\psi : \hat{L}_{C \times \mathbf{0}, \tau} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a homomorphism extending χ . Then $\psi(\kappa_{24}) = \zeta_{24}$ and ψ is 1 on K . Such an extension ψ exists,

since in the central extension

$$1 \rightarrow \langle \kappa_{24} \rangle \rightarrow \hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau} / K \rightarrow L_{C \times D} / M \rightarrow 1$$

with associated commutator map $\bar{c}_0^\tau(\cdot, \cdot)$ defined by $\bar{c}_0^\tau(\alpha + M, \beta + M) = c_0^\tau(\alpha, \beta)$, the subgroup $\hat{L}_{C \times \mathbf{0}, \tau} / K$ splits by Lemma 3.4. That is, $\hat{L}_{C \times \mathbf{0}, \tau} / K \cong (L_{C \times \mathbf{0}} / M) \times \langle \kappa_{24} \rangle$ and $\hat{R}_\tau / K \cong (R / M) \times \langle \kappa_{24} \rangle$. Let $\mathbb{C}_\psi$ be a one dimensional $\hat{L}_{C \times \mathbf{0}, \tau}$ -module with character ψ and $T_\psi = \mathbb{C}[\hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\hat{L}_{C \times \mathbf{0}, \tau}]} \mathbb{C}_\psi$ be the $\hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau}$ -module induced from $\mathbb{C}_\psi$.

We need to know ψ and T_ψ in detail. For this purpose, set $K_0 = \{a\tau(a)^{-1} \mid a \in \hat{L}_{C \times \mathbf{0}, \tau}\}$. Then K_0 is a subgroup of K with $\hat{M}_{0, \tau} = K_0 \times \langle \kappa_{24} \rangle$, where $\hat{M}_{0, \tau}$ denotes the inverse image of M_0 in $\hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau}$. Hence $K / K_0 \cong M / M_0 \cong D$ by Lemma 3.5. Moreover, K_0 is θ - and τ -invariant. We shall describe the structure of the group $\hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau} / K_0$ explicitly.

Recall our definition of e^α for $\alpha \in L_{C \times D}$ in Section 2.2. Let $\beta \in L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}$. Then $e^\beta e^{-\beta} = e^0 = 1$ in $\hat{L}_{C \times D}$ and so $e^\beta e^{-\beta} = \kappa_{24}^{\varepsilon_0(\beta, \beta)}$ in $\hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau}$ by (3.3). This means that the inverse $(e^\beta)^{-1}$ of e^β in $\hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau}$ is $\kappa_{24}^{-\varepsilon_0(\beta, \beta)} e^{-\beta}$. Now $\tau(e^\beta) = e^{\tau(\beta)}$ and $\varepsilon_0(\tau(\beta), \tau(\beta)) = \varepsilon_0(\beta, \beta)$. Hence $\tau(e^\beta)^{-1} = \kappa_{24}^{-\varepsilon_0(\beta, \beta)} e^{-\tau(\beta)}$. We also have $e^{\beta - \tau(\beta)} = e^\beta e^{-\tau(\beta)}$ in $\hat{L}_{C \times D}$ and it is equal to $\kappa_{24}^{-\varepsilon_0(\beta, \tau(\beta))} e^\beta e^{-\tau(\beta)}$ in $\hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau}$. It follows from the definition of $\varepsilon_0(\cdot, \cdot)$ that $\varepsilon_0(\beta, \beta) = \varepsilon_0(\beta, \tau(\beta))$, since τ is an isometry of $L_{C \times D}$ of order 3. Therefore,

$$e^\beta \tau(e^\beta)^{-1} = e^{\beta - \tau(\beta)} \quad \text{in } \hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau} \quad (3.23)$$

for $\beta \in L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}$. In the case of $\beta = -\beta_1^{(s)} + \beta_2^{(s)}$, we have

$$e^{-\beta_1^{(s)} + \beta_2^{(s)}} \tau(e^{-\beta_1^{(s)} + \beta_2^{(s)}})^{-1} = e^{3\beta_2^{(s)}} \quad \text{in } \hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau}. \quad (3.24)$$

For $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_\ell) \in D$, set

$$a(\gamma) = \sum_{s=1}^{\ell} \gamma_s (-\beta_1^{(s)} + \beta_2^{(s)}) / 3 \in L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}. \quad (3.25)$$

These $a(\gamma), \gamma \in D$ form a complete set of coset representatives of $L_{C \times \mathbf{0}}$ in $L_{C \times D}$, that is, $L_{C \times D} = \cup_{\gamma \in D} (a(\gamma) + L_{C \times \mathbf{0}})$ is a disjoint union, and so

$$\hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau} = \bigcup_{\gamma \in D} e^{a(\gamma)} \hat{L}_{C \times \mathbf{0}, \tau}. \quad (3.26)$$

Then using (3.22) we see that

$$K = \bigcup_{\gamma \in D} e^{a(\gamma)} \tau(e^{a(\gamma)})^{-1} K_0. \quad (3.27)$$

Moreover, it follows from (3.23) that

$$e^{a(\gamma)} \tau(e^{a(\gamma)})^{-1} = e^{\sum_{s=1}^{\ell} \gamma_s \beta_2^{(s)}} \quad \text{in } \hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau}. \quad (3.28)$$

We can verify directly by the definition of $\varepsilon_0(\cdot, \cdot)$ that

$$\varepsilon_0(\beta_i^{(s)}, \beta_j^{(t)}) = 8\delta_{s,t} + 24\mathbb{Z} \quad (3.29)$$

for $i, j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ and $1 \leq s, t \leq \ell$.

Lemma 3.6. *The following assertions hold in $\hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau}$ for $1 \leq s \leq \ell$.*

- (1) $e^{\beta_1^{(s)}} \equiv e^{\beta_2^{(s)}} \equiv e^{\beta_0^{(s)}} \pmod{K_0}$.
- (2) $(\kappa_3 e^{\beta_i^{(s)}})^3 = e^{3\beta_i^{(s)}} \in K_0$, $i = 0, 1, 2$.
- (3) $(\kappa_3 e^{\beta_i^{(s)}})^{-1} = \kappa_3 e^{-\beta_i^{(s)}}$, $i = 0, 1, 2$.

Proof. We have $\tau(e^{\beta_1^{(s)}}) = e^{\beta_2^{(s)}}$ and $\tau(e^{\beta_2^{(s)}}) = e^{\beta_0^{(s)}}$. Hence (1) is a consequence of the definition of K_0 . By (3.29), $e^{\beta_i^{(s)}} e^{-\beta_i^{(s)}} = \kappa_3$ in $\hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau}$. Thus (3) holds. Similarly, $(e^{\beta_i^{(s)}})^n = e^{n\beta_i^{(s)}}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ in $\hat{L}_{C \times D}$, while $(e^{\beta_i^{(s)}})^2 = \kappa_3^2 e^{2\beta_i^{(s)}}$ and $(e^{\beta_i^{(s)}})^3 = e^{3\beta_i^{(s)}}$ in $\hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau}$. Thus (2) follows from (3.24). $\square$

As shown in the above lemma, $(\kappa_3 e^{\beta_i^{(s)}})^m = \kappa_3^{m^2} e^{m\beta_i^{(s)}}$ in $\hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau}$ for any integer m . Now, let $m_s \in \mathbb{Z}$, $1 \leq s \leq \ell$. Then $e^{\sum_{s=1}^{\ell} m_s \beta_i^{(s)}} = e^{m_1 \beta_i^{(1)}} \dots e^{m_{\ell} \beta_i^{(\ell)}}$ in $\hat{L}_{C \times D}$ and in $\hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau}$ also by (3.29). Hence

$$(\kappa_3 e^{\beta_i^{(1)}})^{m_1} \dots (\kappa_3 e^{\beta_i^{(\ell)}})^{m_{\ell}} = \kappa_3^{\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{m}} e^{\sum_{s=1}^{\ell} m_s \beta_i^{(s)}} \quad \text{in } \hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau} \quad (3.30)$$

for any $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_{\ell}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\ell}$, where $\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{m} = \sum_{s=1}^{\ell} m_s^2$. The above lemma implies that $(\kappa_3 \beta_i^{(s)})^m K_0$ and $e^{m\beta_i^{(s)}} K_0$ depend only on $m \pmod{3\mathbb{Z}}$. Hence (3.30) is reduced to

$$(\kappa_3 e^{\beta_i^{(1)}})^{\gamma_1} \dots (\kappa_3 e^{\beta_i^{(\ell)}})^{\gamma_{\ell}} K_0 = \kappa_3^{\langle \gamma, \gamma \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_3}} e^{\sum_{s=1}^{\ell} \gamma_s \beta_i^{(s)}} K_0 \quad (3.31)$$

modulo K_0 for $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$. If $\gamma \in D$, then $\langle \gamma, \gamma \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_3} = 0$ since D is self-orthogonal. Therefore, (3.27) and (3.28) give that

$$K = \bigcup_{\gamma \in D} (\kappa_3 e^{\beta_i^{(1)}})^{\gamma_1} \dots (\kappa_3 e^{\beta_i^{(\ell)}})^{\gamma_\ell} K_0. \quad (3.32)$$

Motivated by the above result, we set

$$K_1 = \bigcup_{\gamma \in D^\perp} (\kappa_3 e^{\beta_i^{(1)}})^{\gamma_1} \dots (\kappa_3 e^{\beta_i^{(\ell)}})^{\gamma_\ell} K_0, \quad (3.33)$$

$$K_2 = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell} (\kappa_3 e^{\beta_i^{(1)}})^{\gamma_1} \dots (\kappa_3 e^{\beta_i^{(\ell)}})^{\gamma_\ell} K_0 \quad (3.34)$$

with $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_\ell)$.

Lemma 3.7. (1) K_2 is a subgroup of $\hat{L}_{C \times \mathbf{0}, \tau}$ such that $K_2 \cap \langle \kappa_{24} \rangle = 1$ and $\hat{L}_{C \times \mathbf{0}, \tau} = K_2 \times \langle \kappa_{24} \rangle$. Moreover,

$$K_2/K_0 = \langle \kappa_3 e^{\beta_i^{(1)}} K_0/K_0 \rangle \times \dots \times \langle \kappa_3 e^{\beta_i^{(\ell)}} K_0/K_0 \rangle,$$

which is isomorphic to $L_{C \times \mathbf{0}}/M_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$.

(2) K_1 is a subgroup of K_2 such that $\hat{R}_\tau = K_1 \times \langle \kappa_{24} \rangle$. Moreover, K_1/K_0 is isomorphic to $R/M_0 \cong D^\perp$.

Proof. Since $\mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$ is an additive group, K_2 is a subgroup of $\hat{L}_{C \times \mathbf{0}, \tau}$. By Lemma 3.6 (2), the order of K_2/K_0 is at most 3^ℓ . Moreover, we see that the image $\overline{K_2}$ of K_2 under the homomorphism $\hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau} \xrightarrow{\sim} L_{C \times D}$ is $L_{C \times \mathbf{0}}$ by (3.21). Thus (1) holds. Similarly, (2) follows from (3.20). $\square$

We remark that $(e^{\beta_i^{(1)}})^{\gamma_1} \dots (e^{\beta_i^{(\ell)}})^{\gamma_\ell} K_0$, $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_\ell) \in D$ is not necessarily contained in K by (3.32), for $K \cap \langle \kappa_{24} \rangle = 1$ and $\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_\ell \notin 3\mathbb{Z}$ in general.

Let $\psi : \hat{L}_{C \times \mathbf{0}, \tau} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a homomorphism of abelian groups such that $\psi(\kappa_{24}) = \zeta_{24}$ and $\psi(a) = 1$ for $a \in K_0$. Then $\psi(\kappa_3 e^{\beta_i^{(s)}}) = \zeta_3^{\eta_s}$, $1 \leq s \leq \ell$ for some $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$ by Lemma 3.7. We denote such a homomorphism ψ by ψ_η . In fact, $\eta \mapsto \psi_\eta$ is an isomorphism of the additive group $\mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$ onto the multiplicative group of all homomorphism $\psi : \hat{L}_{C \times \mathbf{0}, \tau} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ with $\psi(\kappa_{24}) = \zeta_{24}$ and $\psi(a) = 1$ for $a \in K_0$. The homomorphism ψ_η is determined by the three conditions (i) $\psi_\eta(\kappa_{24}) = \zeta_{24}$, (ii) ψ_η is 1 on K_0 , and (iii) $\psi_\eta(\kappa_3 e^{\beta_i^{(s)}}) = \zeta_3^{\eta_s}$. Note that $\psi_\eta(\kappa_3 e^{-\beta_i^{(s)}}) = \zeta_3^{-\eta_s}$ by Lemma 3.6 and that $\psi_\eta(e^{\pm \beta_i^{(s)}}) = \zeta_3^{-1 \pm \eta_s}$.

Remark 3.8. The conditions (i), (ii), and (iii) for ψ_η are consistent with the conditions for χ_j in [10, Section 4].

As before, let $\mathbb{C}_{\psi_\eta}$ be a one dimensional $\hat{L}_{C \times \mathbf{0}, \tau}$ -module affording the character ψ_η and $T_{\psi_\eta} = \mathbb{C}[\hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\hat{L}_{C \times \mathbf{0}, \tau}]} \mathbb{C}_{\psi_\eta}$ be the $\hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau}$ -module induced from $\mathbb{C}_{\psi_\eta}$. It follows from (3.26) that $\{e^{a(\gamma)} \otimes 1 \mid \gamma \in D\}$ is a basis of T_{ψ_η} , where 1 denotes a fixed nonzero vector in $\mathbb{C}_{\psi_\eta}$.

Lemma 3.9. (1) κ_{24} and K_0 act on T_{ψ_η} as ζ_{24} and 1, respectively. Moreover, K (resp. K_1) acts on T_{ψ_η} as 1 if and only if $\eta \in D^\perp$ (resp. $\eta \in D$).

(2) For $\eta, \eta' \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$, the $\hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau}$ -modules T_{ψ_η} and $T_{\psi_{\eta'}}$ are equivalent if and only if $\eta \equiv \eta' \pmod{D}$, which is also equivalent to the condition that ψ_η and $\psi_{\eta'}$ agree on K_1 .

(3) The action of $\kappa_3 e^{\pm \beta_i^{(s)}}$ on $e^{a(\gamma)} \otimes 1$ is such that

$$\kappa_3 e^{\pm \beta_i^{(s)}} \cdot (e^{a(\gamma)} \otimes 1) = \zeta_3^{\pm(\eta_s - \gamma_s)} e^{a(\gamma)} \otimes 1.$$

That is, $\mathbb{C}e^{a(\gamma)} \otimes 1$ is a one dimensional $\hat{L}_{C \times \mathbf{0}, \tau}$ -module with character $\psi_{\eta - \gamma}$.

Proof. Since $K_0 \subset K \subset K_1$ and $K_1 \times \langle \kappa_{24} \rangle$ is the center of the group $\hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau}$, the first assertion of (1) follows from the definition of T_{ψ_η} . By (3.32) (resp. (3.33)), ψ_η is 1 on K (resp. K_1) if and only if $\eta \in D^\perp$ (resp. $\eta \in D$). Hence the second assertion of (1) holds.

For $b \in \hat{L}_{C \times \mathbf{0}, \tau}$, we have $be^{a(\gamma)} = \kappa_{24}^{c_0^\tau(\bar{b}, a(\gamma))} e^{a(\gamma)} b$. Since b acts on $\mathbb{C}_{\psi_\eta}$ as $\psi_\eta(b)$, the action of b on $e^{a(\gamma)} \otimes 1$ is given by

$$b \cdot (e^{a(\gamma)} \otimes 1) = \zeta_{24}^{c_0^\tau(\bar{b}, a(\gamma))} \psi_\eta(b) (e^{a(\gamma)} \otimes 1).$$

Now $c_0^\tau(\beta_i^{(s)}, a(\gamma)) = -8\gamma_s + 24\mathbb{Z}$ by (3.9). Therefore, (3) holds.

As an $\hat{L}_{C \times \mathbf{0}, \tau}$ -module, T_{ψ_η} is a direct sum of one dimensional modules $\mathbb{C}e^{a(\gamma)} \otimes 1$, $\gamma \in D$ and so its character is $\sum_{\gamma \in D} \psi_{\eta - \gamma}$ by (3). Thus T_{ψ_η} is equivalent to $T_{\psi_{\eta'}}$ as $\hat{L}_{C \times \mathbf{0}, \tau}$ -modules if and only if $\sum_{\gamma \in D} \psi_{\eta - \gamma}$ is identical with $\sum_{\gamma \in D} \psi_{\eta' - \gamma}$. This is exactly the case where $\eta \equiv \eta' \pmod{D}$. If $\delta \in D$, then $e^{a(\delta)} e^{a(\gamma)} \in e^{a(\delta) + a(\gamma)} \langle \kappa_3 \rangle$ in $\hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau}$. Since $a(\delta) + a(\gamma) \equiv a(\delta + \gamma) \pmod{L^{\oplus \ell}}$, it follows that $e^{a(\delta)} e^{a(\gamma)} \in e^{a(\delta + \gamma)} \hat{L}_{C \times \mathbf{0}, \tau}$. Then the action of $e^{a(\delta)}$ on each of T_{ψ_η} and $T_{\psi_{\eta'}}$ is a permutation $e^{a(\gamma)} \otimes 1 \mapsto e^{a(\delta + \gamma)} \otimes 1$, $\gamma \in D$ on the basis $\{e^{a(\gamma)} \otimes 1 \mid \gamma \in D\}$ modulo the action of $\hat{L}_{C \times \mathbf{0}, \tau}$. Thus the first part of (2) holds. The second part of (2) is a consequence of (3.33). $\square$

By the above lemma, we have that the action of $e^{\pm\beta_i^{(s)}}$ on $e^{a(\gamma)} \otimes 1 \in T_{\psi_\eta}$ is

$$e^{\pm\beta_i^{(s)}} \cdot (e^{a(\gamma)} \otimes 1) = \zeta_3^{-1 \pm (\eta_s - \gamma_s)} e^{a(\gamma)} \otimes 1. \quad (3.35)$$

As an $\hat{L}_{C \times \mathbf{0}, \tau}$ -module, T_{ψ_η} is a direct sum of one dimensional modules $\mathbb{C}e^{a(\gamma)} \otimes 1$, $\gamma \in D$ whose characters $\psi_{\eta-\gamma}$, $\gamma \in D$ are different each other. Thus T_{ψ_η} is an irreducible $\hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau}$ -module.

Remark 3.10. The equivalence class of T_{ψ_η} is determined by the values of ψ_η on $\hat{R}_\tau = K_1 \times \langle \kappa_{24} \rangle$ (cf. Lemma 3.9 (2)). Hence T_{ψ_η} , $\eta \in D^\perp$ are exactly the modules $\mathbb{F}_\psi$ of [28, Proposition 6.2] in our case.

Recall that $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_{C \times D} = (\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L)^{\oplus \ell}$ is an orthogonal sum of ℓ copies of $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L$. As before, we use $\alpha^{(s)}$ to denote the element $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L$ in the s -th entry of $(C \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L)^{\oplus \ell}$. Let

$$h_1^{(s)} = \frac{1}{3}(\beta_1^{(s)} + \zeta_3^2 \beta_2^{(s)} + \zeta_3 \beta_0^{(s)}), \quad h_2^{(s)} = \frac{1}{3}(\beta_1^{(s)} + \zeta_3 \beta_2^{(s)} + \zeta_3^2 \beta_0^{(s)}).$$

Then $\tau h_j^{(s)} = \zeta_3^j h_j^{(s)}$, $\langle h_j^{(s)}, h_j^{(t)} \rangle = 0$, and $\langle h_1^{(s)}, h_2^{(t)} \rangle = 2\delta_{s,t}$. Set

$$\mathfrak{h}_{(n)} = \{\alpha \in \mathfrak{h} \mid \tau \alpha = \zeta_3^n \alpha\}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The index n of $\mathfrak{h}_{(n)}$ is considered to be modulo 3. Then $\mathfrak{h}_{(0)} = 0$ and $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_{(1)} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{(2)}$ with $\mathfrak{h}_{(n)} = \mathbb{C}h_n^{(1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{C}h_n^{(\ell)}$, $n = 1, 2$. If $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}$, we write $\alpha_{(n)}$ for the component of α in $\mathfrak{h}_{(n)}$. In this notation we have $(\beta_i^{(s)})_{(1)} = \zeta_3^{i-1} h_1^{(s)}$ and $(\beta_i^{(s)})_{(2)} = \zeta_3^{2(i-1)} h_2^{(s)}$, $i = 0, 1, 2$.

The τ -twisted affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}[\tau]$ is defined to be

$$\hat{\mathfrak{h}}[\tau] = \left(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{h}_{(n)} \otimes t^{n/3} \right) \oplus \mathbb{C}c$$

with the bracket

$$[x \otimes t^m, y \otimes t^n] = m \langle x, y \rangle \delta_{m+n, 0} c$$

for $x \in \mathfrak{h}_{(3m)}$, $y \in \mathfrak{h}_{(3n)}$, $m, n \in (1/3)\mathbb{Z}$ and $[c, \hat{\mathfrak{h}}[\tau]] = 0$. The isometry τ acts on $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}[\tau]$ by $\tau(x \otimes t^{n/3}) = \zeta_3^n x \otimes t^{n/3}$ and $\tau(c) = c$. The τ -twisted affine Lie algebra admits a triangular decomposition. Set

$$\hat{\mathfrak{h}}[\tau]^+ = \bigoplus_{n > 0} \mathfrak{h}_{(n)} \otimes t^{n/3}, \quad \hat{\mathfrak{h}}[\tau]^- = \bigoplus_{n < 0} \mathfrak{h}_{(n)} \otimes t^{n/3}, \quad \hat{\mathfrak{h}}[\tau]^0 = \mathbb{C}c.$$

Then $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}[\tau] = \hat{\mathfrak{h}}[\tau]^- \oplus \hat{\mathfrak{h}}[\tau]^0 \oplus \hat{\mathfrak{h}}[\tau]^+$. Consider the $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}[\tau]$ -module

$$S[\tau] = U(\hat{\mathfrak{h}}[\tau]) \otimes_{U(\hat{\mathfrak{h}}[\tau]^+ \oplus \hat{\mathfrak{h}}[\tau]^0)} \mathbb{C}$$

induced from the $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}[\tau]^+ \oplus \hat{\mathfrak{h}}[\tau]^0$ -module $\mathbb{C}$, where $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}[\tau]^+$ acts as 0 and $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}[\tau]^0$ acts as 1 on $\mathbb{C}$. The weight gradation on $S[\tau]$ is given by (cf. [12, (4.6), (4.10)])

$$\text{wt}(x \otimes t^n) = -n, \quad \text{wt}(1) = \frac{\ell}{9}$$

for $n \in (1/3)\mathbb{Z}$ and $x \in \mathfrak{h}_{(3n)}$. For $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $n \in (1/3)\mathbb{Z}$, we write $\alpha(n)$ for the operator on $S[\tau]$ induced by the action of $\alpha_{(3n)} \otimes t^n$. As a vector space $S[\tau]$ can be identified with a polynomial algebra with variables $h_1^{(s)}(1/3 + n)$ and $h_2^{(s)}(2/3 + n)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$, $1 \leq s \leq \ell$. The weight of the operator $h_i^{(s)}(i/3 + n)$ is $-i/3 - n$. The isometry τ acts naturally on the polynomial algebra by $\tau(h_i^{(s)}(i/3 + n)) = \zeta_3^i h_i^{(s)}(i/3 + n)$, which is an algebra automorphism of order 3.

Set

$$V_{LC \times D}^{T, \eta} = V_{LC \times D}^{T, \eta}(\tau) = S[\tau] \otimes T_{\psi_\eta} \quad (3.36)$$

for $\eta \in D^\perp$. The weight of any element in T_{ψ_η} is defined to be 0. Hence the weight of elements in $V_{LC \times D}^{T, \eta}$ is given by $\text{wt}(u \otimes v) = \text{wt}(u)$ for $u \in S[\tau]$ and $v \in T_{\psi_\eta}$. Moreover, the action of τ on T_{ψ_η} is defined to be $\zeta_3^{2\text{wt}_{\mathbb{Z}_3}(\eta)}$. Thus τ acts on $V_{LC \times D}^{T, \eta}$ via $\tau(u \otimes v) = \tau(u) \otimes \tau(v)$.

We define the τ -twisted vertex operator $Y^\tau(\cdot, x) : V_{LC \times D} \rightarrow \text{End}(V_{LC \times D}^{T, \eta})\{x\}$ in the same way as in [12]. Thus for $e^\alpha \in \hat{L}_{C \times D}$, let

$$Y^\tau(e^\alpha, x) = 3^{-\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle / 2} \phi(\alpha) E^-(-\alpha, x) E^+(-\alpha, x) e^\alpha x^{-\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle / 2},$$

where

$$E^\pm(\alpha, x) = \exp \left(\sum_{n \in (1/3)\mathbb{Z}_\pm} \frac{\alpha(n)}{n} x^{-n} \right)$$

and $\phi(\alpha) = (1 - \zeta_3^2)^{\langle \tau \alpha, \alpha \rangle}$. Furthermore, e^α acts on the $\hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau}$ -module T_{ψ_η} through the set-theoretic identification between $\hat{L}_{C \times D}$ and $\hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau}$. Here $\phi(\alpha)$ denotes $\sigma(\alpha)$ of [12, (4.34)]. For $v = \alpha^1(-n_1) \cdots \alpha^k(-n_k) \cdot e^\alpha \in V_{LC \times D}$ with $\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^k \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $n_1, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, set

$$W(v, x) = \circ \left(\frac{1}{(n_1 - 1)!} \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^{n_1 - 1} \alpha^1(x) \right) \cdots \left(\frac{1}{(n_k - 1)!} \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^{n_k - 1} \alpha^k(x) \right) Y^\tau(e^\alpha, x) \circ,$$

where $\alpha(x) = \sum_{n \in (1/3)\mathbb{Z}} \alpha(n)x^{-n-1}$. Define constants $c_{mn}^i \in \mathbb{C}$ for $m, n \geq 0$ and $i = 0, 1, 2$ by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m,n \geq 0} c_{mn}^0 x^m y^n &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=1}^2 \log \left(\frac{(1+x)^{1/3} - \zeta_3^{-r}(1+y)^{1/3}}{1 - \zeta_3^{-r}} \right), \\ \sum_{m,n \geq 0} c_{mn}^i x^m y^n &= \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{(1+x)^{1/3} - \zeta_3^{-i}(1+y)^{1/3}}{1 - \zeta_3^{-i}} \right) \quad \text{for } i \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then for an orthonormal basis $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{2\ell}\}$ of $\mathfrak{h}$, define $\Delta_z \in \text{End}(V_{L_{C \times D}})[[x, x^{-1}]]$ by

$$\Delta_x = \sum_{m,n \geq 0} \sum_{i=0}^2 \sum_{j=1}^{2\ell} c_{mn}^i (\tau^{-i} \gamma_j)(m) \gamma_j(n) x^{-m-n}.$$

Finally, the τ -twisted vertex operator is defined by

$$Y^\tau(v, x) = W(e^{\Delta_x} v, x).$$

By [12, Theorem 7.1] and [28, Proposition 6.2], $(V_{L_{C \times D}}^{T, \eta}(\tau), Y^\tau)$, $\eta \in D^\perp$ is an irreducible τ -twisted $V_{L_{C \times D}}$ -module. Each of the $|D^\perp/D|$ choices of $\eta \in D^\perp \pmod{D}$ yields an inequivalent irreducible τ -twisted $V_{L_{C \times D}}$ -module.

We have discussed only irreducible τ -twisted $V_{L_{C \times D}}$ -modules so far. Now, we deal with irreducible τ^2 -twisted ones. Actually, we can construct $|D^\perp/D|$ inequivalent irreducible τ^2 -twisted $V_{L_{C \times D}}$ -modules $(V_{L_{C \times D}}^{T, \eta}(\tau^2), Y^{\tau^2})$, $\eta \in D^\perp \pmod{D}$ similarly. Indeed, replace τ with τ^2 in the above argument and proceed in the same way. Thus, define a τ^2 -invariant alternating $\mathbb{Z}$ bilinear form $c_0^{\tau^2} : L_{C \times D} \times L_{C \times D} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/24\mathbb{Z}$ by replacing τ with τ^2 in (3.1) and consider a central extension $\hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau^2}$ of $L_{C \times D}$ by $\langle \kappa_{24} \rangle$ with associated commutator map $c_0^{\tau^2}(\cdot, \cdot)$. We can construct a class of irreducible $\hat{L}_{C \times D, \tau^2}$ -modules T'_{ψ_η} , $\eta \in D^\perp$. Let $h_1'^{(s)} = h_2^{(s)}$ and $h_2'^{(s)} = h_1^{(s)}$, $1 \leq s \leq \ell$. Set $\mathfrak{h}'_{(n)} = \{\alpha \in \mathfrak{h} \mid \tau^2 \alpha = \zeta_3^n \alpha\}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ (cf. [10, Section 4.3]). Take $h_1'^{(s)}$ and $h_2'^{(s)}$ instead of $h_1^{(s)}$ and $h_2^{(s)}$, respectively and consider $S[\tau^2]$. Let

$$V_{L_{C \times D}}^{T, \eta}(\tau^2) = S[\tau^2] \otimes T'_{\psi_\eta}.$$

We define an action of τ on T'_{ψ_η} by $\tau(v) = \zeta_3^{2 \text{wt}_{\mathbb{Z}_3}(\eta)} v$, that is, $\tau(v) = \zeta_3^{\text{wt}_{\mathbb{Z}_3}(\eta)} v$. Then τ acts on $V_{L_{C \times D}}^{T, \eta}(\tau^2)$ via $\tau(u \otimes v) = \tau(u) \otimes \tau(v)$ for $u \in S[\tau^2]$

and $v \in T'_{\psi_\eta}$. As before, we can define the τ^2 -twisted vertex operator $Y^{\tau^2}(\cdot, x)$ so that $(V_{L_{C \times D}}^{T, \eta}(\tau^2), Y^{\tau^2})$, $\eta \in D^\perp \pmod{D}$ are inequivalent irreducible τ^2 -twisted $V_{L_{C \times D}}$ -modules.

Since $V_{L_{C \times D}}$ is rational and C_2 -cofinite, the number of irreducible τ^i -twisted $V_{L_{C \times D}}$ -modules is bounded above by the number of τ -stable irreducible $V_{L_{C \times D}}$ -modules by [15, Theorem 10.2] for each $i = 1, 2$. Now, $\{V_{L_{C \times (\eta + D)}} \mid \eta \in D^\perp \pmod{D}\}$ is the set of all τ -stable irreducible $V_{L_{C \times D}}$ -modules up to isomorphism. Hence we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.11. *For $i = 1, 2$, there are exactly $|D^\perp/D|$ inequivalent irreducible τ^i -twisted $V_{L_{C \times D}}$ -modules. They are represented by $(V_{L_{C \times D}}^{T, \eta}(\tau^i), Y^{\tau^i})$, $\eta \in D^\perp \pmod{D}$.*

For $i = 1, 2$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}_3$, set

$$V_{L_{C \times D}}^{T, \eta}(\tau^i)[\varepsilon] = \{u \in V_{L_{C \times D}}^{T, \eta}(\tau^i) \mid \tau^i u = \zeta_3^\varepsilon u\}.$$

These are irreducible $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau$ -modules.

In the case where $\ell = 1$ with $C = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ and $D = \{\mathbf{0}\}$, $V_{L_{C \times D}}^{T, \eta}(\tau^i)[\varepsilon]$ reduces to $V_L^{T, j}(\tau_1^i)[\varepsilon]$, $j = 0, 1, 2$. The relation between our $V_L^{T, j}(\tau_1^i)[\varepsilon]$ and $V_L^{T_{\chi_j}}(\tau)(r)$, $V_L^{T_{\chi'_j}}(\tau^2)(r)$ in [10] is as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} V_L^{T, 0}(\tau_1)[\varepsilon] &= V_L^{T_{\chi_0}}(\tau)(\varepsilon), \\ V_L^{T, 0}(\tau_1^2)[\varepsilon] &= V_L^{T_{\chi'_0}}(\tau^2)(\varepsilon), \\ V_L^{T, j}(\tau_1)[\varepsilon] &= V_L^{T_{\chi_j}}(\tau)(\varepsilon + 1), \quad j = 1, 2, \\ V_L^{T, j}(\tau_1^2)[\varepsilon] &= V_L^{T_{\chi'_j}}(\tau^2)(\varepsilon + 1), \quad j = 1, 2 \end{aligned}$$

for $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}_3$. Recall that the action of τ on T_{χ_j} and $T_{\chi'_j}$ was defined to be 1 in [10], while τ acts on T_{ψ_η} (resp. T'_{ψ_η}) as $\zeta_3^{2 \text{wt}_{\mathbb{Z}_3}(\eta)}$ (resp. $\zeta_3^{\text{wt}_{\mathbb{Z}_3}(\eta)}$). The new notation is suitable for the description of the fusion rules for $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau$.

For simplicity of notation we denote $e^{a(\gamma)} \otimes 1$ by $v_{(\eta, \gamma)}$. Then $V_{L_{C \times D}}^{T, \eta}(\tau) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in D} S[\tau] \otimes v_{(\eta, \gamma)}$. We decompose $V_{L_{C \times D}}^{T, \eta}(\tau)$ into a direct sum of irreducible $(V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes \ell}$ -modules. Each irreducible direct summand is a tensor product $M^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes M^\ell$ of irreducible $V_L^{\tau_1}$ -modules M^s , $1 \leq s \leq \ell$. It turns out that each M^s is isomorphic to one of $V_L^{T, j}(\tau_1)[\varepsilon]$, $j = 0, 1, 2$, $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}_3$.

In order to determine those irreducible $V_L^{T_1}$ -modules M^s , we calculate the action of $\omega_1^{(s)}$, $P_1^{(s)}$, and $J_2^{(s)}$ on the top level of $M^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes M^\ell$, where $\omega^{(s)}$, $P^{(s)}$, and $J^{(s)}$ are the elements of $(V_L^{T_1})^{\otimes \ell}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\omega^{(s)} &= \frac{1}{12} \sum_{i=0}^2 \beta_i^{(s)} (-1)^2 \mathbf{1}, & P^{(s)} &= \sum_{i=0}^2 (e^{\beta_i^{(s)}} - e^{-\beta_i^{(s)}}), \\ J^{(s)} &= -\frac{1}{6} \sum_{i=0}^2 \beta_i^{(s)} (-2) (\beta_{i+1}^{(s)} - \beta_{i+2}^{(s)}) (-1) \mathbf{1} - \sum_{i=0}^2 (\beta_{i+1}^{(s)} - \beta_{i+2}^{(s)}) (-1) (e^{\beta_i^{(s)}} - e^{-\beta_i^{(s)}}).\end{aligned}$$

Here the index i of $\beta_i^{(s)}$ is considered to be modulo 3. Moreover, u_n denotes the coefficient of x^{-n-1} in the τ -twisted vertex operator $Y^\tau(u, x)$ for $u = \omega^{(s)}$, $P^{(s)}$, and $J^{(s)}$. Note that $\omega^{(s)}$, $P^{(s)}$, and $J^{(s)}$ are the elements ω , P , and $J \in V_L^{T_1}$ of [36] in the s -th tensor component of $(V_L^{T_1})^{\otimes \ell}$ and that $\omega = \tilde{\omega}^1 + \tilde{\omega}^2$ is the Virasoro element of $V_L^{T_1}$.

By a direct calculation, we can verify that the operators $\omega_1^{(s)}$, $P_1^{(s)}$, and $J_2^{(s)}$ of $V_{LC \times D}^{T, \eta}(\tau)$ transforms the elements $1 \otimes v_{(\eta, \gamma)}$, $h_2^{(s)}(-1/3) \otimes v_{(\eta, \gamma)}$, $h_1^{(s)}(-2/3) \otimes v_{(\eta, \gamma)}$, and $h_2^{(s)}(-1/3)^2 \otimes v_{(\eta, \gamma)}$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_1^{(s)} \cdot (1 \otimes v_{(\eta, \gamma)}) &= \frac{1}{9} (1 \otimes v_{(\eta, \gamma)}), \\ \omega_1^{(s)} \cdot h_2^{(s)}(-\frac{1}{3}) \otimes v_{(\eta, \gamma)} &= \frac{4}{9} h_2^{(s)}(-\frac{1}{3}) \otimes v_{(\eta, \gamma)}, \\ \omega_1^{(s)} \cdot h_1^{(s)}(-\frac{2}{3}) \otimes v_{(\eta, \gamma)} &= \frac{7}{9} h_1^{(s)}(-\frac{2}{3}) \otimes v_{(\eta, \gamma)}, \\ \omega_1^{(s)} \cdot h_2^{(s)}(-\frac{1}{3})^2 \otimes v_{(\eta, \gamma)} &= \frac{7}{9} h_2^{(s)}(-\frac{1}{3})^2 \otimes v_{(\eta, \gamma)},\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P_1^{(s)} \cdot (1 \otimes v_{(\eta, \gamma)}) &= -\frac{1}{9}(\zeta_3^{\eta_s - \gamma_s} - \zeta_3^{-\eta_s + \gamma_s})(1 \otimes v_{(\eta, \gamma)}), \\
P_1^{(s)} \cdot h_2^{(s)}(-\frac{1}{3}) \otimes v_{(\eta, \gamma)} &= \frac{5}{9}(\zeta_3^{\eta_s - \gamma_s} - \zeta_3^{-\eta_s + \gamma_s})h_2^{(s)}(-\frac{1}{3}) \otimes v_{(\eta, \gamma)}, \\
P_1^{(s)} \cdot h_1^{(s)}(-\frac{2}{3}) \otimes v_{(\eta, \gamma)} &= \frac{2}{9}(\zeta_3^{\eta_s - \gamma_s} - \zeta_3^{-\eta_s + \gamma_s})h_1^{(s)}(-\frac{2}{3}) \otimes v_{(\eta, \gamma)} \\
&\quad + (\zeta_3^{\eta_s - \gamma_s} + \zeta_3^{-\eta_s + \gamma_s})h_2^{(s)}(-\frac{1}{3})^2 \otimes v_{(\eta, \gamma)}, \\
P_1^{(s)} \cdot h_2^{(s)}(-\frac{1}{3})^2 \otimes v_{(\eta, \gamma)} &= -\frac{2}{3}(\zeta_3^{\eta_s - \gamma_s} + \zeta_3^{-\eta_s + \gamma_s})h_1^{(s)}(-\frac{2}{3}) \otimes v_{(\eta, \gamma)} \\
&\quad - \frac{7}{9}(\zeta_3^{\eta_s - \gamma_s} - \zeta_3^{-\eta_s + \gamma_s})h_2^{(s)}(-\frac{1}{3})^2 \otimes v_{(\eta, \gamma)},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
J_2^{(s)} \cdot (1 \otimes v_{(\eta, \gamma)}) &= \frac{2}{81}\sqrt{-3}\left(1 + 3(\zeta_3^{\eta_s - \gamma_s} + \zeta_3^{-\eta_s + \gamma_s})\right)(1 \otimes v_{(\eta, \gamma)}), \\
J_2^{(s)} \cdot h_2^{(s)}(-\frac{1}{3}) \otimes v_{(\eta, \gamma)} &= \frac{2}{81}\sqrt{-3}\left(-8 + 3(\zeta_3^{\eta_s - \gamma_s} + \zeta_3^{-\eta_s + \gamma_s})\right)h_2^{(s)}(-\frac{1}{3}) \otimes v_{(\eta, \gamma)}, \\
J_2^{(s)} \cdot h_1^{(s)}(-\frac{2}{3}) \otimes v_{(\eta, \gamma)} &= \frac{2}{81}\sqrt{-3}\left(37 - 24(\zeta_3^{\eta_s - \gamma_s} + \zeta_3^{-\eta_s + \gamma_s})\right)h_1^{(s)}(-\frac{2}{3}) \otimes v_{(\eta, \gamma)} \\
&\quad - \frac{2}{3}\sqrt{-3}(\zeta_3^{\eta_s - \gamma_s} - \zeta_3^{-\eta_s + \gamma_s})h_2^{(s)}(-\frac{1}{3})^2 \otimes v_{(\eta, \gamma)}, \\
J_2^{(s)} \cdot h_2^{(s)}(-\frac{1}{3})^2 \otimes v_{(\eta, \gamma)} &= \frac{4}{9}\sqrt{-3}(\zeta_3^{\eta_s - \gamma_s} - \zeta_3^{-\eta_s + \gamma_s})h_1^{(s)}(-\frac{2}{3}) \otimes v_{(\eta, \gamma)} \\
&\quad + \frac{2}{81}\sqrt{-3}\left(-17 - 51(\zeta_3^{\eta_s - \gamma_s} + \zeta_3^{-\eta_s + \gamma_s})\right)h_2^{(s)}(-\frac{1}{3})^2 \otimes v_{(\eta, \gamma)}.
\end{aligned}$$

We note that the above equations are the same as the action of ω_1 , P_1 , and J_2 on the the elements $1 \otimes v$, $h_2(-1/3) \otimes v$, $h_1(-2/3) \otimes v$, and $h_2(-1/3)^2 \otimes v$ of $V_L^{T_{\chi_j}}(\tau)$ in the notation of [36, Section 4] with $j = \eta_s - \gamma_s$. Furthermore, the action of ω_1 and P_2 on the the elements $1 \otimes v$, $h_2'(-1/3) \otimes v$, $h_1'(-2/3) \otimes v$, and $h_2'(-1/3)^2 \otimes v$ of $V_L^{T_{\chi_j'}}(\tau^2)$ are the same as above, while the action of J_2 is negative of the above equations.

Now $S[\tau] \otimes v_{(\eta, \gamma)}$ is a $(V_L^{T_1})^{\otimes \ell}$ -submodule of $V_{LC \times D}^{T, \eta}(\tau)$ for each of $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_\ell) \in D$. Decompose $S[\tau] \otimes v_{(\eta, \gamma)}$ into a direct sum of irreducible $(V_L^{T_1})^{\otimes \ell}$ -modules and let $M^1 \otimes \dots \otimes M^\ell$ be a irreducible direct summand of the decomposition. Thus M^s , $1 \leq s \leq \ell$ are irreducible $V_L^{T_1}$ -modules. We use the classification of irreducible $V_L^{T_1}$ -modules established in [36] to identify M^s .

We consider the action of $\omega_1^{(s)}$, $P_1^{(s)}$, and $J_2^{(s)}$ on the top level of $M^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes M^\ell$. If $t \neq s$, the operators $\omega_1^{(s)}$, $P_1^{(s)}$, and $J_2^{(s)}$ commute with $h_j^{(t)}(j/3 + n)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$, $j = 1, 2$. Then from the above calculation we see that the eigenvalues of $\omega_1^{(s)}$ on $S[\tau] \otimes v_{(\eta, \gamma)}$ are of the form $1/9 + m/3$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ for any $1 \leq s \leq \ell$. Thus the weight, that is the eigenvalue of $\omega_1^{(s)}$, of the top level of the irreducible $V_L^{\tau^1}$ -module $M^{(s)}$ is $1/9 + m/3$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then by [36, Section 4], $M^{(s)}$ must be isomorphic to one of $V_L^{T,j}(\tau)[r]$, $V_L^{T,j}(\tau^2)[r]$, $j, r \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. In particular, the weight of the top level of $M^{(s)}$ is one of $1/9 + m/3$, $m = 0, 1, 2$ and its dimension is 1 or 2.

Define subspaces $H^{j,k,(s)}$, $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ of $S[\tau]$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} H^{0,0,(s)} &= H^{1,2,(s)} = H^{2,2,(s)} = \mathbb{C}, \\ H^{0,2,(s)} &= H^{1,1,(s)} = H^{2,1,(s)} = \mathbb{C}h_2^{(s)}(-\frac{1}{3}), \\ H^{0,1,(s)} &= H^{1,0,(s)} = H^{2,0,(s)} = \mathbb{C}h_1^{(s)}(-\frac{2}{3}) + \mathbb{C}h_2^{(s)}(-\frac{1}{3})^2. \end{aligned}$$

For $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$, set

$$H_{(\eta, \gamma, \varepsilon)} = H^{\eta_1 - \gamma_1, \varepsilon_1, (1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes H^{\eta_\ell - \gamma_\ell, \varepsilon_\ell, (\ell)} \otimes v_{(\eta, \gamma)} \subset S[\tau] \otimes v_{(\eta, \gamma)}.$$

For any $t \in H^{j,k,(s)}$, we have

$$\text{wt}(t) \equiv \begin{cases} \frac{2k}{3} & \text{if } j = 0, \\ \frac{2k+2}{3} & \text{if } j \neq 0. \end{cases} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Hence for any $u \in H_{(\eta, \gamma, \varepsilon)}$,

$$\text{wt}(u) \equiv \frac{\ell}{9} + \frac{2}{3} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \varepsilon_i + \frac{2\text{wt}_{\mathbb{Z}_3}(\eta - \gamma)}{3} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

By Lemma 2.10,

$$\text{wt}(u) \equiv \frac{\ell}{9} + \frac{2}{3} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \varepsilon_i + \frac{2\text{wt}_{\mathbb{Z}_3}(\eta)}{3} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Therefore, τ acts on $H_{(\eta, \gamma, \varepsilon)}$ as a scalar $\zeta_3^{\varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \varepsilon_\ell}$.

The top level of $M^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes M^\ell$ is equal to $H_{(\eta, \gamma, \varepsilon)}$ for some $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$. Next, consider the action of $\omega_1^{(s)}$, $P_1^{(s)}$, and $J_2^{(s)}$ on $H_{(\eta, \gamma, \varepsilon)}$ and compare it with the action of ω_1 , P_1 , and J_2 on the top level of $V_L^{T,j}(\tau)[r]$ and $V_L^{T,j}(\tau^2)[r]$, $j, r \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ in [36, Section 4]. Then we finally conclude that $M^{(s)}$ is isomorphic to $V_L^{T, \eta_s - \gamma_s}(\tau)[\varepsilon_s]$. Note that the action of ω_1 on the top level of $V_L^{T,j}(\tau)[r]$ and $V_L^{T,j}(\tau^2)[r]$ distinguishes $r = 0, 1, 2$, and the action of P_1 distinguishes $j = 0, 1, 2$, while the action of J_2 distinguishes $V_L^{T,j}(\tau)[r]$ and $V_L^{T,j}(\tau^2)[r]$.

Since all $H_{(\eta, \gamma, \varepsilon)}$, $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$ are contained in $S[\tau] \otimes v_{(\eta, \gamma)}$ and since $S[\tau] \otimes v_{(\eta, \gamma)}$ is a direct sum of irreducible $(V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes \ell}$ -modules, we also have that for each ε there is an irreducible direct summand $M^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes M^\ell$ of $S[\tau] \otimes v_{(\eta, \gamma)}$ whose top level is equal to $H_{(\eta, \gamma, \varepsilon)}$.

If $M^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes M^\ell$ is an irreducible direct summand with top level $H_{(\eta, \gamma, \varepsilon)}$, then $M^{(s)} \cong V_L^{T, \eta_s - \gamma_s}(\tau)[\varepsilon_s]$, $1 \leq s \leq \ell$ and τ acts on the direct summand as $\zeta_3^{\varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \varepsilon_\ell}$. We have

$$S[\tau] \otimes v_{(\eta, \gamma)} \cong \bigoplus_{(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell} V_L^{T, \eta_1 - \gamma_1}(\tau)[\varepsilon_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes V_L^{T, \eta_\ell - \gamma_\ell}(\tau)[\varepsilon_\ell].$$

We have corresponding results for τ^2 -twisted $V_{L \times D}$ -modules using the same arguments as above. Thus we have obtained the following theorem.

Theorem 3.12. *For $i = 1, 2$ and $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_\ell) \in D^\perp \pmod{D}$, the irreducible τ^i -twisted $V_{L \times D}$ -module $(V_{L \times D}^{T, \eta}(\tau^i), Y^{\tau^i})$ is decomposed into a direct sum of irreducible $(V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes \ell}$ -modules as follows.*

$$V_{L \times D}^{T, \eta}(\tau^i) \cong \bigoplus_{(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_\ell) \in D} \bigoplus_{(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell} V_L^{T, \eta_1 - \gamma_1}(\tau_1^i)[\varepsilon_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes V_L^{T, \eta_\ell - \gamma_\ell}(\tau_1^i)[\varepsilon_\ell].$$

Moreover, for the irreducible $V_{L \times D}^{\tau}$ -module $V_{L \times D}^{T, \eta}(\tau)[r]$, $r = 0, 1, 2$ we have

$$V_{L \times D}^{T, \eta}(\tau^i)[r] \cong \bigoplus_{(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_\ell) \in D} \bigoplus_{\varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \varepsilon_\ell \equiv r \pmod{3}} V_L^{T, \eta_1 - \gamma_1}(\tau_1^i)[\varepsilon_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes V_L^{T, \eta_\ell - \gamma_\ell}(\tau_1^i)[\varepsilon_\ell].$$

4 Modules of $V_L^{\tau_1}$

In this section, we recall the classification of irreducible $V_L^{\tau_1}$ -modules in [36] and compute some fusion rules for $V_L^{\tau_1}$.

Proposition 4.1. [36] $V_L^{T_1}$ is a simple, rational, C_2 -cofinite, and CFT type vertex operator algebra. There are exactly 30 inequivalent irreducible $V_L^{T_1}$ -modules. They are represented by the following list.

- (1) $V_{L(0,j)}(\varepsilon)$, $j, \varepsilon = 0, 1, 2$.
- (2) $V_{L(c,j)}$, $j = 0, 1, 2$.
- (3) $V_L^{T,k}(\tau_1^i)[\varepsilon]$, $i = 1, 2$, $k, \varepsilon = 0, 1, 2$.

We need to recall the structure of each irreducible $V_L^{T_1}$ -module to compute fusion rules. Set

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_L &= \frac{1}{12} \sum_{i=0}^2 \beta_i (-1)^2, \\ \tilde{\omega}^1 &= \frac{1}{5} \sum_{i=0}^2 \left(\frac{1}{4} \beta_i (-1)^2 - e^{\beta_i} - e^{-\beta_i} \right), \quad \tilde{\omega}^2 = \omega_L - \tilde{\omega}^1, \\ \omega^1 &= \frac{1}{16} \beta_1 (-1)^2 - \frac{1}{4} (e^{\beta_1} - e^{-\beta_1}), \quad \omega^2 = \tilde{\omega}^1 - \omega^1\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}M_k^i &= \{v \in V_{L(i,0)} \mid \tilde{\omega}_1^2 v = 0\}, \\ W_k^i &= \{v \in V_{L(i,0)} \mid \tilde{\omega}_1^2 v = \frac{2}{5}v\}, \quad i = 0, a, b, c \\ M_t^j &= \{v \in V_{L(0,j)} \mid \omega_1^1 v = \omega_1^2 v = 0\}, \\ W_t^j &= \{v \in V_{L(0,j)} \mid \omega_1^1 v = 0, \omega_1^2 v = \frac{3}{5}v\}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \\ M_k^0(\varepsilon) &= \{v \in M_k^0 \mid \tau_1 u = \zeta_3^\varepsilon u\}, \\ W_k^0(\varepsilon) &= \{v \in W_k^0 \mid \tau_1 u = \zeta_3^\varepsilon u\}, \quad \varepsilon = 0, 1, 2.\end{aligned}$$

Then $M_k^0, M_k^0(0)$ and M_t^0 are simple vertex operator algebras. Set $M^0 = M_k^0(0) \otimes M_t^0$ and $W^0 = W_k^0(0) \otimes W_t^0$. Then $V_L^{T_1} = M^0 \oplus W^0$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}V_{L(0,j)}(\varepsilon) &\cong M_k^0(\varepsilon) \otimes M_t^j \oplus W_k^0(\varepsilon) \otimes W_t^j, \\ V_{L(c,j)} &\cong M_k^c \otimes M_t^j \oplus W_k^c \otimes W_t^j, \quad j, \varepsilon = 0, 1, 2\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

as M^0 -modules.

For $i = 1, 2$, set

$$\begin{aligned} M_T(\tau_1^i) &= \{u \in V_L^{T,0}(\tau_1^i) \mid \tilde{\omega}_1^2 u = 0\}, \\ W_T(\tau_1^i) &= \{u \in V_L^{T,0}(\tau_1^i) \mid \tilde{\omega}_1^2 u = \frac{2}{5}u\}, \\ M_T(\tau_1^i)(\varepsilon) &= \{u \in M_T(\tau_1^i) \mid \tau_1^i u = \zeta_3^\varepsilon u\}, \\ W_T(\tau_1^i)(\varepsilon) &= \{u \in W_T(\tau_1^i) \mid \tau_1^i u = \zeta_3^\varepsilon u\}, \quad \varepsilon = 0, 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

For $j, \varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}_3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_L^{T,j}(\tau_1)[\varepsilon] &\cong M_T(\tau_1)(\varepsilon) \otimes M_t^{-j} \oplus W_T(\tau_1)(\varepsilon) \otimes W_t^{-j}, \\ V_L^{T,j}(\tau_1^2)[\varepsilon] &\cong M_T(\tau_1^2)(\varepsilon) \otimes M_t^j \oplus W_T(\tau_1^2)(\varepsilon) \otimes W_t^j \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

as M^0 -modules.

Proposition 4.2. [10] $M_k^0(0)$ is a simple, rational, C_2 -cofinite, and CFT type vertex operator algebra. There are exactly 20 inequivalent irreducible $M_k^0(0)$ -modules. Their representatives are $M_k^0(\varepsilon)$, $W_k^0(\varepsilon)$, M_k^c , W_k^c , $M_T(\tau_1^i)(\varepsilon)$, and $W_T(\tau_1^i)(\varepsilon)$ for $\varepsilon = 0, 1, 2$ and $i = 1, 2$.

Proposition 4.3. [33] The following assertions hold.

- (1) M_t^0 is a simple, rational, C_2 -cofinite, and CFT type vertex operator algebra.
- (2) There are exactly 6 inequivalent irreducible M_t^0 -modules. Their representatives are M_t^j and W_t^j for $j = 0, 1, 2$.
- (3) The fusion rules for M_t^0 are as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} M_t^i \times M_t^j &= M_t^{i+j}, \\ M_t^i \times W_t^j &= W_t^{i+j}, \\ W_t^i \times W_t^j &= M_t^{i+j} + W_t^{i+j} \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

for $i, j = 0, 1, 2$.

We compute some fusion rules for $V_L^{\tau_1}$.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, j_1, j_2, k \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ and $i = 1, 2$.*

$$V_{L(0,j_1)}(\varepsilon_1) \times V_{L(0,j_2)}(\varepsilon_2) = V_{L(0,j_1+j_2)}(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2), \quad (4.4)$$

$$V_{L(0,j_1)}(\varepsilon_1) \times V_{L(c,j_2)} = V_{L(c,j_1+j_2)}, \quad (4.5)$$

$$V_{L(c,j_1)} \times V_{L(c,j_2)} = \sum_{\varepsilon=0}^2 V_{L(0,j_1+j_2)}(\varepsilon) + 2V_{L(c,j_1+j_2)}, \quad (4.6)$$

$$V_{L(0,j_1)}(\varepsilon_1) \times V_L^{T,k}(\tau_1^i)[\varepsilon_2] = V_L^{T,k-ij_1}(\tau_1^i)[i\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2], \quad (4.7)$$

$$V_{L(c,j_1)} \times V_L^{T,k}(\tau_1^i)[\varepsilon_2] = \sum_{\varepsilon=0}^2 V_L^{T,k-ij_1}(\tau_1^i)[\varepsilon]. \quad (4.8)$$

Proof. We have the following fusion rules of irreducible $M_k^0(0)$ -modules.

$$\begin{aligned} M_k^0(\varepsilon_1) \times M_k^0(\varepsilon_2) &= M_k^0(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2), \\ M_k^0(\varepsilon_1) \times M_k^c &= M_k^c, \\ M_k^c \times M_k^c &= \sum_{\varepsilon=0}^2 M_k(\varepsilon) + 2M_k^c, \\ M_k^0(\varepsilon_1) \times M_T(\tau_1^i)(\varepsilon_2) &\leq M_T(\tau_1^i)(i\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2), \\ M_k^c \times M_T(\tau_1^i)(\varepsilon_2) &\leq \sum_{\varepsilon=0}^2 M_T(\tau_1^i)(\varepsilon). \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

The first three fusion rules can be found in [35, Theorem 4] and we can show the last two formulas by applying the same method used there. We shall sketch the proof. In [35], $M_k^0(0)$ and $M_k^0(\varepsilon)$ are denoted by $\mathcal{W}$ and $M_k^{0(\varepsilon)}$, respectively, and M_k^a is used instead of M_k^c .

Let $A(M_k^0(0))$ be the Zhu algebra of $M_k^0(0)$ and let $A(M_k^0(\varepsilon_1))$, $A(M_k^c)$ be $A(M_k^0(0))$ -bimodules introduced in [23]. In [10], it is shown that $A(M_k^0(0))$ is generated by two elements $[\omega]$ and $[J]$. The actions of them on the top level of any irreducible $M_k^0(0)$ -module are also computed there. In [35], we obtained some relations in $A(M_k^0(\varepsilon_1))$ and $A(M_k^c)$ which are used to compute the fusion rules in the list in [35, Theorem 4]. Using these data and [30, Proposition 2.10], The same argument as in [35, Theorem 4] shows the formulas.

By (4.3), (4.9), and [18, Proposition 2.10], we have fusion rules for M^0 as

follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
M_k^0(\varepsilon_1) \otimes M_t^{k_1} \times M_k^0(\varepsilon_2) \otimes M_t^{k_2} &= M_k^0(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2) \otimes M_t^{k_1+k_2}, \\
M_k^0(\varepsilon_1) \otimes M_t^{k_1} \times M_k^c \otimes M_t^{k_2} &= M_k^c \otimes M_t^{k_1+k_2}, \\
M_k^c \otimes M_t^{k_1} \times M_k^c \otimes M_t^{k_2} &= \sum_{\varepsilon=0}^2 M_k(\varepsilon) \otimes M_t^{k_1+k_2} + 2M_k^c \otimes M_t^{k_1+k_2}, \\
M_k^0(\varepsilon_1) \otimes M_t^{k_1} \times M_T(\tau_1^i)(\varepsilon_2) \otimes M_t^{k_2} &\leq M_T(\tau_1^i)(i\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2) \otimes M_t^{k_1+k_2}, \\
M_k^c \otimes M_t^{k_1} \times M_T(\tau_1^i)(\varepsilon_2) \otimes M_t^{k_2} &\leq \sum_{\varepsilon=0}^2 M_T(\tau_1^i)(\varepsilon) \otimes M_t^{k_1+k_2}, \tag{4.10}
\end{aligned}$$

where $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_3$. Let N be an irreducible $V_L^{\tau_1}$ -module. By Propositions 4.1–4.3, (4.1), (4.2), and [36, (3.25)], there exist irreducible M^0 -modules M_N and W_N such that

$$\begin{aligned}
N &= M_N \oplus W_N, \\
W^0 \times M_N &= W_N, \\
W^0 \times W_N &= M_N + W_N
\end{aligned}$$

as M^0 -modules. M_N and W_N are uniquely determined by N .

By [11, Proposition 11.9], for $V_L^{\tau_1}$ -modules N^1, N^2 and N^3 ,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} I_{V_L^{\tau_1}} \begin{pmatrix} N^3 \\ N^1 & N^2 \end{pmatrix} \leq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} I_{M^0} \begin{pmatrix} N^3 \\ M_{N^1} & M_{N^2} \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.11}$$

and

$$I_{M^0} \begin{pmatrix} N^3 \\ M_{N^1} & M_{N^2} \end{pmatrix} \cong I_{M^0} \begin{pmatrix} M_{N^3} \\ M_{N^1} & M_{N^2} \end{pmatrix} \oplus I_{M^0} \begin{pmatrix} W_{N^3} \\ M_{N^1} & M_{N^2} \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.12}$$

as vector spaces. By (4.1), (4.2), (4.10), (4.11), and (4.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{L(0,j_1)}(\varepsilon_1) \times V_{L(0,j_2)}(\varepsilon_2) &\leq V_{L(0,j_1+j_2)}(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2), \\
V_{L(0,j_1)}(\varepsilon_1) \times V_{L(c,j_2)} &\leq V_{L(c,j_1+j_2)}, \\
V_{L(c,j_1)} \times V_{L(c,j_2)} &\leq \sum_{\varepsilon=0}^2 V_{L(0,j_1+j_2)}(\varepsilon) + 2V_{L(c,j_1+j_2)}, \\
V_{L(0,j_1)}(\varepsilon_1) \times V_L^{T,k}(\tau_1^i)[\varepsilon_2] &\leq V_L^{T,k-ij_1}(\tau_1^i)[i\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2], \\
V_{L(c,j_1)} \times V_L^{T,k}(\tau_1^i)[\varepsilon_2] &\leq \sum_{\varepsilon=0}^2 V_L^{T,k-ij_1}(\tau_1^i)[\varepsilon]. \tag{4.13}
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.18, [35, Theorem 2] and the same arguments as in [35, Lemma 6], we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_{L^{(0,j_1)}}(\varepsilon_1) \times V_{L^{(0,j_2)}}(\varepsilon_2) &\geq V_{L^{(0,j_1+j_2)}}(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2), \\ V_{L^{(0,j_1)}}(\varepsilon_1) \times V_{L^{(c,j_2)}} &\geq V_{L^{(c,j_1+j_2)}}, \\ V_{L^{(c,j_1)}} \times V_{L^{(c,j_2)}} &\geq \sum_{\varepsilon=0}^2 V_{L^{(0,j_1+j_2)}}(\varepsilon) + 2V_{L^{(c,j_1+j_2)}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

By (4.13) and (4.14), we have (4.4)–(4.6).

We shall show (4.7). Let $(c)_6 = (c, c, c, c, c, c) \in \mathcal{K}^6$ and $(1)_6 = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}_3^6$. Recall that $C((c)_6)$ is the $\mathcal{K}$ -code generated by $(c)_6$ and $\tau((c)_6)$ and that $D((1)_6)$ is the $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -code generated by $(1)_6$ (cf. Section 2.2).

Then $L_{\mathbf{0} \times D((1)_6)}$ and $L_{C((c)_6) \times D((1)_6)}$ are integral lattices by Lemma 2.12. By Theorem 3.12,

$$V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D((1)_6)}}^{T, \mathbf{0}}(\tau) \cong \bigoplus_{k=0}^2 \bigoplus_{(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_6) \in \mathbb{Z}_3^6} \bigotimes_{s=1}^6 V_L^{T, -k}(\tau_1)[\varepsilon_s]. \quad (4.15)$$

For $j_1, k, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_3$,

$$V_{L^{(0,j_1)}}(\varepsilon_1)^{\otimes 6} \cdot V_L^{T, k}(\tau_1)[\varepsilon_2]^{\otimes 6} \subset V_L^{T, k-j_1}(\tau_1)[\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2]^{\otimes 6}$$

in $V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D((1)_6)}}^{T, \mathbf{0}}(\tau)$ by (4.13) and (4.15). Since $V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D((1)_6)}}^{T, \mathbf{0}}(\tau)$ is irreducible, we have $V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D((1)_6)}}^{T, \mathbf{0}} \cdot V_L^{T, k}(\tau_1)[\varepsilon_2]^{\otimes 6} = V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D((1)_6)}}^{T, \mathbf{0}}(\tau)$ and

$$V_{L^{(0,j_1)}}(\varepsilon_1)^{\otimes 6} \cdot V_L^{T, k}(\tau_1)[\varepsilon_2]^{\otimes 6} = V_L^{T, k-j_1}(\tau_1)[\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2]^{\otimes 6} \quad (4.16)$$

in $V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D((1)_6)}}^{T, \mathbf{0}}(\tau)$. Let $\text{pr}_1 : V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D((1)_6)}}^{T, \mathbf{0}}(\tau) \rightarrow V_L^{T, k-j_1}(\tau_1)[\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2]^{\otimes 6}$ be the projection. For $u \in V_{L^{(0,j_1)}}(\varepsilon_1)^{\otimes 6}, v \in V_L^{T, k}(\tau_1)[\varepsilon_2]^{\otimes 6}$, set $f(u, x)v = \text{pr}_1(u, x)v$. Then $f(\cdot, x)$ is an intertwining operator of type $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} V_L^{T, k-j_1}(\tau_1)[\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2]^{\otimes 6} \\ V_{L^{(0,j_1)}}(\varepsilon_1)^{\otimes 6} \quad V_L^{T, k}(\tau_1)[\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2][\varepsilon_2]^{\otimes 6} \end{smallmatrix} \right)$ for $(V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes 6}$. Hence $I_{(V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes 6}} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} V_L^{T, k-j_1}(\tau_1)[\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2]^{\otimes 6} \\ V_{L^{(0,j_1)}}(\varepsilon_1)^{\otimes 6} \quad V_L^{T, k}(\tau_1)[\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2][\varepsilon_2]^{\otimes 6} \end{smallmatrix} \right) \neq 0$ by (4.16) and $I_{V_L^{\tau_1}} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} V_L^{T, k-j_1}(\tau_1)[\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2] \\ V_{L^{(0,j_1)}}(\varepsilon_1) \quad V_L^{T, k}(\tau_1)[\varepsilon_2] \end{smallmatrix} \right) \neq 0$ by [11, Proposition 11.9]. By (4.13), we have (4.7) for $i = 1$. We can show (4.7) for $i = 2$ similarly.

We shall show (4.8). By Theorem 3.12,

$$V_{L_{C((c)_6) \times D((1)_6)}}^{T, \mathbf{0}}(\tau) \cong \bigoplus_{k=0}^2 \bigoplus_{(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_6) \in \mathbb{Z}_3^6} \bigotimes_{s=1}^6 V_L^{T, -k}(\tau_1)[\varepsilon_s]. \quad (4.17)$$

By the irreducibility of $V_{L^{C((e)_6) \times D((1)_6)}}$, it follows from (2.17) and (4.13) that

$$\bigotimes_{s=1}^6 V_{L^{(0,0)}}(\eta_s) \cdot V_{L^{(c,j_1)}}^{\otimes 6} = V_{L^{(c,j_1)}}^{\otimes 6}$$

in $V_{L^{C((e)_6) \times D((1)_6)}}$ for $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_6 \in \mathbb{Z}_3$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & V_{L^{(c,j_1)}}^{\otimes 6} \cdot V_L^{T,k}(\tau_1)[\varepsilon_2]^{\otimes 6} \\ &= \left(\bigotimes_{s=1}^6 V_{L^{(0,0)}}(\eta_s) \cdot V_{L^{(c,j_1)}}^{\otimes 6} \right) \cdot V_L^{T,k}(\tau_1)[\varepsilon_2]^{\otimes 6} \\ &= \bigotimes_{s=1}^6 V_{L^{(0,0)}}(\eta_s) \cdot \left(V_{L^{(c,j_1)}}^{\otimes 6} \cdot V_L^{T,k}(\tau_1)[\varepsilon_2]^{\otimes 6} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

in $V_{L^{C((e)_6) \times D((1)_6)}}^{T,0}(\tau)$.

For $j_1, k, \varepsilon_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_3$, (4.13) and (4.17) imply that

$$V_{L^{(c,j_1)}}^{\otimes 6} \cdot V_L^{T,k}(\tau_1)[\varepsilon_2]^{\otimes 6} \subset \bigoplus_{(s_1, \dots, s_6) \in \mathbb{Z}_3^6} \bigotimes_{m=1}^6 V_L^{T,k-j_1}(\tau_1)[s_m]$$

in $V_{L^{C((e)_6) \times D((1)_6)}}^{T,0}(\tau)$ and $V_{L^{(c,j_1)}}^{\otimes 6} \cdot V_L^{T,k}(\tau_1)[\varepsilon_2]^{\otimes 6}$ is a nonzero $(V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes 6}$ -module since $V_{L^{C((e)_6) \times D((1)_6)}}^{T,0}(\tau)$ is irreducible.

By (4.13) and (4.17), we have

$$\bigotimes_{s=1}^6 V_{L^{(0,0)}}(\eta_s) \cdot V_L^{T,k}(\tau_1)[\varepsilon_2]^{\otimes 6} \subset \bigotimes_{m=1}^6 V_L^{T,k-j_1}(\tau_1)[\varepsilon_2 - \eta_m] \quad (4.19)$$

in $V_{L^{C((e)_6) \times D((1)_6)}}^{T,0}(\tau)$. Since $\bigotimes_{m=1}^6 V_L^{T,k-j_1}(\tau_1)[s_m]$, $(s_1, \dots, s_6) \in \mathbb{Z}_3^6$ are all inequivalent irreducible $(V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes 6} (= V_{L^{(0,0)}}(0)^{\otimes 6})$ -modules, there exists $(s_1^0, \dots, s_6^0) \in \mathbb{Z}_3^6$ such that

$$V_{L^{(c,j_1)}}^{\otimes 6} \cdot V_L^{T,k}(\tau_1)[\varepsilon_2]^{\otimes 6} \supset \bigotimes_{m=1}^6 V_L^{T,k-j_1}(\tau_1)[s_m^0]$$

by (4.18). By (4.18) and (4.19), we have

$$V_{L^{(c,j_1)}}^{\otimes 6} \cdot V_L^{T,k}(\tau_1)[\varepsilon_2]^{\otimes 6} = \bigoplus_{(s_1, \dots, s_6) \in \mathbb{Z}_3^6} \bigotimes_{m=1}^6 V_L^{T,k-j_1}(\tau_1)[s_m]. \quad (4.20)$$

For $s = (s_1, \dots, s_6) \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$, Let $\text{pr}_s : V_{L^{C((e)_6) \times D((1)_6)}}^{T,0}(\tau) \rightarrow \bigotimes_{m=1}^6 V_L^{T,k-j_1}(\tau_1)[s_m]$ be the projection. For $u \in V_{L^{(c,j_1)}}^{\otimes 6}, v \in V_L^{T,k}(\tau_1)[\varepsilon_2]^{\otimes 6}$, set $f_s(u, x)v = \text{pr}_s(u, x)v$. Then $f_s(\cdot, x)$ is a nonzero intertwining operator

$$f_s(\cdot, x) : V_{L^{(c,j_1)}}^{\otimes 6} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V_L^{T,k}(\tau_1)[\varepsilon_2]^{\otimes 6}, \bigotimes_{m=1}^6 V_L^{T,k-j_1}(\tau_1)[s_m])\{x\}$$

for $(V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes 6}$ by (4.20). Hence $I_{V_L^{\tau_1}} \left(\frac{V_L^{T,k-j_1}(\tau_1)[\varepsilon]}{V_{L^{(c,j_1)}}^{T,k}(\tau_1)[\varepsilon_2]} \right)$ is not zero for $\varepsilon = 0, 1, 2$ by [11, Proposition 11.9]. By (4.13), we have

$$V_{L^{(c,j_1)}} \times V_L^{T,k}(\tau_1)[\varepsilon_2] = \sum_{\varepsilon=0}^2 V_L^{T,k-j_1}(\tau_1)[\varepsilon]. \quad (4.21)$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} V_{L^{(0,j_1)}}(\varepsilon_1) \times V_L^{T,k}(\tau_1^2)[\varepsilon_2] &= V_L^{T,k+j_1}(\tau_1^2)[2\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2], \\ V_{L^{(c,j_1)}} \times V_L^{T,k}(\tau_1^2)[\varepsilon_2] &= \sum_{\varepsilon=0}^2 V_L^{T,k+j_1}(\tau_1^2)[\varepsilon] \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

using the same arguments. $\square$

Remark 4.5. We can show that the equalities hold in the last two formulas in (4.9) as follows. For $i = 1, 2, \varepsilon_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_3$,

$$M_k^c \otimes M_t^0 \times M_T(\tau_1^i)(\varepsilon_2) \otimes M_t^0 \leq \sum_{\varepsilon=0}^2 M_T(\tau_1^i)(\varepsilon) \otimes M_t^0 \quad (4.23)$$

by (4.10). By (4.1) and (4.2), $M_k^c \otimes M_t^0$ is an M^0 -submodule of $V_{L^{(c,0)}}$ and $M_T(\tau_1^i)(\varepsilon_2) \otimes M_t^0$ is an M^0 -submodule of $V_L^{T,0}(\tau_1^i)[\varepsilon_2]$. By (4.2), (4.23),

Proposition 4.4, and [11, Proposition 11.9], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &= \dim_{\mathbb{C}} I_{V_L^{\tau_1}} \begin{pmatrix} V_L^{T,0}(\tau_1^i)[\varepsilon] \\ V_{L(c,0)} & V_L^{T,0}(\tau_1^i)[\varepsilon_2] \end{pmatrix} \\
&\leq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} I_{M^0} \begin{pmatrix} V_L^{T,0}(\tau_1^i)[\varepsilon] \\ M_k^c \otimes M_t^0 & M_T(\tau_1^i)(\varepsilon_2) \otimes M_t^0 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \dim_{\mathbb{C}} I_{M^0} \begin{pmatrix} M_T(\tau_1^i)(\varepsilon) \otimes M_t^0 \\ M_k^c \otimes M_t^0 & M_T(\tau_1^i)(\varepsilon_2) \otimes M_t^0 \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} I_{M^0} \begin{pmatrix} W_T(\tau_1^i)(\varepsilon) \otimes W_t^0 \\ M_k^c \otimes M_t^0 & M_T(\tau_1^i)(\varepsilon_2) \otimes M_t^0 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \dim_{\mathbb{C}} I_{M^0} \begin{pmatrix} M_T(\tau_1^i)(\varepsilon) \otimes M_t^0 \\ M_k^c \otimes M_t^0 & M_T(\tau_1^i)(\varepsilon_2) \otimes M_t^0 \end{pmatrix} \\
&\leq 1
\end{aligned}$$

for $\varepsilon = 0, 1, 2$. Hence we have

$$M_k^c \otimes M_t^0 \times M_T(\tau_1^i)(\varepsilon_2) \otimes M_t^0 = \sum_{\varepsilon=0}^2 M_T(\tau_1^i)(\varepsilon) \otimes M_t^0. \quad (4.24)$$

By (4.3) and [18, Proposition 2.10], we have

$$M_k^c \times M_T(\tau_1^i)(\varepsilon_2) = \sum_{\varepsilon=0}^2 M_T(\tau_1^i)(\varepsilon) \quad (4.25)$$

since $M_k^0(0)$ and M_t^0 are rational vertex operator algebras. We can show that

$$M_k^0(\varepsilon_1) \times M_T(\tau_1^i)(\varepsilon_2) = M_T(\tau_1^i)(i\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)$$

similarly.

5 Modules of $V_{L \oplus \ell}^{\tau}$

Let ℓ be a positive integer. In this section, we discuss $V_{L \oplus \ell}^{\tau}$ -modules and we shall determine some fusion rules for $V_{L \oplus \ell}^{\tau}$.

By Proposition 4.1 and [18, Proposition 2.7], $(V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes \ell}$ is a rational and C_2 -cofinite vertex operator algebra. Moreover,

$$\{U^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes U^{\ell} \mid U^1, \dots, U^{\ell} \text{ are irreducible } V_L^{\tau_1}\text{-modules}\} \quad (5.1)$$

is a complete list of irreducible $(V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes \ell}$ -modules. Set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_0 &= \{U^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes U^\ell \mid U^1, \dots, U^\ell \in \{V_{L^{(0,j)}}(\varepsilon), V_{L^{(c,j)}} \mid j, \varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}_3\}\}, \\ \mathcal{P}_1 &= \{U^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes U^\ell \mid U^1, \dots, U^\ell \in \{V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^{T,k}(\tau_1)[\varepsilon] \mid k, \varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}_3\}\}, \\ \mathcal{P}_2 &= \{U^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes U^\ell \mid U^1, \dots, U^\ell \in \{V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^{T,k}(\tau_1^2)[\varepsilon] \mid k, \varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}_3\}\}, \\ \mathcal{P} &= \mathcal{P}_0 \cup \mathcal{P}_1 \cup \mathcal{P}_2.\end{aligned}$$

Set $H_\ell = \{(\tau_1^{i_1}, \dots, \tau_1^{i_{\ell-1}}, 1) \in \tilde{H}_\ell \mid i_1, \dots, i_{\ell-1} \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Then H_ℓ acts on $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ naturally and $(V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau)^{H_\ell} = (V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes \ell}$.

For $i = 0, 1, 2, c$ and $j = 0, 1, 2$, set

$$V_{i,j} = \begin{cases} V_{L^{(0,j)}}(i) & \text{if } i = 0, 1, 2, \\ V_{L^{(c,j)}} & \text{if } i = c. \end{cases}$$

For $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_\ell) \in \{0, 1, 2, c\}^\ell$ and $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$, set

$$V_{\xi, \gamma} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{\ell} V_{\xi_i, \gamma_i}.$$

For any $\mathbf{0} \neq \lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell) \in \mathcal{K}^\ell$, $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$, and $r = 0, 1, 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}V_{L^{(\mathbf{0}, \gamma)}}(r) &= \bigoplus_{\substack{\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_i) \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell \\ \varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \varepsilon_\ell = r}} V_{\varepsilon, \gamma}, \\ V_{L^{(\lambda, \gamma)}} &= \bigoplus_{\substack{\xi \in \{0, 1, 2, c\}^\ell \\ \text{supp}_{\mathcal{K}}(\xi) = \text{supp}_{\mathcal{K}}(\lambda)}} V_{\xi, \gamma}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.2}$$

as $(V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes \ell}$ -modules by Lemma 2.17. In particular, we have

$$V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau = \bigoplus_{\substack{\rho = (\rho_i) \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell \\ \rho_1 + \cdots + \rho_\ell = 0}} V_{\rho, \mathbf{0}}\tag{5.3}$$

as a $(V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes \ell}$ -module.

We shall define an action of $\tilde{H}_\ell$ on the irreducible τ^i -twisted $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}$ -modules $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^{T, \eta}(\tau^i)$, $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$ defined by (3.36) in the case where both C

and D are $\{\mathbf{0}\}$. By Theorem 3.12, we have a decomposition

$$V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^{T, \eta}(\tau^i) = \bigoplus_{(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell} \bigotimes_{m=1}^{\ell} V_L^{T, \eta_m}(\tau_1^i)[\varepsilon_m]$$

as $(V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes \ell}$ -modules. For $g = (\tau_1^{j_1}, \dots, \tau_1^{j_\ell}) \in \tilde{H}_\ell$, and $v \in \bigotimes_{m=1}^{\ell} V_L^{T, \eta_m}(\tau_1^i)[\varepsilon_m]$, define

$$g(v) = \zeta_3^{i \sum_{m=1}^{\ell} j_m \varepsilon_m} v$$

and extend $g(v)$ for arbitrary $v \in V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^{T, \eta}(\tau^i)$ by linearity. Then by Proposition 4.4, [18, Proposition 2.10] and (5.3), we have

$$Y^{\tau^i}(gu, x)gv = g(Y^{\tau^i}(u, x)v)$$

for $g \in \tilde{H}_\ell$, $u \in V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}$ and $v \in V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^{T, \eta}(\tau^i)$. Therefore, we have

$$V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^{T, \eta}(\tau^i) \circ g \cong g^{-1}(V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^{T, \eta}(\tau^i)) = V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^{T, \eta}(\tau^i) \quad (5.4)$$

as τ^i -twisted $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}$ -modules for any $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$ and $g \in \tilde{H}_\ell$. We have already seen in (2.20) that

$$V_{L_{(\lambda, \gamma)}} \circ g \cong g^{-1}(V_{L_{(\lambda, \gamma)}}) = V_{L_{(g^{-1}(\lambda), \gamma)}} \quad (5.5)$$

as $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}$ -modules for $\lambda \in \mathcal{K}^\ell$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$, and $g \in \tilde{H}_\ell \subset \tilde{G}_\ell$.

Hence for any $\mathbf{0} \neq \lambda \in \mathcal{K}^\ell$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$, $\varepsilon = 0, 1, 2$, and $g \in H_\ell$,

$$\begin{aligned} V_{L_{(\mathbf{0}, \gamma)}}(\varepsilon) \circ g &\cong V_{L_{(\mathbf{0}, \gamma)}}(\varepsilon), & V_{L_{(\lambda, \gamma)}} \circ g &\cong V_{L_{(g^{-1}(\lambda), \gamma)}}, \\ V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^{T, \eta}(\tau^i)[\varepsilon] \circ g &\cong V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^{T, \eta}(\tau^i)[\varepsilon] \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

as $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ -modules.

Lemma 5.1. *Let N be an $\mathbb{N}$ -graded weak $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ -module. Then any irreducible $(V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes \ell}$ -submodule of N is isomorphic to an element of $\mathcal{P}$.*

Proof. Let U be an irreducible $(V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes \ell}$ -submodule of N . By (5.1), there are irreducible $V_L^{\tau_1}$ -modules $U^1, \dots, U^\ell$ such that $U \cong U^1 \otimes \dots \otimes U^\ell$. Set $S = V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau \cdot U$. For the same reason as in [36, Proof of Lemma 5.2], S is an ordinary $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ -module. Moreover, $Y_N(v, x)U \neq 0$ for any nonzero $v \in V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$.

Set

$$\begin{aligned} Q_0 &= \{i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\} \mid U^i \in \{V_{L(0,j)}(\varepsilon), V_{L(c,j)} \mid j, \varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}_3\}\}, \\ Q_1 &= \{i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\} \mid U^i \in \{V_{L \oplus \ell}^{T,k}(\tau_1)[\varepsilon] \mid k, \varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}_3\}\}, \\ Q_2 &= \{i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\} \mid U^i \in \{V_{L \oplus \ell}^{T,k}(\tau_1^2)[\varepsilon] \mid k, \varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}_3\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let ω_L be the Virasoro element of $V_L^{T_1}$. By [36], the eigenvalues of $(\omega_L)_1$ on the top levels of irreducible $V_L^{T_1}$ -modules are

$$\begin{aligned} 0 & \text{ for } V_{L(0,0)}(0), \\ 1 & \text{ for } V_{L(0,0)}(\varepsilon), & \varepsilon = 1, 2, \\ 2/3 & \text{ for } V_{L(0,j)}(\varepsilon), & j = 1, 2, \varepsilon = 0, 1, 2, \\ 1/2 & \text{ for } V_{L(c,0)}, \\ 1/6 & \text{ for } V_{L(c,j)}, & j = 1, 2, \\ 1/9 & \text{ for } V_L^{T,0}(\tau_1^i)[0] \text{ and } V_L^{T,j}(\tau_1^i)[2], & i = 1, 2, j = 1, 2, \\ 4/9 & \text{ for } V_L^{T,0}(\tau_1^i)[2] \text{ and } V_L^{T,j}(\tau_1^i)[1], & i = 1, 2, j = 1, 2, \\ 7/9 & \text{ for } V_L^{T,0}(\tau_1^i)[1] \text{ and } V_L^{T,j}(\tau_1^i)[0], & i = 1, 2, j = 1, 2. \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

Let W^1 be an irreducible $V_L^{T_1}$ -module and let $W^{2,r}, r = 0, 1, 2$ be the irreducible $V_L^{T_1}$ -module determined by the fusion rule $V_{L(0,0)}(r) \times W^1 = W^{2,r}$ in Proposition 4.4. Let λ_1 and $\lambda_{2,r}$ be the eigenvalues of $(\omega_L)_1$ on the top levels of W^1 and $W^{2,r}$, respectively. By (5.7), we have

$$\lambda_{2,r} - \lambda_1 \equiv \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } W^1 \in \{V_{L(0,j)}(\varepsilon), V_{L(c,j)} \mid j, \varepsilon = 0, 1, 2\}, \\ 2r/3, & \text{if } W^1 \in \{V_{L \oplus \ell}^{T,j}(\tau_1)[\varepsilon] \mid j, \varepsilon = 0, 1, 2\}, \\ r/3, & \text{if } W^1 \in \{V_{L \oplus \ell}^{T,j}(\tau_1^2)[\varepsilon] \mid j, \varepsilon = 0, 1, 2\}. \end{cases} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}} \tag{5.8}$$

Let ω be the Virasoro element of $V_{L \oplus \ell}^\tau$. Assume that $Q_s, Q_t \neq \emptyset, s \neq t$. Take $i_s \in Q_s$ and $i_t \in Q_t$ and set $\rho = (\rho_i) \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$ by

$$\rho_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = i_s, \\ 2 & \text{if } i = i_t, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By (5.3), $V_{\rho, \mathbf{0}}$ is an irreducible $(V_L^{T_1})^{\otimes \ell}$ -submodule of $V_{L \oplus \ell}^\tau$. Using (5.8), Proposition 4.4, and [18, Proposition 2.10], it is possible to show that S has a $(V_L^{T_1})^{\otimes \ell}$ -submodule W such that the difference of the minimal eigenvalues of ω_1 in W and in U is not an integer since $0 \neq V_{\rho, \mathbf{0}} \cdot U \subset S$. This is a contradiction. Hence the assertion holds. $\square$

Lemma 5.2. *Let N be an $\mathbb{N}$ -graded weak $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ -module. Let M be an irreducible $(V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes \ell}$ -submodule of N and N^1 the $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ -submodule of N generated by M . Then N^1 is isomorphic to one of the following inequivalent irreducible $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ -modules.*

- (1) $V_{L_{(\mathbf{0}, \gamma)}}(\varepsilon)$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$, $\varepsilon = 0, 1, 2$.
- (2) $V_{L_{(\lambda, \gamma)}}$, $\mathbf{0} \neq \lambda \in (\mathcal{K}^\ell)_{\equiv \tau}$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$.
- (3) $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^{T, \eta}(\tau^i)[\varepsilon]$, $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$, $i = 1, 2$, $\varepsilon = 0, 1, 2$.

Proof. By (5.5), we have

$$V_{L_{(\mathbf{0}, \gamma)}} \circ \tau \cong V_{L_{(\mathbf{0}, \gamma)}}, \quad V_{L_{(\lambda, \gamma)}} \circ \tau \cong V_{L_{(\tau^{-1}(\lambda), \gamma)}} \not\cong V_{L_{(\lambda, \gamma)}}$$

as $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}$ -modules for $\mathbf{0} \neq \lambda \in \mathcal{K}^\ell$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$. By (5.4), we have

$$V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^{T, \eta}(\tau^i) \circ \tau \cong \tau^{-1}(V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^{T, \eta}(\tau^i)) = V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^{T, \eta}(\tau^i)$$

as τ^i -twisted $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}$ -modules for $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$. Hence by [34, Theorem 2], the $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ -modules in the above list are irreducible and inequivalent.

By Lemma 5.1, $M \in \mathcal{P}$. Suppose $M \in \mathcal{P}_0$, that is, $M \cong V_{\xi, \gamma}$, $\xi \in \{0, 1, 2, c\}^\ell$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$. By Proposition 4.4 and [18, Proposition 2.10],

$$V_{\rho, \mathbf{0}} \times V_{\xi, \gamma} = V_{\rho + \xi, \gamma}, \quad \rho \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell. \quad (5.9)$$

Set $\Xi = \{\rho + \xi \in \{0, 1, 2, c\}^\ell \mid \rho = (\rho_i) \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell, \sum_{i=1}^\ell \rho_i = 0\}$. By (5.3) and (5.9), $N^1 = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathcal{J}} M^j$ as a $(V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes \ell}$ -module, where M^j is isomorphic to $V_{\nu^j, \gamma}$, $\nu^j \in \Xi$, since $(V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes \ell}$ is a rational vertex operator algebra.

We can take $M^{j_1} = M$ for some $j_1 \in \mathcal{J}$. Let $\text{pr}_j : N^1 \rightarrow M^j$, $j \in \mathcal{J}$ be projections. For any $j \in \mathcal{J}$, $u \in V_{\rho, \mathbf{0}} \subset V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$, $v \in M$, define $f_j(u, x)v = \text{pr}_j(Y_N(u, x)v)$. Then $f_j \in I_{(V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes \ell}}(V_{\rho, \mathbf{0}}^{M^j})$. For each $\nu \in \Xi$, we see from (5.9) that there is at most one $j \in \mathcal{J}$ such that $M^j \cong V_{\nu, \gamma}$ (cf. [36, Proof of Lemma 5.6]).

Assume that $V_{\rho, \mathbf{0}} \cdot M = 0$ for $V_{\rho, \mathbf{0}} \subset V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau \cdot (V_{\rho, \mathbf{0}} \cdot M) = (V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau \cdot V_{\rho, \mathbf{0}}) \cdot M \\ &= V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau \cdot M \supset M \end{aligned}$$

since $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ is simple. This is a contradiction. Hence $0 \neq V_{\rho, \mathbf{0}} \cdot M$, and consequently $V_{\rho, \mathbf{0}} \cdot M \cong V_{\rho + \xi, \gamma}$ as $(V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes \ell}$ -modules. Therefore, we have

$$N^1 \cong \bigoplus_{\nu \in \Xi} V_{\nu, \gamma} \quad (5.10)$$

as $(V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes \ell}$ -modules. Applying the above arguments to $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ -module N^1 , we obtain that N^1 is irreducible.

By [20, Theorem 6.14], if two irreducible $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ -modules W^1, W^2 have an isomorphic irreducible $(V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes \ell}$ -submodule, then there exists $g \in H_\ell$ such that $W^1 \circ g \cong W^2$. Hence by (5.6) and (5.2), N^1 is isomorphic to $V_{L_{(\mathbf{0}, \gamma)}}(\varepsilon), \varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ or $V_{L_{(\lambda, \gamma)}}, \mathbf{0} \neq \lambda \in \mathcal{K}^\ell$.

For $i = 1, 2$, we see from Theorem 3.12 that every irreducible $(V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes \ell}$ -module in $\mathcal{P}_i$ appears in the irreducible $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ -modules listed in (3). Hence it is possible to show that if $M \in \mathcal{P}_i$, then $N^1 \cong V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^{T, \eta}(\tau^i)[\varepsilon], \eta \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell, \varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ similarly. $\square$

Proposition 5.3. *$V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ is a simple, rational, C_2 -cofinite, and CFT type vertex operator algebra. The following is a complete set of representatives of equivalence classes of irreducible $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ -modules.*

- (1) $V_{L_{(\mathbf{0}, \gamma)}}(\varepsilon), \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell, \varepsilon = 0, 1, 2.$
- (2) $V_{L_{(\lambda, \gamma)}}, \mathbf{0} \neq \lambda \in (\mathcal{K}^\ell)_{\equiv \tau}, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell.$
- (3) $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^{T, \eta}(\tau^i)[\varepsilon], \eta \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell, i = 1, 2, \varepsilon = 0, 1, 2.$

Proof. By (5.2) and [5], $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ is a C_2 -cofinite vertex operator algebra. The classification of irreducible $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ -modules follows from Lemma 5.2.

We shall show that $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ is rational. Let N be an $\mathbb{N}$ -graded weak $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ -module. Let M be an irreducible $(V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes \ell}$ -submodule of N . Then the $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ -submodule of N generated by M is irreducible by Lemma 5.2. Hence we conclude that $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ is rational. $\square$

Set $\tilde{\mathcal{K}} = \{0, 1, 2, a, b, c\}$. Define a commutative multiplication on $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} i + j &= i + j \pmod{3}, & i, j &= 0, 1, 2, \\ j + x &= x, & j &= 0, 1, 2, \ x = a, b, c, \\ x + x &= 0, & x &= a, b, c, \\ a + b &= c, \quad b + c = a, \quad c + a = b. \end{aligned}$$

Define an action of τ_1 on $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$ by $\tau_1(a) = b, \tau_1(b) = c, \tau_1(c) = a$, and $\tau_1(j) = j, j = 0, 1, 2$. Note that this action of τ_1 preserves the multiplication on $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$. Since S_ℓ acts on $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}^\ell$ by permuting the components, $\tilde{G}_\ell$ acts on $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}^\ell$ naturally. For $\lambda^1, \lambda^2 \in \tilde{\mathcal{K}}^\ell$, we write $\lambda^1 \equiv_\tau \lambda^2$ if λ^1 and λ^2 belong to the same orbit of τ in $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}^\ell$. We denote by $(\tilde{\mathcal{K}}^\ell)_{\equiv \tau}$ the set of all orbits of τ in $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}^\ell$. For a τ -invariant subset P of $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}^\ell$, $P_{\equiv \tau}$ denotes the set of all orbits of τ in P .

We compute some fusion rules for $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$.

Proposition 5.4. *Let λ^1, λ^2 be nonzero elements of $\mathcal{K}^\ell$ such that $\lambda^1 \not\equiv_\tau \lambda^2$, $\gamma^1, \gamma^2, \eta \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$, $i = 1, 2$, and $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 = 0, 1, 2$. Then*

$$V_{L_{(\mathbf{0}, \gamma^1)}}(\varepsilon_1) \times V_{L_{(\mathbf{0}, \gamma^2)}}(\varepsilon_2) = V_{L_{(\mathbf{0}, \gamma^1 + \gamma^2)}}(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2), \quad (5.11)$$

$$V_{L_{(\mathbf{0}, \gamma^1)}}(\varepsilon) \times V_{L_{(\lambda^2, \gamma^2)}} = V_{L_{(\lambda^2, \gamma^1 + \gamma^2)}}, \quad (5.12)$$

$$V_{L_{(\lambda^1, \gamma^1)}} \times V_{L_{(\lambda^2, \gamma^2)}} = \sum_{j=0}^2 V_{L_{(\lambda^1 + \tau^j(\lambda^2), \gamma^1 + \gamma^2)}}, \quad (5.13)$$

$$V_{L_{(\lambda^1, \gamma^1)}} \times V_{L_{(\lambda^1, \gamma^2)}} = \sum_{\varepsilon=0}^2 V_{L_{(\mathbf{0}, \gamma^1 + \gamma^2)}}(\varepsilon) + 2V_{L_{(\lambda^1, \gamma^1 + \gamma^2)}}, \quad (5.14)$$

$$V_{L_{(\mathbf{0}, \gamma^1)}}(\varepsilon_1) \times V_{L_{\oplus \ell}}^{T, \eta}(\tau^i)[\varepsilon_2] = V_{L_{\oplus \ell}}^{T, \eta^{-i}\gamma^1}(\tau^i)[i\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2], \quad (5.15)$$

$$V_{L_{(\lambda^1, \gamma^1)}} \times V_{L_{\oplus \ell}}^{T, \eta}(\tau^i)[\varepsilon_2] = \sum_{\varepsilon=0}^2 V_{L_{\oplus \ell}}^{T, \eta^{-i}\gamma^1}(\tau^i)[\varepsilon]. \quad (5.16)$$

Proof. We shall show (5.13) and (5.14). For $s = 1, 2$, set $\xi^s = (\xi_j^s) \in \{0, c\}^\ell$ by

$$\xi_j^s = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \lambda_j^s = 0, \\ c & \text{if } \lambda_j^s = a, b, c. \end{cases}$$

By (5.2), V_{ξ^s, γ^s} is a $(V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes \ell}$ -submodule of $V_{L_{(\lambda^s, \gamma^s)}}$ and

$$I_{(V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes \ell}} \begin{pmatrix} V_{L_{(\mathbf{0}, \gamma)}}(r) \\ V_{\xi^1, \gamma^1} & V_{\xi^2, \gamma^2} \end{pmatrix} \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{\varepsilon=(\varepsilon_j) \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell \\ \varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_\ell = r}} I_{(V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes \ell}} \begin{pmatrix} V_{\varepsilon, \gamma} \\ V_{\xi^1, \gamma^1} & V_{\xi^2, \gamma^2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Take $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$ such that $\gamma^1 + \gamma^2 \neq \gamma$. By Proposition 4.4 and [18, Proposition 2.10] we have

$$I_{(V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes \ell}} \begin{pmatrix} V_{\varepsilon, \gamma} \\ V_{\xi^1, \gamma^1} & V_{\xi^2, \gamma^2} \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

for all $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$. By [11, Proposition 11.9], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} I_{V_{L_{\oplus \ell}}^{\tau}} \begin{pmatrix} V_{L_{(\mathbf{0}, \gamma)}}(r) \\ V_{L_{(\lambda^1, \gamma^1)}} & V_{L_{(\lambda^2, \gamma^2)}} \end{pmatrix} &\leq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} I_{(V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes \ell}} \begin{pmatrix} V_{L_{(\mathbf{0}, \gamma)}}(r) \\ V_{\xi^1, \gamma^1} & V_{\xi^2, \gamma^2} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\varepsilon=(\varepsilon_j) \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell \\ \varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_\ell = r}} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} I_{(V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes \ell}} \begin{pmatrix} V_{\varepsilon, \gamma} \\ V_{\xi^1, \gamma^1} & V_{\xi^2, \gamma^2} \end{pmatrix} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

For the same reason, we can show easily that

$$I_{V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau} \begin{pmatrix} M \\ V_{L_{(\lambda^1, \gamma^1)}} V_{L_{(\lambda^2, \gamma^2)}} \end{pmatrix} = 0 \quad (5.17)$$

for all $M \notin \{V_{L_{(\lambda, \gamma^1 + \gamma^2)}}, V_{L_{(\mathbf{0}, \gamma^1 + \gamma^2)}}(r) \mid \mathbf{0} \neq \lambda \in (\mathcal{K}^\ell)_{\equiv_\tau}, r = 0, 1, 2\}$.

Set $\gamma^3 = \gamma^1 + \gamma^2$. Set $\mathcal{R}_i = \{h(\lambda^i) \in (\mathcal{K}^\ell)_{\equiv_\tau} \mid h \in H_\ell\}$ and $\mathcal{S}_i = \{V_{L_{(\mu^i, \gamma^i)}} \mid \mu \in \mathcal{R}_i\}$ for $i = 1, 2$. Note that $\mathcal{R}_i = \{\mu \in (\mathcal{K}^\ell)_{\equiv_\tau} \mid \text{supp}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mu) = \text{supp}_{\mathcal{K}}(\lambda^i)\}$. Set $\mathcal{S}_3 = \{V_{L_{(\lambda, \gamma^3)}}, V_{L_{(\mathbf{0}, \gamma^3)}}(\varepsilon) \mid \mathbf{0} \neq \lambda \in (\mathcal{K}^\ell)_{\equiv_\tau}, \varepsilon = 0, 1, 2\}$. For $i = 1, 2, 3$, $\mathcal{S}_i$ is a H_ℓ -stable set. Set $\mathcal{T}_i = \{V_{L_{(\lambda^i, \gamma^i)}}\}$, $i = 1, 2$ and $\mathcal{T}_3 = \{V_{L_{(\mathbf{0}, \gamma^3)}}(\varepsilon) \mid \varepsilon = 0, 1, 2\} \cup \{V_{L_{(\lambda, \gamma^3)}} \mid \mathbf{0} \neq \lambda \in \{0, c\}^\ell\}$. Note that for each $i = 1, 2, 3$, $\mathcal{T}_i$ is a complete set of representatives of H_ℓ -orbits in $\mathcal{S}_i$.

We need some preparations to use the results in [20] and [35]. We follow the notation of [20]. Set $\mathcal{M}_i = \oplus_{M \in \mathcal{S}_i} M \subset V_{(L^\perp)^\oplus \ell}$. Note that H_ℓ acts on $\mathcal{M}_i$. Define a vector space $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{S}_i = \oplus_{M \in \mathcal{S}_i} \mathbb{C}e(M)$ with formal basis $e(M)$, $M \in \mathcal{S}_i$. The space $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{S}_i$ is an associative algebra under the product $e(L)e(M) = \delta_{L,M}e(M)$. Define the vector space $\mathcal{A}(H_\ell, \mathcal{S}_i) = \mathbb{C}[H_\ell] \otimes \mathbb{C}\mathcal{S}_i$ with basis $g \otimes e(M)$ for $g \in H_\ell$ and $M \in \mathcal{S}_i$ and a multiplication on it:

$$g \otimes e(L) \cdot h \otimes e(M) = gh \otimes e(h^{-1}(L))e(M).$$

Then $\mathcal{A}(H_\ell, \mathcal{S}_i)$ is an associative algebra with the identity element $\sum_{M \in \mathcal{S}_i} 1 \otimes e(M)$. We define an action of $\mathcal{A}(H_\ell, \mathcal{S}_i)$ on $\mathcal{M}_i$ as follows: For $L, M \in \mathcal{S}_i, w \in \mathcal{M}_i$ and $g \in H_\ell$, we set

$$g \otimes e(L) \cdot w = \delta_{L,M}gw. \quad (5.18)$$

A complete set of representatives of equivalence classes of irreducible $\mathcal{A}(H_\ell, \mathcal{S}_i)$ -modules is given in [20, Theorem 3.6]. We shall describe those irreducible $\mathcal{A}(H_\ell, \mathcal{S}_i)$ -modules.

For $\xi \in \{0, 1, 2, c\}^\ell$, $\mathbb{C}e(\xi)$ denotes a vector space with formal basis $e(\xi)$. For $M \in \mathcal{S}_i$, set a subgroup $(H_\ell)_M = \{g \in H_\ell \mid g(M) = M\}$ of H_ℓ and set subalgebras $s(M) = \{g \otimes e(M) \mid g \in (H_\ell)_M\}$ and $D(M) = \{g \otimes e(M) \mid g \in H_\ell\}$ of $\mathcal{A}(H_\ell, \mathcal{S}_i)$. Note that $s(M)$ is isomorphic to the group algebra of $(H_\ell)_M$.

If $M = V_{L_{(\lambda, \gamma)}}, \mathbf{0} \neq \lambda \in \mathcal{K}^\ell, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$, then

$$(H_\ell)_{V_{L_{(\lambda, \gamma)}}} = \{(\tau_1^{i_1}, \dots, \tau_1^{i_{\ell-1}}, 1) \in H_\ell \mid i_k = 0 \text{ for all } k \in \text{supp}_{\mathcal{K}}(\lambda)\}.$$

For $\xi = (\xi_k) \in \{0, 1, 2, c\}^\ell$ with $\text{supp}_K(\xi) = \text{supp}_K(\lambda)$, define an action of $s(V_{L(\lambda, \gamma)})$ on $\mathbb{C}e(\xi)$ as follows: For $g = (\tau_1^{i_1}, \dots, \tau_1^{i_{\ell-1}}, 1) \in (H_\ell)_{V_{L(\lambda, \gamma)}}$, set

$$\sigma(g, \xi) = \sum_{k \notin \text{supp}_K(\xi)} i_k \xi_k \pmod{3},$$

$$g \otimes e(V_{L(\lambda, \gamma)}) \cdot e(\xi) = \zeta_3^{\sigma(g, \xi)} e(\xi).$$

Set $P(V_{L(\lambda, \gamma)}) = \{\xi \in \{0, 1, 2, c\}^\ell \mid \text{supp}_K(\xi) = \text{supp}_K(\lambda)\}$. Then it is clear that $\{\mathbb{C}e(\xi) \mid \xi \in P(V_{L(\lambda, \gamma)})\}$ is a complete list of irreducible $s(V_{L(\lambda, \gamma)})$ -modules.

If $M = V_{L(\mathbf{o}, \gamma)}(\varepsilon)$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$, $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}_3$, then $(H_\ell)_{V_{L(\mathbf{o}, \gamma)}(\varepsilon)} = H_\ell$. For $\xi \in \{0, 1, 2\}^\ell$, define an action of $s(V_{L(\mathbf{o}, \gamma)}(\varepsilon))$ on $\mathbb{C}e(\xi)$ as follows: For $g = (\tau_1^{i_1}, \dots, \tau_1^{i_{\ell-1}}, 1) \in (H_\ell)_{V_{L(\mathbf{o}, \gamma)}(\varepsilon)}$, set

$$g \otimes e(V_{L(\mathbf{o}, \gamma)}(\varepsilon)) \cdot e(\xi) = \zeta_3^{((i_1, \dots, i_{\ell-1}, 0), \xi)_{\mathbb{Z}_3}} e(\xi).$$

Set

$$P(V_{L(\mathbf{o}, \gamma)}(\varepsilon)) = \{\xi = (\xi_k) \in \{0, 1, 2\}^\ell \mid \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \xi_k \equiv \varepsilon \pmod{3}\}.$$

Then it is clear that $\{\mathbb{C}e(\xi) \mid \xi \in P(V_{L(\mathbf{o}, \gamma)}(\varepsilon))\}$ is a complete list of irreducible $s(V_{L(\mathbf{o}, \gamma)}(\varepsilon))$ -modules.

Set $P_i = P(V_{L(\lambda^i, \gamma^i)})$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then

$$\{D(V_{L(\lambda^i, \gamma^i)}) \otimes_{s(V_{L(\lambda^i, \gamma^i)})} \mathbb{C}e(\xi) \mid \xi \in P_i\} \quad (5.19)$$

is a complete list of irreducible $\mathcal{A}(H_\ell, \mathcal{S}_i)$ -modules by [20, Theorem 3.6].

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigcup_{\varepsilon=0}^2 \{D(V_{L(\mathbf{o}, \gamma^3)}(\varepsilon)) \otimes_{s(V_{L(\mathbf{o}, \gamma^3)}(\varepsilon))} \mathbb{C}e(\xi) \mid \xi \in P(V_{L(\mathbf{o}, \gamma^3)}(\varepsilon))\} \\ & \cup \bigcup_{\mathbf{o} \neq \lambda \in \{0, c\}^\ell} \{D(V_{L(\lambda, \gamma^3)}) \otimes_{s(V_{L(\lambda, \gamma^3)})} \mathbb{C}e(\xi) \mid \xi \in P(V_{L(\lambda, \gamma^3)})\} \end{aligned} \quad (5.20)$$

is a complete list of irreducible $\mathcal{A}(H_\ell, \mathcal{S}_3)$ -modules by [20, Theorem 3.6]. Note that

$$\{0, 1, 2, c\}^\ell = \bigcup_{\varepsilon=0}^2 P(V_{L(\mathbf{o}, \gamma^3)}(\varepsilon)) \cup \bigcup_{\mathbf{o} \neq \lambda \in \{0, c\}^\ell} P(V_{L(\lambda, \gamma^3)}); \quad \text{disjoint.}$$

We denote $\{0, 1, 2, c\}^\ell$ by P_3 .

For $i = 1, 2, 3$, we write $W_{i,\xi}$ for an irreducible $\mathcal{A}(H_\ell, \mathcal{S}_i)$ -module $D(M) \otimes_{s(M)} \mathbb{C}e(\xi)$ in (5.19) and (5.20) since they are parametrized by $\xi \in P_i$.

For $M \in \mathcal{S}_i$, since

$$|H_\ell / (H_\ell)_M| = \begin{cases} 3^{\text{wt}_{\mathcal{K}}(\lambda)-1} & \text{if } M = V_{L(\lambda, \gamma)}, \mathbf{0} \neq \lambda \in \mathcal{K}^\ell, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell, \\ 1 & \text{if } M = V_{L(\mathbf{0}, \gamma)}(\varepsilon), \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell, \varepsilon = 0, 1, 2, \end{cases}$$

$W_{i,\xi}$ is of dimension $3^{\max\{0, \text{wt}_{\mathcal{K}}(\xi)-1\}}$.

We have

$$\mathcal{M}_i = \bigoplus_{\xi \in P_i} W_{i,\xi} \otimes \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(H_\ell, \mathcal{S}_i)}(W_{i,\xi}, \mathcal{M}_i).$$

as a $\mathcal{A}(H_\ell, \mathcal{S}_i) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes \ell}$ -module for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Then $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(H_\ell, \mathcal{S}_i)}(W_{i,\xi}, \mathcal{M}_i), \xi \in P_i$ are nonzero inequivalent irreducible $(V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes \ell}$ -modules by [20, Theorem 6.14].

For any $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ -module M in $\mathcal{T}_i$ and any nonzero $v \in V_{\xi, \gamma^i}, \xi \in P_i$, in the decomposition (5.2) of M , it is clear that the $\mathcal{A}(H_\ell, \mathcal{S}_i)$ -submodule of $\mathcal{M}_i$ generated by v is isomorphic to $W_{i,\xi}$. Hence there exists a unique $f_v \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(H_\ell, \mathcal{S}_i)}(W_{i,\xi}, \mathcal{M}_i)$ such that $f_v(1 \otimes e(\xi)) = v$. Note that the map $v \mapsto f_v$ is linear. Therefore we identify $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(H_\ell, \mathcal{S}_i)}(W_{i,\xi}, \mathcal{M}_i)$ with V_{ξ, γ^i} and we write

$$\mathcal{M}_i = \bigoplus_{\xi \in P_i} W_{i,\xi} \otimes V_{\xi, \gamma^i}. \quad (5.21)$$

For any $\xi \in P_i$ and any nonzero $v^\xi \in V_{\xi, \gamma^i}$, it is possible to take a basis $\{w^{ij} \mid j = 1, \dots, \dim_{\mathbb{C}} W_{i,\xi}\}$ of $W_{i,\xi}$ such that for all $j = 1, \dots, \dim_{\mathbb{C}} W_{i,\xi}$, $w^{ij} \otimes v^\xi$ is an element of an irreducible $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ -module in $\mathcal{S}_i$ and for all $j \neq k$, $w^{ij} \otimes v^\xi$ and $w^{ik} \otimes v^\xi$ belong to different irreducible $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ -modules.

Set

$$\mathcal{I} = \bigoplus_{(M^1, M^2, M^3) \in \mathcal{S}_1 \times \mathcal{S}_2 \times \mathcal{S}_3} I_{V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} M^3 \\ M^1 & M^2 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M^2. \quad (5.22)$$

Let $M^i \in \mathcal{S}_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. For $f \in I_{V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} M^3 \\ M^1 & M^2 \end{smallmatrix} \right)$ and $g \in H_\ell$, we define ${}_g f \in I_{V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} g(M^3) \\ g(M^1) & g(M^2) \end{smallmatrix} \right)$ as follows: For $u \in g(M^1), v \in g(M^2)$, set

$${}_g f(u, x)v = g(f(g^{-1}u, x)g^{-1}(v)).$$

We define an action of $\mathcal{A}_3(H_\ell, \mathcal{S}_3)$ as follows: Let $M^i \in \mathcal{S}_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. For $g \otimes e(M) \in \mathcal{A}_3(H_\ell, \mathcal{S}_3)$, $v \in M^1, w \in M^2$, and $f \in I_{V_{L^\oplus \ell}}^{M^3} \begin{pmatrix} M^3 \\ M^1 \ M^2 \end{pmatrix}$, set

$$\begin{aligned} (g \otimes e(M)) \cdot (f \otimes v \otimes w) &= \delta_{M, M^3} \cdot {}_g f \otimes g(v) \otimes g(w) \\ &\in I_{V_{L^\oplus \ell}}^{M^3} \begin{pmatrix} g(M^3) \\ g(M^1) \ g(M^2) \end{pmatrix} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} g(M^1) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} g(M^2). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\xi^i \in P_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Fix a nonzero $v^{i0} \in V_{\xi^i, \gamma^i}$. Set

$$\mathcal{I}(\xi^1, \xi^2) = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{f \otimes w^1 \otimes v^{10} \otimes w^2 \otimes v^{20} \in \mathcal{I} \mid w^1 \in W_{1, \xi^1}, w^2 \in W_{2, \xi^2}\}, \quad (5.23)$$

which is an $\mathcal{A}(H_\ell, \mathcal{S}_3)$ -submodule of $\mathcal{I}$. It follows from the comments right after (5.21) that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{I}(\xi^1, \xi^2) = \sum_{M^3 \in \mathcal{S}_3} \sum_{\mu^1 \in \mathcal{R}_1, \mu^2 \in \mathcal{R}_2} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} I_{V_{L^\oplus \ell}}^{M^3} \begin{pmatrix} M^3 \\ V_{L(\mu^1, \gamma^1)} \ V_{L(\mu^2, \gamma^2)} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.24)$$

We have

$$\mathcal{I}(\xi^1, \xi^2) = \bigoplus_{\xi \in P_3} W_{3, \xi} \otimes \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(H_\ell, \mathcal{S}_3)}(W_{3, \xi}, \mathcal{I}(\xi^1, \xi^2)) \quad (5.25)$$

as $\mathcal{A}(H_\ell, \mathcal{S}_3)$ -modules. By [35, Theorem 2], we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(H_\ell, \mathcal{S}_3)}(W_{3, \xi}, \mathcal{I}(\xi^1, \xi^2)) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} I_{(V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes \ell}} \begin{pmatrix} V_{\xi, \gamma^3} \\ V_{\xi^1, \gamma^1} \ V_{\xi^2, \gamma^2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.26)$$

for $\xi \in P_3$. Set

$$\Xi(\xi^1, \xi^2) = \left\{ \xi = (\xi_j) \in \{0, 1, 2, c\}^\ell \mid \begin{array}{l} \xi_j = \xi_j^1 + \xi_j^2 \\ \text{for all } j \notin \{k \mid \xi_k^1 = \xi_k^2 = c\} \end{array} \right\}$$

and

$$\Xi(\xi^1, \xi^2)_k = \{\xi = (\xi_j) \in \Xi(\xi^1, \xi^2) \mid |\{j \mid \xi_j^1 = \xi_j^2 = \xi_j = c\}| = k\}$$

for nonnegative integers k . Note that $|\Xi(\xi^1, \xi^2)_k| = \binom{|\{j \mid \xi_j^1 = \xi_j^2 = c\}|}{k} 3^{|\{j \mid \xi_j^1 = \xi_j^2 = c\}| - k}$ and for $\xi \in \Xi(\xi^1, \xi^2)_k$,

$$\text{wt}_{\mathcal{K}}(\xi) = \text{wt}_{\mathcal{K}}(\xi^1) + \text{wt}_{\mathcal{K}}(\xi^2) - 2|\{j \mid \xi_j^1 = \xi_j^2 = c\}| + k. \quad (5.27)$$

By Proposition 4.4 and [18, Proposition 2.10], we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} I_{(V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes \ell}} \begin{pmatrix} V_{\xi, \gamma^3} \\ V_{\xi^1, \gamma^1} & V_{\xi^2, \gamma^2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{cases} 2^k, & \text{if } \xi \in \Xi(\xi^1, \xi^2)_k, \\ 0, & \text{if } \xi \notin \Xi(\xi^1, \xi^2) \end{cases} \quad (5.28)$$

for $\xi \in \{0, 1, 2, c\}^\ell$.

By Lemma 2.18, [35, Theorem 2] and the same arguments as in [35, Lemma 6], we have

$$V_{L_{(\lambda^1, \gamma^1)}} \times V_{L_{(\lambda^2, \gamma^2)}} \geq \sum_{j=0}^2 V_{L_{(\lambda^1 + \tau^j(\lambda^2), \gamma^1 + \gamma^2)}}, \quad (5.29)$$

$$V_{L_{(\lambda^1, \gamma^1)}} \times V_{L_{(\lambda^1, \gamma^2)}} \geq \sum_{\varepsilon=0}^2 V_{L_{(\mathbf{0}, \gamma^1 + \gamma^2)}}(\varepsilon) + 2V_{L_{(\lambda^1, \gamma^1 + \gamma^2)}}. \quad (5.30)$$

We shall compute the dimension of $\mathcal{I}(\xi^1, \xi^2)$ in two ways using (5.23) and (5.25).

Case 1. We deal with the case $\text{supp}_{\mathcal{K}}(\lambda^1) \neq \text{supp}_{\mathcal{K}}(\lambda^2)$. Note that $g_1(\lambda^1) \not\equiv_{\tau} g_2(\lambda^2)$ for all $g_1, g_2 \in H_\ell$ and $\text{wt}_{\mathcal{K}}(\xi) > 0$ for all $\xi \in \Xi(\xi^1, \xi^2)$. By (5.24) and (5.29), we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{I}(\xi^1, \xi^2) \geq 3 \dim_{\mathbb{C}} W_{1, \xi^1} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} W_{2, \xi^2} = 3^{\text{wt}_{\mathcal{K}}(\xi^1) + \text{wt}_{\mathcal{K}}(\xi^2) - 1}. \quad (5.31)$$

By (5.25)–(5.28), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{I}(\xi^1, \xi^2) \\
&= \sum_{\xi \in P_3} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} W_{3,\xi} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(H_\ell, \mathcal{S}_3)}(W_{3,\xi}, \mathcal{I}(\xi^1, \xi^2)) \\
&\leq \sum_{\xi \in \Xi(\xi^1, \xi^2)} 3^{\operatorname{wt}_{\mathcal{K}}(\xi)-1} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} I_{(V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes \ell}} \left(\begin{matrix} V_{\xi, \gamma^3} \\ V_{\xi^1, \gamma^1} & V_{\xi^2, \gamma^2} \end{matrix} \right) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{|\{j \mid \xi_j^1 = \xi_j^2 = c\}|} \sum_{\xi \in \Xi(\xi^1, \xi^2)_k} 3^{\operatorname{wt}_{\mathcal{K}}(\xi^1) + \operatorname{wt}_{\mathcal{K}}(\xi^2) - 2|\{j \mid \xi_j^1 = \xi_j^2 = c\}| + k - 1} 2^k \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{|\{j \mid \xi_j^1 = \xi_j^2 = c\}|} \binom{|\{j \mid \xi_j^1 = \xi_j^2 = c\}|}{k} 3^{|\{j \mid \xi_j^1 = \xi_j^2 = c\}| - k} \\
&\quad \times 3^{\operatorname{wt}_{\mathcal{K}}(\xi^1) + \operatorname{wt}_{\mathcal{K}}(\xi^2) - 2|\{j \mid \xi_j^1 = \xi_j^2 = c\}| + k - 1} 2^k \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{|\{j \mid \xi_j^1 = \xi_j^2 = c\}|} \binom{|\{j \mid \xi_j^1 = \xi_j^2 = c\}|}{k} \\
&\quad \times 3^{\operatorname{wt}_{\mathcal{K}}(\xi^1) + \operatorname{wt}_{\mathcal{K}}(\xi^2) - |\{j \mid \xi_j^1 = \xi_j^2 = c\}| - 1} 2^k \\
&= 3^{\operatorname{wt}_{\mathcal{K}}(\xi^1) + \operatorname{wt}_{\mathcal{K}}(\xi^2) - 1}. \tag{5.32}
\end{aligned}$$

By (5.31) and (5.32), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{I}(\xi^1, \xi^2) &= \sum_{M^3 \in \mathcal{S}_3} \sum_{\mu^1 \in \mathcal{R}_1, \mu^2 \in \mathcal{R}_2} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} I_{V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^{\tau}} \left(\begin{matrix} M^3 \\ V_{L(\mu^1, \gamma^1)} & V_{L(\mu^2, \gamma^2)} \end{matrix} \right) \\
&= 3^{\operatorname{wt}_{\mathcal{K}}(\xi^1) + \operatorname{wt}_{\mathcal{K}}(\xi^2) - 1},
\end{aligned}$$

and consequently (5.17) gives that

$$V_{L(\lambda^1, \gamma^1)} \times V_{L(\lambda^2, \gamma^2)} = \sum_{j=0}^2 V_{L(\lambda^1 + \tau^j(\lambda^2), \gamma^1 + \gamma^2)}.$$

Case 2. We deals with the case $\operatorname{supp}_{\mathcal{K}}(\lambda^1) = \operatorname{supp}_{\mathcal{K}}(\lambda^2)$. Note that $\xi^1 = \xi^2$ in this case. By (5.24), (5.29), and (5.30), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{I}(\xi^1, \xi^1) &\geq 5 \dim_{\mathbb{C}} W_{1,\xi^1} + 3 \dim_{\mathbb{C}} W_{1,\xi^1} (\dim_{\mathbb{C}} W_{1,\xi^1} - 1) \\
&= 3^{2\operatorname{wt}_{\mathcal{K}}(\xi^1)-1} + 2 \cdot 3^{\operatorname{wt}_{\mathcal{K}}(\xi^1)-1}. \tag{5.33}
\end{aligned}$$

By (5.25)–(5.28), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{I}(\xi^1, \xi^1) \\
&= \sum_{\xi \in P_3} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} W_{3,\xi} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(H_\ell, \mathcal{S}_3)}(W_{3,\xi}, \mathcal{I}(\xi^1, \xi^1)) \\
&\leq \sum_{\xi \in P_3} 3^{\max\{0, \operatorname{wt}_{\mathcal{K}}(\xi)-1\}} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} I_{(V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes \ell}} \begin{pmatrix} V_{\xi, \gamma^3} \\ V_{\xi^1, \gamma^1} & V_{\xi^1, \gamma^2} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{\xi \in \Xi(\xi^1, \xi^1) \\ \operatorname{wt}_{\mathcal{K}}(\xi) \neq 0}} 3^{\operatorname{wt}_{\mathcal{K}}(\xi)-1} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} I_{(V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes \ell}} \begin{pmatrix} V_{\xi, \gamma^3} \\ V_{\xi^1, \gamma^1} & V_{\xi^1, \gamma^2} \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad + \sum_{\substack{\xi \in \Xi(\xi^1, \xi^1) \\ \operatorname{wt}_{\mathcal{K}}(\xi) = 0}} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} I_{(V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes \ell}} \begin{pmatrix} V_{\xi, \gamma^3} \\ V_{\xi^1, \gamma^1} & V_{\xi^1, \gamma^2} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\operatorname{wt}_{\mathcal{K}}(\xi^1)} \sum_{\xi \in \Xi(\xi^1, \xi^1)_k} 3^{k-1} 2^k + 3^{\operatorname{wt}_{\mathcal{K}}(\xi^1)} \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\operatorname{wt}_{\mathcal{K}}(\xi^1)} \binom{\operatorname{wt}_{\mathcal{K}}(\xi^1)}{k} 3^{\operatorname{wt}_{\mathcal{K}}(\xi^1)-k} 3^{k-1} 2^k + 3^{\operatorname{wt}_{\mathcal{K}}(\xi^1)} \\
&= 3^{2\operatorname{wt}_{\mathcal{K}}(\xi^1)-1} + 2 \cdot 3^{\operatorname{wt}_{\mathcal{K}}(\xi^1)-1}. \tag{5.34}
\end{aligned}$$

By (5.33) and (5.34), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{I}(\xi^1, \xi^1) &= \sum_{M^3 \in \mathcal{S}_3} \sum_{\mu^1 \in \mathcal{R}_1, \mu^2 \in \mathcal{R}_2} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} I_{V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^{\tau}} \begin{pmatrix} M^3 \\ V_{L(\mu^1, \gamma^1)} & V_{L(\mu^2, \gamma^2)} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= 3^{2\operatorname{wt}_{\mathcal{K}}(\xi^1)-1} + 2 \cdot 3^{\operatorname{wt}_{\mathcal{K}}(\xi^1)-1}
\end{aligned}$$

and thus it follows from (5.17) that

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{L(\lambda^1, \gamma^1)} \times V_{L(\lambda^2, \gamma^2)} &= \sum_{j=0}^2 V_{L(\lambda^1 + \tau^j(\lambda^2), \gamma^1 + \gamma^2)}, \\
V_{L(\lambda^1, \gamma^1)} \times V_{L(\lambda^1, \gamma^2)} &= \sum_{\varepsilon=0}^2 V_{L(\mathbf{0}, \gamma^1 + \gamma^2)}(\varepsilon) + 2V_{L(\lambda^1, \gamma^1 + \gamma^2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

We have obtained (5.13) and (5.14).

We shall show (5.16). It is easy to show that

$$I_{V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau} \left(V_{L_{(\lambda^1, \gamma^1)}}^M V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^{T, \eta}(\tau)[\varepsilon_2] \right) = 0 \quad (5.35)$$

for all $M \not\cong V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^{T, \eta - \gamma^1}(\tau)[r]$, $r = 0, 1, 2$, by Proposition 4.4 and [18, Proposition 2.10]. We shall show

$$V_{L_{(\lambda^1, \gamma^1)}} \times V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^{T, \eta}(\tau)[\varepsilon_2] \geq \sum_{\varepsilon=0}^2 V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^{T, \eta - \gamma^1}(\tau)[\varepsilon]. \quad (5.36)$$

Set $\mu = (\lambda^1)^{\oplus 6} \in \mathcal{K}^{6\ell}$ and $\delta = (\gamma^1)^{\oplus 6} \in \mathbb{Z}_3^{6\ell}$. Recall that $C(\mu)$ is the $\mathcal{K}$ -code generated by μ and $\tau(\mu)$ and that $D(\delta)$ is the $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -code generated by δ (cf. Section 2.2). By Lemma 2.12, $L_{C(\mu) \times D(\delta)}$ is a τ -invariant even lattice. Let $\eta = (\eta_i) \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$ and set $\tilde{\eta} = (\tilde{\eta}_i) = \eta^{\oplus 6} \in \mathbb{Z}_3^{6\ell}$.

Consider a vertex operator algebra $V_{L_{C(\mu) \times D(\delta)}}$ and a τ -twisted $V_{L_{C(\mu) \times D(\delta)}}$ -module $V_{L_{C(\mu) \times D(\delta)}}^{T, \tilde{\eta}}(\tau)$. For $s = 0, 1, 2$, it follows from Theorem 3.12 that

$$\begin{aligned} V_{L_{C(\mu) \times D(\delta)}}^{T, \tilde{\eta}}(\tau) &\cong \bigoplus_{r_1, \dots, r_{6\ell} \in \mathbb{Z}_3} \bigotimes_{i=1}^{6\ell} V_L^{T, \tilde{\eta}_i}(\tau_1)[r_i] \\ &\oplus \bigoplus_{r_1, \dots, r_{6\ell} \in \mathbb{Z}_3} \bigotimes_{i=1}^{6\ell} V_L^{T, \tilde{\eta}_i - \delta_i}(\tau_1)[r_i] \end{aligned} \quad (5.37)$$

as $(V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes 6\ell}$ -modules.

By Proposition 5.3 and [18, Proposition 2.7 and Lemma 2.8], $(V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau)^{\otimes 6}$ is rational and $\{U^1 \otimes \dots \otimes U^6 \mid U^1, \dots, U^6 \text{ are irreducible } V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau\text{-modules}\}$ is a complete list of irreducible $(V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau)^{\otimes 6}$ -modules.

Comparing (5.37) with the decompositions of irreducible $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ -modules as $(V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes \ell}$ -modules in Theorem 3.12 and (5.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_{L_{C(\mu) \times D(\delta)}}^{T, \tilde{\eta}}(\tau) &\cong \bigoplus_{s_1, \dots, s_6 \in \mathbb{Z}_3} \bigotimes_{i=1}^6 V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^{T, \eta}(\tau)[s_i] \\ &\oplus \bigoplus_{s_1, \dots, s_6 \in \mathbb{Z}_3} \bigotimes_{i=1}^6 V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^{T, \eta - \gamma^1}(\tau)[s_i] \end{aligned} \quad (5.38)$$

as $(V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau)^{\otimes 6}$ -modules.

By Proposition 4.4 and (5.37),

$$V_{L_{(\lambda^1, \gamma^1)}}^{\otimes 6} \cdot (V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^{T, \eta}(\tau)[\varepsilon_2])^{\otimes 6} \subset \bigoplus_{s_1, \dots, s_6 \in \mathbb{Z}_3} \bigotimes_{i=1}^6 V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^{T, \eta - \gamma^1}(\tau)[s_i]$$

in $V_{L_{C(\mu) \times D(\delta)}}^{T, \tilde{\eta}}(\tau)$.

Since $V_{L_{C(\mu) \times D(\delta)}}^{T, \tilde{\eta}}(\tau)$ is a τ -twisted irreducible $V_{L_{C(\mu) \times D(\delta)}}$ -module, $V_{L_{(\lambda^1, \gamma^1)}}^{\otimes 6} \cdot (V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^{T, \eta}(\tau)[\varepsilon_2])^{\otimes 6}$ is a nonzero $(V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau)^{\otimes 6}$ -module. Hence there exists $(s_1^0, \dots, s_6^0) \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$ such that

$$V_{L_{(\lambda^1, \gamma^1)}}^{\otimes 6} \cdot (V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^{T, \eta}(\tau)[\varepsilon_2])^{\otimes 6} \supset \bigotimes_{i=1}^6 V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^{T, \eta - \gamma^1}(\tau)[s_i^0] \quad (5.39)$$

By Proposition 4.4 and (5.37), for $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_6 \in \mathbb{Z}_3$,

$$\bigotimes_{s=1}^6 V_{L_{(0,0)}}(\nu_s) \cdot V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^{T, \eta}(\tau)[\varepsilon_2]^{\otimes 6} \subset \bigotimes_{s=1}^6 V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^{T, \eta}(\tau)[\varepsilon_2 - \eta_s], \quad (5.40)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} V_{L_{(\lambda^1, \gamma^1)}}^{\otimes 6} \cdot V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^{T, \eta}(\tau)[\varepsilon_2]^{\otimes 6} &= \left(\bigotimes_{s=1}^6 V_{L_{(0,0)}}(\nu_s) \cdot V_{L_{(\lambda^1, \gamma^1)}}^{\otimes 6} \right) \cdot V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^{T, \eta}(\tau)[\varepsilon_2]^{\otimes 6} \\ &= \bigotimes_{s=1}^6 V_{L_{(0,0)}}(\nu_s) \cdot (V_{L_{(\lambda^1, \gamma^1)}}^{\otimes 6} \cdot V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^{T, \eta}(\tau)[\varepsilon_2]^{\otimes 6}) \end{aligned} \quad (5.41)$$

in $V_{L_{C(\mu) \times D(\delta)}}^{T, \tilde{\eta}}(\tau)$.

By (5.39)–(5.41), we have

$$V_{L_{(\lambda^1, \gamma^1)}}^{\otimes 6} \cdot V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^{T, \eta}(\tau)[\varepsilon_2]^{\otimes 6} = \bigoplus_{s_1, \dots, s_6 \in \mathbb{Z}_3} \bigotimes_{i=1}^6 V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^{T, \eta - \gamma^1}(\tau)[s_i]. \quad (5.42)$$

Using the same arguments as in the proof of (4.8) in Proposition 4.4, we have (5.36).

Take H_ℓ -stable sets $\mathcal{S}_2^T = \{V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^{T, \eta}(\tau)[\varepsilon_2]\}$ and $\mathcal{S}_3^T = \{V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^{T, \eta - \gamma^1}(\tau)[r] \mid r = 0, 1, 2\}$. For each $i = 2, 3$, define an associative algebra $\mathcal{A}(H_\ell, \mathcal{S}_i^T) = \mathbb{C}[H_\ell] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}}$

$\mathbb{C}\mathcal{S}_i^T$ in the same way as $\mathcal{A}(H_\ell, \mathcal{S}_k)$, $k = 1, 2, 3$. We define an action of $\mathcal{A}(H_\ell, \mathcal{S}_i^T)$ on $\mathcal{M}_i^T = \oplus_{M \in \mathcal{S}_i^T} M$ in the same way as (5.18).

Note that for $M \in \mathcal{S}_i^T$, $(H_\ell)_M = \{g \in H_\ell \mid g(M) = M\}$ equals M . Set a subalgebra $D(M) = \{g \otimes e(M) \mid g \in H_\ell\}$ for $M \in \mathcal{S}_i^T$ of $\mathcal{A}(H_\ell, \mathcal{S}_i^T)$.

For $\xi \in \{0, 1, 2\}^\ell$, define an action of $D(M)$, $M \in \mathcal{S}_i^T$ on $\mathbb{C}e(\xi)$ as follows: For $g = (\tau_1^{i_1}, \dots, \tau_1^{i_{\ell-1}}, 1) \in H_\ell$, set

$$g \otimes e(M) \cdot e(\xi) = \zeta_3^{\langle (i_1, \dots, i_{\ell-1}, 0), \xi \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_3}} e(\xi).$$

Denote the $D(M)$ -module $\mathbb{C}e(\xi)$ by $W_{i,\xi}^T$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} P(V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^{T,\eta}(\tau)[r]) &= P(V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^{T,\eta-\gamma^1}(\tau)[r]) \\ &= \{\xi = (\xi_k) \in \{0, 1, 2\}^\ell \mid \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \xi_k \equiv r \pmod{3}\} \end{aligned}$$

for $r = 0, 1, 2$. Note that

$$\{0, 1, 2\}^\ell = \bigcup_{r=0}^2 P(V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^{T,\eta-\gamma^1}(\tau)[r]); \quad \text{disjoint.}$$

Set $P_2^T = P(V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^{T,\eta}(\tau)[\varepsilon_2])$ and $P_3^T = \{0, 1, 2\}^\ell$. Then $\{W_{i,\xi}^T \mid \xi \in P_i^T\}$ is a complete list of irreducible $\mathcal{A}(H_\ell, \mathcal{S}_i^T)$ -modules by [20, Theorem 3.6] for $i = 2, 3$. For $\xi = (\xi_k), \gamma = (\gamma_k) \in \{0, 1, 2\}^\ell$, set

$$V_{\xi,\gamma}^T = \bigotimes_{k=1}^{\ell} V_L^{T,\gamma_k}(\tau_1)[\xi_k].$$

For the same reason as in the proof of (5.21), we have

$$\mathcal{M}_2^T = \bigoplus_{\xi \in P_2^T} W_{2,\xi}^T \otimes V_{\xi,\eta}^T, \quad \mathcal{M}_3^T = \bigoplus_{\xi \in P_3^T} W_{3,\xi}^T \otimes V_{\xi,\eta-\gamma^1}^T.$$

as an $\mathcal{A}(H_\ell, \mathcal{S}_2^T)$ - and $\mathcal{A}(H_\ell, \mathcal{S}_3^T)$ -module, respectively. Set

$$\mathcal{I}^T = \bigoplus_{(M^1, M^2, M^3) \in \mathcal{S}_1 \times \mathcal{S}_2^T \times \mathcal{S}_3^T} I_{V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^T} \begin{pmatrix} M^3 \\ M^1 & M^2 \end{pmatrix} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M^2.$$

Let $\xi^1 \in P_1 = P(V_{L_{(\lambda^1, \gamma^1)}})$ and $\xi^2 \in P_2^T$. Fix nonzero elements $v^{10} \in V_{\xi^1, \gamma^1}$ and $v^{T, 20} \in V_{\xi^2, \eta}^T$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{I}^T(\xi^1, \xi^2) \\ &= \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{f \otimes w^1 \otimes v^{10} \otimes w^2 \otimes v^{T, 20} \in \mathcal{I}^T \mid w^1 \in W_{1, \xi^1}, w^2 \in W_{2, \xi^2}^T\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.43)$$

Applying the same arguments as in the case of (5.13) and (5.14), we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{I}^T(\xi^1, \xi^2) = 3^{\text{wt}_{\mathcal{K}}(\xi^1)}.$$

Therefore, (5.16) holds.

The other formulas can be proved similarly. $\square$

6 Modules of $V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^\tau$

Let D be a self-orthogonal $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -code of length ℓ . In this section, we discuss $V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^\tau$ -modules. Note that $V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^\tau = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in D} V_{L_{(\mathbf{0}, \gamma)}}^\tau(0)$ as $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ -modules. Let $\gamma^{(1)}, \dots, \gamma^{(\ell)}$ be a basis of $\mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$ such that $\gamma^{(1)}, \dots, \gamma^{(d)}$ is a basis of D .

For $j = 1, \dots, \ell$, define a linear transformation χ_j on $V_{(L^\perp)^{\oplus \ell}} = \bigoplus_{\delta \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell} V_{L_{\mathcal{K}^\ell \times \delta}}$ as follows: For $u \in V_{L_{\mathcal{K}^\ell \times \delta}}$, $\delta = \sum_{k=1}^\ell p_k \gamma^{(k)} \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$, set $\chi_j(u) = \zeta_3^{p_j} u$.

Note that χ_j is an automorphism of $V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}$ for $j = 1, \dots, \ell$. Let Φ_D be the automorphism group of $V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}$ generated by $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_\ell$. Since $V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^\tau$ is an eigenspace of τ and τ commutes with Φ_D , Φ_D is also an automorphism group of $V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^\tau$. Note that $(V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^\tau)^{\Phi_D} = V_{L_{(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0})}}^\tau(0) = V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$.

Note that for $j = 1, \dots, d$, $\lambda \in \mathcal{K}^\ell$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$, $V_{L_{\lambda \times (\gamma + D)}}$ is a χ_j -invariant subspace of $V_{(L^\perp)^{\oplus \ell}}$ and

$$V_{L_{\lambda \times (\gamma + D)}} = \bigoplus_{\delta \in D} V_{L_{(\lambda, \gamma + \delta)}} \quad (6.1)$$

is an eigenspace decomposition for Φ_D . It is clear that (6.1) is a decomposition of $V_{L_{\lambda \times (\gamma + D)}}$ as a $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ -module. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times (\gamma + D)}}(\varepsilon) &= \bigoplus_{\delta \in D} V_{L_{(\mathbf{0}, \gamma + \delta)}}(\varepsilon), \quad \varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}_3, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell, \\ V_{L_{\lambda \times (\gamma + D)}} &= \bigoplus_{\delta \in D} V_{L_{(\lambda, \gamma + \delta)}}, \quad \lambda \in \mathcal{K}^\ell, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

as $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ -modules.

For $\lambda \in \mathcal{K}^\ell, \gamma \in D^\perp, u \in V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}, v \in V_{L_{\lambda \times (\gamma+D)}}$, we have

$$Y_{V_{L_{\lambda \times (\gamma+D)}}}(\chi_j u, x) \chi_j v = \chi_j(Y_{V_{L_{\lambda \times (\gamma+D)}}}(u, x)v).$$

Hence we have $V_{L_{\lambda \times (\gamma+D)}} \circ \chi_j \cong \chi_j^{-1}(V_{L_{\lambda \times (\gamma+D)}}) = V_{L_{\lambda \times (\gamma+D)}}$ as $V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}$ -modules and

$$\begin{aligned} V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times (\gamma+D)}}(\varepsilon) \circ \chi_j &\cong \chi_j^{-1}(V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times (\gamma+D)}}(\varepsilon)) = V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times (\gamma+D)}}(\varepsilon), \quad \gamma \in D^\perp, \varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}_3, \\ V_{L_{\lambda \times (\gamma+D)}} \circ \chi_j &\cong \chi_j^{-1}(V_{L_{\lambda \times (\gamma+D)}}) = V_{L_{\lambda \times (\gamma+D)}}, \quad \mathbf{0} \neq \lambda \in \mathcal{K}^\ell, \gamma \in D^\perp \end{aligned}$$

as $V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^\tau$ -modules.

We discuss the structures of $V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^\tau$ -modules $V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^{T, \eta}(\tau^i)[r], \eta = (\eta_k) \in D^\perp, i = 1, 2, r \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ as $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ -modules. By Theorem 3.12,

$$V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^{T, \eta}(\tau^i)[r] \cong \bigoplus_{(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_\ell) \in D} \bigoplus_{\substack{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_3 \\ \varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_\ell = r}} \bigotimes_{k=1}^{\ell} V_L^{T, \eta_k - \gamma_k}(\tau_1^i)[\varepsilon_k] \quad (6.3)$$

as $(V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes \ell}$ -modules. Comparing (6.3) with the decompositions of irreducible $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ -modules as $(V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes \ell}$ -modules in Theorem 3.12 and (5.2), we have

$$V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^{T, \eta}(\tau^i)[r] \cong \bigoplus_{\gamma \in D} V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^{T, \eta - \gamma}(\tau^i)[r] \quad (6.4)$$

as $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ -modules.

For $j = 1, \dots, \ell$, define a linear transformation χ_j on $V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^{T, \eta}(\tau^i)[r]$ as follows: For $v \in V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^{T, \delta}(\tau^i)[r], \delta = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} p_k \gamma^{(k)} \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$, set $\chi_j(v) = \zeta_3^{-ip_j} v$.

By Proposition 5.4, we have

$$Y_{V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^{T, \eta}(\tau^i)[r]}(\chi_j u, x) \chi_j v = \chi_j(Y_{V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^{T, \eta}(\tau^i)[r]}(u, x)v)$$

for $u \in V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}$ and $v \in V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^{T, \eta}(\tau^i)[r]$. Hence we have

$$V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^{T, \eta}(\tau^i)[r] \circ \chi_j \cong \chi_j^{-1}(V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^{T, \eta}(\tau^i)[r]) = V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^{T, \eta}(\tau^i)[r]$$

and so we can define an action of Φ_D on $V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^{T, \eta}(\tau^i)[r]$. Then it is clear that (6.4) is also an eigenspace decomposition of $V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^{T, \eta}(\tau^i)[r]$ for Φ_D .

Lemma 6.1. *Let N be an $\mathbb{N}$ -graded weak $V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^\tau$ -module and let M be an irreducible $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ -submodule of N . If M is isomorphic to $V_{L(\lambda, \gamma)}^\tau$, $\mathbf{0} \neq \lambda \in \mathcal{K}^\ell$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$, then $\gamma \in D^\perp$. If M is isomorphic to $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^{T, \eta}(\tau^i)[\varepsilon]$, $i = 1, 2$, $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}_3$, $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$, then $\eta \in D^\perp$.*

Proof. Let ω_L be the Virasoro element of $V_L^{\tau_1}$. For $i = 1, 2$ and $j, k, \varepsilon, \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, let (W^1, W^2) be one of $(V_{L(0, j)}(\varepsilon), V_{L(0, j+k)}(\varepsilon))$, $(V_{L(c, j)}, V_{L(c, j+k)})$, or $(V_L^{T, j}(\tau_1^i)[\varepsilon], V_L^{T, j+k}(\tau_1^i)[\varepsilon])$. Let λ_s be the eigenvalue of $(\omega_L)_1$ on the top level of W^s for $s = 1, 2$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} V_{L(0, -k)}(0) \times W^1 &= W^2 & \text{if } (W^1, W^2) &= (V_L^{T, j}(\tau_1)[\varepsilon], V_L^{T, j+k}(\tau_1)[\varepsilon]), \\ V_{L(0, k)}(0) \times W^1 &= W^2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 4.4 and that $\lambda_2 - \lambda_1 \equiv (jk + 2k^2)/3 \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$ by (5.7). We have already obtained a decomposition of every irreducible $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ -module as a $(V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes \ell}$ -module in Theorem 3.12 and (5.2).

Now the proof is similar to that of Lemma 5.1 since $V_{L(0, \gamma_1)}(0) \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{L(0, \gamma_\ell)}(0) \subset V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^\tau$ for $\gamma = (\gamma_i) \in D$ and D is self-orthogonal. $\square$

Using the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 5.2 and Proposition 5.3, we can show the following theorem. Indeed, we argue for $V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^\tau$, $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$, and Φ_D in place of $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$, $(V_L^{\tau_1})^{\otimes \ell}$, and H_ℓ in Section 5, respectively.

Theorem 6.2. *Let D be a self-orthogonal $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -code of length ℓ . Then $V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^\tau$ is a simple, rational, C_2 -cofinite, and CFT type vertex operator algebra. Let $D^\perp/D = \bigcup_{j=1}^m (\rho^j + D)$ be a coset decomposition. The following is a complete set of representatives of equivalence classes of irreducible $V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^\tau$ -modules.*

- (1) $V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times (\rho^j + D)}}(\varepsilon)$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, $\varepsilon = 0, 1, 2$.
- (2) $V_{L_{\lambda \times (\rho^j + D)}}^\tau$, $\mathbf{0} \neq \lambda \in (\mathcal{K}^\ell)_{\equiv \tau}$, $j = 1, \dots, m$.
- (3) $V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^{T, \rho^j}(\tau^i)[\varepsilon]$, $i = 1, 2$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, $\varepsilon = 0, 1, 2$.

We compute some fusion rules for $V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^\tau$ which will be used in Section 7.2.

Proposition 6.3. *Let λ^1, λ^2 be nonzero elements of $\mathcal{K}^\ell$ such that $\lambda^1 \not\equiv_\tau \lambda^2$,*

$\gamma^1, \gamma^2, \eta \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$, $i = 1, 2$, and $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 = 0, 1, 2$. Then

$$V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times (\gamma^1 + D)}}(\varepsilon_1) \times V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times (\gamma^2 + D)}}(\varepsilon_2) = V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times (\gamma^1 + \gamma^2 + D)}}(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2), \quad (6.5)$$

$$V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times (\gamma^1 + D)}}(\varepsilon_1) \times V_{L_{\lambda^1 \times (\gamma^2 + D)}} = V_{L_{\lambda^1 \times (\gamma^1 + \gamma^2 + D)}}, \quad (6.6)$$

$$V_{L_{\lambda^1 \times (\gamma^1 + D)}} \times V_{L_{\lambda^2 \times (\gamma^2 + D)}} = \sum_{j=0}^2 V_{L_{(\lambda^1 + \tau^j(\lambda^2)) \times (\gamma^1 + \gamma^2 + D)}}, \quad (6.7)$$

$$V_{L_{\lambda^1 \times (\gamma^1 + D)}} \times V_{L_{\lambda^1 \times (\gamma^2 + D)}} = \sum_{\varepsilon=0}^2 V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times (\gamma^1 + \gamma^2 + D)}}(\varepsilon) + 2V_{L_{\lambda^1 \times (\gamma^1 + \gamma^2 + D)}}, \quad (6.8)$$

$$V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times (\gamma^1 + D)}}(\varepsilon_1) \times V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^{T, \eta}(\tau^i)[\varepsilon_2] = V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^{T, \eta - i\gamma^1}(\tau^i)[i\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2], \quad (6.9)$$

$$V_{L_{\lambda^1 \times (\gamma^1 + D)}} \times V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^{T, \eta}(\tau^i)[\varepsilon_2] = \sum_{\varepsilon=0}^2 V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^{T, \eta - i\gamma^1}(\tau^i)[\varepsilon]. \quad (6.10)$$

Proof. We shall show (6.7). By Lemma 2.18, [35, Theorem 2] and the same arguments as in [35, Lemma 6], we have

$$V_{L_{\lambda^1 \times (\gamma^1 + D)}} \times V_{L_{\lambda^2 \times (\gamma^2 + D)}} \geq \sum_{j=0}^2 V_{L_{(\lambda^1 + \tau^j(\lambda^2)) \times (\gamma^1 + \gamma^2 + D)}}. \quad (6.11)$$

For $k = 1, 2, r \in \mathbb{Z}_3$, $\mathbf{0} \neq \lambda^3 \in \mathcal{K}^\ell$, and $\gamma^3 \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau} \left(\begin{array}{c} V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times (\gamma^3 + D)}}(r) \\ V_{L_{(\lambda^1, \gamma^1)}} \quad V_{L_{(\lambda^2, \gamma^2)}} \end{array} \right) &\cong \bigoplus_{\delta \in D} I_{V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau} \left(\begin{array}{c} V_{L_{(\mathbf{0}, \gamma^3 + \delta)}}(r) \\ V_{L_{(\lambda^1, \gamma^1)}} \quad V_{L_{(\lambda^2, \gamma^2)}} \end{array} \right), \\ I_{V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau} \left(\begin{array}{c} V_{L_{\lambda^3 \times (\gamma^3 + D)}} \\ V_{L_{(\lambda^1, \gamma^1)}} \quad V_{L_{(\lambda^2, \gamma^2)}} \end{array} \right) &\cong \bigoplus_{\delta \in D} I_{V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau} \left(\begin{array}{c} V_{L_{(\lambda^3, \gamma^3 + \delta)}} \\ V_{L_{(\lambda^1, \gamma^1)}} \quad V_{L_{(\lambda^2, \gamma^2)}} \end{array} \right), \\ I_{V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau} \left(\begin{array}{c} V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^{T, \gamma^3}(\tau^k)[r] \\ V_{L_{(\lambda^1, \gamma^1)}} \quad V_{L_{(\lambda^2, \gamma^2)}} \end{array} \right) &\cong \bigoplus_{\delta \in D} I_{V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau} \left(\begin{array}{c} V_{L_{L^{\oplus \ell}}}^{T, \gamma^3 - \delta}(\tau^k)[r] \\ V_{L_{(\lambda^1, \gamma^1)}} \quad V_{L_{(\lambda^2, \gamma^2)}} \end{array} \right) \end{aligned}$$

as vector spaces by (6.2) and (6.3). By [11, Proposition 11.9] and Proposition 5.4,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} I_{V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^\tau} \left(\begin{array}{c} V_{L_{(\lambda^1 + \tau^j(\lambda^2)) \times (\gamma^1 + \gamma^2 + D)}} \\ V_{L_{\lambda^1 \times (\gamma^1 + D)}} \quad V_{L_{\lambda^2 \times (\gamma^2 + D)}} \end{array} \right) &\leq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} I_{V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau} \left(\begin{array}{c} V_{L_{(\lambda^1 + \tau^j(\lambda^2)) \times (\gamma^1 + \gamma^2 + D)}} \\ V_{L_{(\lambda^1, \gamma^1)}} \quad V_{L_{(\lambda^2, \gamma^2)}} \end{array} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\delta \in D} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} I_{V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau} \left(\begin{array}{c} L_{(\lambda^1 + \tau^j(\lambda^2), \gamma^1 + \gamma^2 + \delta)} \\ V_{L_{(\lambda^1, \gamma^1)}} \quad V_{L_{(\lambda^2, \gamma^2)}} \end{array} \right) = 1, \end{aligned} \quad (6.12)$$

for $j = 0, 1, 2$ and

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} I_{V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^\tau} \begin{pmatrix} W \\ V_{L_{\lambda^1 \times (\gamma^1 + D)}} \quad V_{L_{\lambda^2 \times (\gamma^2 + D)}} \end{pmatrix} \leq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} I_{V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau} \begin{pmatrix} W \\ V_{L_{(\lambda^1, \gamma^1)}} \quad V_{L_{(\lambda^2, \gamma^2)}} \end{pmatrix} = 0 \quad (6.13)$$

for any irreducible $V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^\tau$ -module $W \not\cong V_{L_{(\lambda^1 + \tau^j(\lambda^2)) \times (\gamma^1 + \gamma^2 + D)}}, j = 0, 1, 2$. By (6.11)–(6.13), we obtain (6.7).

The other formulas can be proved similarly. $\square$

7 Modules of $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau$

Throughout this section, ℓ is an even positive integer. First, we study $\mathbb{N}$ -graded weak $V_{L_{C(\mu) \times \mathbf{0}}}^\tau$ -modules with some conditions for a nonzero $\mu \in \mathcal{K}^\ell$. Recall that $C(\mu)$ is the $\mathcal{K}$ -code generated by μ and $\tau(\mu)$ (cf. Section 2.2).

7.1 Properties of $V_{L_{C(\mu) \times \mathbf{0}}}^\tau$ -modules

Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{Z}a \oplus \mathbb{Z}b \oplus \mathbb{Z}c$ be a free $\mathbb{Z}$ -module with basis a, b, c . For $\lambda = (\lambda_i) \in \mathcal{H}^\ell$ and $\epsilon = (\epsilon_i) \in \{1, -1\}^\ell$, set $(\lambda; \epsilon) = (\epsilon_1 \lambda_1, \dots, \epsilon_\ell \lambda_\ell)$. For $S \subset \{1, \dots, \ell\}$, set $\bar{S} = \{i \mid i \notin S\}$. For $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ and $S \subset \{1, \dots, \ell\}$, set $((x)_{\bar{S}}(y)_S) = (z_i) \in \mathcal{H}^\ell$ by

$$z_i = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } i \notin S, \\ y & \text{if } i \in S, \end{cases} \quad i = 1, \dots, \ell.$$

For $s = 1, \dots, \ell$ and $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$, set $((x)_s(y)_{\ell-s}) = ((x)_{\{1, \dots, s\}}(y)_{\{s+1, \dots, \ell\}})$. For $j = a, b, c$, set $(j)_\ell = (j, \dots, j) \in \mathcal{H}^\ell$.

For $p = m_a a + m_b b + m_c c \in \mathcal{H}$, set $\beta(p) = m_a \frac{\beta_2}{2} + m_b \frac{\beta_0}{2} + m_c \frac{\beta_1}{2}$. We use $\beta^{(s)}(p)$ to denote the element $\beta(p) \in \mathcal{H}$ in the s -th entry of $\mathcal{H}^{\oplus \ell}$. For $p_1, \dots, p_\ell \in \mathcal{H}$, set $\beta(p_1, \dots, p_\ell) = \sum_{i=1}^\ell \beta^{(i)}(p_i)$ and $\mathbf{e}(p_1, \dots, p_\ell) = e^{\beta(p_1, \dots, p_\ell)}$.

Let $W^0 = \bigoplus_{i=0}^\infty W^0(i)$ be an $\mathbb{N}$ -graded weak $V_{L_{C((c)_\ell) \times \mathbf{0}}}^\tau$ -module. Let $M^0 = \bigoplus_{i=0}^\infty M^0(i)$ be a $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ -submodule of W^0 such that $M^0(0) \subset W^0(0)$. Assume that M^0 is isomorphic to $V_{L_{(\lambda, \mathbf{0})}}$ for some nonzero $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell) \in \mathcal{K}^\ell$.

Set $S_j(\lambda) = \{k = 1, \dots, \ell \mid \lambda_k = j\}$ for $j = a, b, c$.

Lemma 7.1. (1) Suppose $\lambda \not\equiv_\tau (c)_\ell$. Then, for all $j = a, b, c$, $S \subset \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ with $1 \leq |S| \leq \ell/2$, and $\epsilon \in \{1, -1\}^\ell$, we have

$$\delta_{\langle \beta((0)_{\bar{S}}(j)_S; \epsilon), \beta(\lambda) \rangle, -|S|} \binom{\langle \beta((j)_\ell; \epsilon), \beta(\lambda) \rangle + \ell/2}{\ell - 2|S| + 1} = 0. \quad (7.1)$$

(2) Suppose $\lambda \not\equiv_\tau (c)_\ell$ or $\ell \geq 4$. Then, for all $\epsilon \in \{1, -1\}^\ell$, we have

$$\sum_{j=a,b,c} \binom{\langle \beta((j)_\ell; \epsilon), \beta(\lambda) \rangle + \ell/2}{\ell + 1} = 0. \quad (7.2)$$

Proof. Let S be a subset of $\{1, \dots, \ell\}$ and set $s = |S|$. For $u \in V_{L_{C((c)_\ell) \times \mathbf{o}}}$, we denote $u + \tau u + \tau^2 u \in V_{L_{C((c)_\ell) \times \mathbf{o}}}^\tau$ by u^τ . We shall describe the action of $\mathbf{e}((c)_\ell)^\tau \circ \mathbf{e}((-c)_{\bar{S}}(c)_S)^\tau$ on the top level of M^0 . Note that $\text{wt}(\mathbf{e}((c)_\ell)) = \text{wt}(\mathbf{e}((-c)_{\bar{S}}(c)_S)) = \ell/2$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{e}((c)_\ell)^\tau &= \mathbf{e}((c)_\ell) + \zeta_8^\ell \mathbf{e}((a)_\ell) + \zeta_8^{2\ell} \mathbf{e}((b)_\ell), \\ \mathbf{e}((-c)_{\bar{S}}(c)_S)^\tau &= \mathbf{e}((-c)_{\bar{S}}(c)_S) + \zeta_8^{5\ell+4s} \mathbf{e}((-a)_{\bar{S}}(a)_S) + \zeta_8^{2\ell} \mathbf{e}((-b)_{\bar{S}}(b)_S) \end{aligned}$$

by (2.11) and so

$$\begin{aligned} &Y(\mathbf{e}((c)_\ell)^\tau, x)\mathbf{e}((-c)_{\bar{S}}(c)_S)^\tau \\ &= \left(x^{-\ell+2s} \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta((c)_\ell)(-k)}{k} x^k\right) \mathbf{e}((0)_{\bar{S}}(2c)_S) \right. \\ &\quad + \zeta_8^{7\ell} x^{(\ell-2s)/2} \cdot \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta((a)_\ell)(-k)}{k} x^k\right) \mathbf{e}((c+2a)_{\bar{S}}(-c)_S) \\ &\quad \left. + \zeta_8^{5\ell} x^{(\ell-2s)/2} \cdot \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta((b)_\ell)(-k)}{k} x^k\right) \mathbf{e}((c+2b)_{\bar{S}}(-c)_S) \right)^\tau. \quad (7.3) \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$V_{L_{C((c)_\ell) \times \mathbf{o}}}^\tau \cong V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau \oplus V_{L_{((c)_\ell, \mathbf{o})}}$$

as $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ -modules. In this decomposition, since

$$x^{-\ell+2s} \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta((c)_\ell)(-k)}{k} x^k\right) \mathbf{e}((0)_{\bar{S}}(2c)_S) \in V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}((x)),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(x^{-\ell+2s} \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta((c)_\ell)(-k)}{k} x^k\right) \mathbf{e}((0)_{\bar{S}}(2c)_S) \right)^\tau \\ &= \sum_{j=a,b,c} x^{-\ell+2s} \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta((j)_\ell)(-k)}{k} x^k\right) \mathbf{e}((0)_{\bar{S}}(2j)_S) \in V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau((x)). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\zeta_8^{7\ell} x^{(\ell-2s)/2} \cdot \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta((a)_\ell)(-k)}{k} x^k\right) \mathbf{e}((c+2a)\bar{s}(-c)_s) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \zeta_8^{5\ell} x^{(\ell-2s)/2} \cdot \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta((b)_\ell)(-k)}{k} x^k\right) \mathbf{e}((c+2b)\bar{s}(-c)_s) \right)^\tau \in V_{L((c)_\ell, \mathbf{0})}((x)). \end{aligned}$$

For $p(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} p_n x^n$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, set $p(x)|_{x^i} = p_i$. For $p(x, y) = \sum_{n, m \in \mathbb{Z}} p_{nm} x^n y^m$ and $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, set $p(x, y)|_{x^i, y^j} = p_{ij}$. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} u \circ v &= \sum_{i=0}^{\text{wt}u} \binom{\text{wt}u}{i} u_{i-2} v = \sum_{i=0}^{\text{wt}u} \binom{\text{wt}u}{i} Y(u, x)|_{x^{1-i}} v, \\ o(u \circ v) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\text{wt}u} \binom{\text{wt}u}{i} (Y(u, x)|_{x^{1-i}} v)_{\text{wt}u + \text{wt}v - i} \end{aligned} \quad (7.4)$$

for homogeneous $u, v \in V$ with nonnegative weights.

We need the following formulas on lattice vertex operator algebras. By [36, Section 4], we see that the top level of M^0 is spanned by $\{\mathbf{e}(\lambda; \epsilon) \mid \epsilon \in \{1, -1\}^\ell\}$. For $i = 0, \dots, \ell/2$ and $\epsilon \in \{1, -1\}^\ell$, it follows from the definition

of the vertex operator $Y(\cdot, x)$ on $V_{(L^\perp) \oplus \ell}$ (cf. [29, (6.4.68)]) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& o\left(\left(x^{-\ell+2s} \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta((c)_\ell)(-k)}{k} x^k\right) \mathbf{e}((0)_{\bar{S}}(2c)_S)\right)\Big|_{x^{1-i}}\right) \mathbf{e}(\lambda; \epsilon) \\
&= \left(\left(x^{-\ell+2s} \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta((c)_\ell)(-k)}{k} x^k\right) \mathbf{e}((0)_{\bar{S}}(2c)_S)\right)\Big|_{x^{1-i}}\right)_{\ell-i} \mathbf{e}(\lambda; \epsilon) \\
&= \left(\left(\exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta((c)_\ell)(-k)}{k} x^k\right)\right)\Big|_{x^{\ell-2s+1-i}} \mathbf{e}((0)_{\bar{S}}(2c)_S)\right)_{\ell-i} \mathbf{e}(\lambda; \epsilon) \\
&= \mathbf{e}((0)_{\bar{S}}(2c)_S) \left(x_1^{\langle \beta((0)_{\bar{S}}(2c)_S), \beta(\lambda; \epsilon) \rangle}\right) \\
&\quad \times \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j \leq 0} \binom{-j-1}{k-1} \beta((c)_\ell)(j) x_1^{-j-k} x^k\right) \\
&\quad \times \exp\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta((0)_{\bar{S}}(2c)_S)(-m)}{m} x_1^m\right) \Big|_{x^{\ell-i+1-2s}, x_1^{-\ell+i-1}} \\
&= \mathbf{e}((0)_{\bar{S}}(2c)_S) \left(\exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{j-1}{k-1} \beta((c)_\ell)(-j) x_1^j (x_1 x^{-1})^{-k}\right)\right. \\
&\quad \times \exp\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta((0)_{\bar{S}}(2c)_S)(-m)}{m} x_1^m\right) \Big|_{\substack{(x^{-1})^{-\ell+i-1+2s}, \\ x_1^{-\ell+i-1-\langle \beta((0)_{\bar{S}}(2c)_S), \beta(\lambda; \epsilon) \rangle}} \mathbf{e}(\lambda; \epsilon) \\
&= \mathbf{e}((0)_{\bar{S}}(2c)_S) \left(\exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{j-1}{k-1} \beta((c)_\ell)(-j) x_1^j x_2^{-k}\right)\right. \\
&\quad \times \exp\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta((0)_{\bar{S}}(2c)_S)(-m)}{m} x_1^m\right) \Big|_{\substack{x_2^{-\ell+i-1+2s}, \\ x_1^{-2s-\langle \beta((0)_{\bar{S}}(2c)_S), \beta(\lambda; \epsilon) \rangle}} \mathbf{e}(\lambda; \epsilon). \quad (7.5)
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\langle \pm\beta_i/2, \pm\beta_j/2 \rangle \in \{\pm 1, \pm 1/2\}$, we have $-2s - \langle \beta((0)_{\bar{S}}(2c)_S), \beta(\lambda; \epsilon) \rangle \leq 0$. Since the last side of (7.5) contains only terms with nonnegative exponent

of x_1 , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& o\left((x^{-\ell+2s} \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta((c)_\ell)(-k)}{k} x^k\right) \mathbf{e}((0)_{\bar{S}}(2c)_S)) \Big|_{x^{1-i}}\right) \mathbf{e}(\lambda; \epsilon) \\
&= \delta_{\langle \beta((0)_{\bar{S}}(2c)_S), \beta(\lambda; \epsilon) \rangle = -2s} \mathbf{e}((0)_{\bar{S}}(2c)_S) \\
&\quad \times \left(\exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1} \beta((c)_\ell)(0)}{k} x_2^{-k}\right) \right) \Big|_{x_2^{-\ell+i-1+2s}} \mathbf{e}(\lambda; \epsilon) \\
&= \delta_{\langle \beta((0)_{\bar{S}}(c)_S), \beta(\lambda; \epsilon) \rangle = -s} \mathbf{e}((0)_{\bar{S}}(2c)_S) \\
&\quad \times \left(\exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1} \langle \beta((c)_\ell), \beta(\lambda; \epsilon) \rangle}{k} x_2^{-k}\right) \right) \Big|_{x_2^{-\ell+i-1+2s}} \mathbf{e}(\lambda; \epsilon). \tag{7.6}
\end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=0}^{\ell/2} \binom{\ell/2}{i} \left(\exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1} \langle \beta((c)_\ell), \beta(\lambda; \epsilon) \rangle}{k} x_2^{-k}\right) \right) \Big|_{x_2^{-\ell+i-1+2s}} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{\ell/2} \binom{\ell/2}{i} \left(\exp(\langle \beta((c)_\ell), \beta(\lambda; \epsilon) \rangle \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1} x_2^k}{k}) \right) \Big|_{x_2^{\ell-2s+1-i}} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{\ell/2} \binom{\ell/2}{i} \exp(\langle \beta((c)_\ell), \beta(\lambda; \epsilon) \rangle \log(1+x_2)) \Big|_{x_2^{\ell-2s+1-i}} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{\ell/2} \binom{\ell/2}{i} (1+x_2)^{\langle \beta((c)_\ell), \beta(\lambda; \epsilon) \rangle} \Big|_{x_2^{\ell-2s+1-i}} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{\ell/2} \binom{\ell/2}{i} x_2^i (1+x_2)^{\langle \beta((c)_\ell), \beta(\lambda; \epsilon) \rangle} \Big|_{x_2^{\ell-2s+1}} \\
&= (1+x_2)^{\langle \beta((c)_\ell), \beta(\lambda; \epsilon) \rangle + \ell/2} \Big|_{x_2^{\ell-2s+1}} \\
&= \binom{\langle \beta((c)_\ell), \beta(\lambda; \epsilon) \rangle + \ell/2}{\ell-2s+1}. \tag{7.7}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\langle \beta(\lambda^1), \beta(\lambda^2; \epsilon) \rangle = \langle \beta(\lambda^1; \epsilon), \beta(\lambda^2) \rangle$ for $\lambda^1, \lambda^2 \in \mathcal{K}^\ell$.

By (7.6) and (7.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& o\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\ell/2} \binom{\ell/2}{i} (x^{-\ell+2s} \exp(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta((c)_\ell)(-k)}{k} x^k) \mathbf{e}((0)_{\bar{S}}(2c)_S)) \Big|_{x^{1-i}}\right) \mathbf{e}(\lambda; \epsilon) \\
&= \delta_{\langle \beta((0)_{\bar{S}}(c)_S; \epsilon), \beta(\lambda) \rangle = -s} \binom{\langle \beta((c)_\ell; \epsilon), \beta(\lambda) \rangle + \ell/2}{\ell - 2s + 1} \mathbf{e}((0)_{\bar{S}}(2c)_S) \mathbf{e}(\lambda; \epsilon). \quad (7.8)
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\text{pr}_{M^0} : W^0 \rightarrow M^0$ be the projection. By (7.3), (7.4), (7.8) and Theorem 2.7, in the case of $0 \leq s < \ell/2 - 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \text{pr}_{M^0} o\left(\mathbf{e}((c)_\ell)^\tau \circ \mathbf{e}((-c)_{\bar{S}}(c)_S)^\tau\right) \mathbf{e}(\lambda; \epsilon) \\
&= \sum_{j=a,b,c} \delta_{\langle \beta((0)_{\bar{S}}(j)_S; \epsilon), \beta(\lambda) \rangle, -s} \binom{\langle \beta((j)_\ell; \epsilon), \beta(\lambda) \rangle + \ell/2}{\ell - 2s + 1} \mathbf{e}((0)_{\bar{S}}(2j)_S) \mathbf{e}(\lambda; \epsilon). \quad (7.9)
\end{aligned}$$

In the case of $s = \ell/2 - 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \text{pr}_{M^0} o\left(\mathbf{e}((c)_\ell)^\tau \circ \mathbf{e}((-c)_{\bar{S}}(c)_S)^\tau\right) \mathbf{e}(\lambda; \epsilon) \\
&= \sum_{j=a,b,c} \delta_{\langle \beta((0)_{\bar{S}}(j)_S; \epsilon), \beta(\lambda) \rangle, -\ell/2+1} \binom{\langle \beta((j)_\ell; \epsilon), \beta(\lambda) \rangle + \ell/2}{3} \\
&\quad \times \mathbf{e}((0)_{\bar{S}}(2j)_S) \mathbf{e}(\lambda; \epsilon) \\
&\quad + \text{pr}_{M^0} \left(\left(\zeta_8^{7\ell} \mathbf{e}((c+2a)_{\bar{S}}(-c)_S) + \zeta_8^{5\ell} \mathbf{e}((c+2b)_{\bar{S}}(-c)_S) \right)^\tau \right)_\ell \mathbf{e}(\lambda; \epsilon). \quad (7.10)
\end{aligned}$$

In the case of $s = \ell/2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \text{pr}_{M^0} o\left(\mathbf{e}((c)_\ell)^\tau \circ \mathbf{e}((-c)_{\bar{S}}(c)_S)^\tau\right) \mathbf{e}(\lambda; \epsilon) \\
&= \sum_{j=a,b,c} \delta_{\langle \beta((0)_{\bar{S}}(2j)_S; \epsilon), \beta(\lambda) \rangle, -\ell} \binom{\langle \beta((j)_\ell; \epsilon), \beta(\lambda) \rangle + \ell/2}{1} \mathbf{e}((0)_{\bar{S}}(2j)_S) \mathbf{e}(\lambda; \epsilon) \\
&\quad + \frac{\ell}{2} \text{pr}_{M^0} \left(\left(\zeta_8^{7\ell} \mathbf{e}((c+2a)_{\bar{S}}(-c)_S) + \zeta_8^{5\ell} \mathbf{e}((c+2b)_{\bar{S}}(-c)_S) \right)^\tau \right)_{\ell-1} \mathbf{e}(\lambda; \epsilon) \\
&\quad + \text{pr}_{M^0} \left(\left(\zeta_8^{7\ell} \beta((a)_\ell)(-1) \mathbf{e}((c+2a)_{\bar{S}}(-c)_S) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \zeta_8^{5\ell} \beta((b)_\ell)(-1) \mathbf{e}((c+2b)_{\bar{S}}(-c)_S) \right)^\tau \right)_\ell \mathbf{e}(\lambda; \epsilon). \quad (7.11)
\end{aligned}$$

For $u \in V_{L((c)_\ell, \mathbf{0})}, w \in V_{L(\lambda, \mathbf{0})}$, define $f(u, x)w = \text{pr}_{M^0}(Y(u, x)w)$. Then $f(\cdot, x) \in I_{V_{L \oplus \ell}^\tau} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} V_{L(\lambda, \mathbf{0})} \\ V_{L((c)_\ell, \mathbf{0})} \end{smallmatrix} \right)$.

If $\ell \geq 4$, then by taking $S = \emptyset$ in (7.9), we have

$$\sum_{j=a,b,c} \binom{\langle \beta((j)_\ell; \epsilon), \beta(\lambda) \rangle + \ell/2}{\ell + 1} = 0.$$

Suppose $\lambda \not\equiv_\tau (c)_\ell$. By Proposition 5.4, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} I_{V_{L \oplus \ell}^\tau} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} V_{L(\lambda, \mathbf{0})} \\ V_{L((c)_\ell, \mathbf{0})} \end{smallmatrix} \right) = 0$.

Hence, in (7.10) and (7.11) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \text{pr}_{M^0} \left(\left(\zeta_8^{7\ell} \mathbf{e}((c+2a)_{\bar{S}}(-c)_S) + \zeta_8^{5\ell} \mathbf{e}((c+2b)_{\bar{S}}(-c)_S) \right)^\tau \right)_\ell \mathbf{e}(\lambda; \epsilon), \\ 0 &= \frac{\ell}{2} \text{pr}_{M^0} \left(\left(\zeta_8^{7\ell} \mathbf{e}((c+2a)_{\bar{S}}(-c)_S) + \zeta_8^{5\ell} \mathbf{e}((c+2b)_{\bar{S}}(-c)_S) \right)^\tau \right)_{\ell-1} \mathbf{e}(\lambda; \epsilon) \\ &\quad + \text{pr}_{M^0} \left(\left(\zeta_8^{7\ell} \beta((a)_\ell)(-1) \mathbf{e}((c+2a)_{\bar{S}}(-c)_S) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \zeta_8^{5\ell} \beta((b)_\ell)(-1) \mathbf{e}((c+2b)_{\bar{S}}(-c)_S) \right)^\tau \right)_\ell \mathbf{e}(\lambda; \epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $1 \leq s \leq \ell/2$. Then, $\mathbf{e}((0)_{\bar{S}}(2a)_S) \mathbf{e}(\lambda; \epsilon)$, $\mathbf{e}((0)_{\bar{S}}(2b)_S) \mathbf{e}(\lambda; \epsilon)$, $\mathbf{e}((0)_{\bar{S}}(2c)_S) \mathbf{e}(\lambda; \epsilon)$ are linearly independent. By (7.9)–(7.11), we have

$$\delta_{\langle \beta((0)_{\bar{S}}(j)_S; \epsilon), \beta(\lambda) \rangle, -s} \binom{\langle \beta((j)_\ell; \epsilon), \beta(\lambda) \rangle + \ell/2}{\ell - 2s + 1} = 0$$

for $j = a, b, c$. Taking $S = \emptyset$ in (7.9), we have

$$\sum_{j=a,b,c} \binom{\langle \beta((j)_\ell; \epsilon), \beta(\lambda) \rangle + \ell/2}{\ell + 1} = 0.$$

□

Remark 7.2. In the case of $\ell = 2$, consider a vertex operator algebra $V_{L_{C((c,c)) \times \mathbf{0}}}$. We see that $V_{L_{C((c,c)) \times \mathbf{0}}}(1)$ is an irreducible $V_{L_{C((c,c)) \times \mathbf{0}}}^\tau$ -module and that

$$V_{L_{C((c,c)) \times \mathbf{0}}}(1) \cong V_{L_{(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0})}}(1) \oplus V_{L_{((c,c), \mathbf{0})}}$$

as $V_{L \oplus 2}^\tau$ -modules. Note that the top level of $V_{L((c,c),0)}$ is a subspace of the top level of $V_{LC((c,c)) \times \mathbf{0}}(1)$. They are subspaces of $(V_{LC((c,c)) \times \mathbf{0}})_1$. However, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=a,b,c} \binom{\langle \beta((j,j)), \beta((c,c)) \rangle + 2/2}{2+1} \\ &= \binom{\langle \beta((c,c)), \beta((c,c)) \rangle + 1}{3} + \sum_{k=a,b} \binom{\langle \beta((k,k)), \beta((c,c)) \rangle + 1}{3} \\ &= \binom{2+1}{3} + 2 \binom{-1+1}{3} = 1 \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence formula (7.2) does not hold in this case.

Lemma 7.3. *Assume that $\lambda \not\equiv_\tau (c)_\ell$. For $j = a, b, c$, the following assertions hold.*

(1) *If $\ell/2 \leq |S_j(\lambda)| \leq \ell$, then $|S_j(\lambda)| = \ell/2$, $|S_k(\lambda)| = 0$ for all $k \neq j$, and $|S_j(\lambda)|$ is even. Consequently, $\langle (k)_\ell, \lambda \rangle_{\mathcal{K}} = 0$ for all $k = a, b, c$.*

(2) *If $1 \leq |S_j(\lambda)| \leq \ell/2$, then $\sum_{k \in \{a,b,c\}, k \neq j} |S_k(\lambda)|$ is an even integer and, consequently, $\langle (j)_\ell, \lambda \rangle_{\mathcal{K}} = 0$.*

Proof. Suppose $\ell/2 \leq |S_j(\lambda)| \leq \ell$. Take $S \subset S_j(\lambda)$ such that $|S| = \ell/2$ and set $\epsilon = (\epsilon_i) \in \{1, -1\}^\ell$ by

$$\epsilon_i = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } i \in S_j(\lambda), \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, $\langle \beta((0)_{\bar{S}}(j)_S; \epsilon), \beta(\lambda) \rangle = -|S|$ and by (7.1),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \binom{\langle \beta((j)_\ell; \epsilon), \beta(\lambda) \rangle + \ell/2}{\ell - 2|S| + 1} \\ &= \binom{-|S_j(\lambda)| - \sum_{k \in \{a,b,c\}, k \neq j} |S_k(\lambda)|/2 + \ell/2}{\ell - 2 \cdot \ell/2 + 1} \\ &= -|S_j(\lambda)| - \sum_{k \in \{a,b,c\}, k \neq j} |S_k(\lambda)|/2 + \ell/2 \\ &\leq -\ell/2 - \sum_{k \in \{a,b,c\}, k \neq j} |S_k(\lambda)|/2 + \ell/2 \\ &= - \sum_{k \in \{a,b,c\}, k \neq j} |S_k(\lambda)|/2 \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $|S_j(\lambda)| = \ell/2$ and $|S_k(\lambda)| = 0$ for all $k \in \{a, b, c\}, k \neq j$. By (7.2),

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \sum_{k=a,b,c} \binom{\langle \beta((k)_\ell; \epsilon), \beta(\lambda) \rangle + \ell/2}{\ell + 1} \\
&= \binom{-|S_j(\lambda)| + \ell/2}{\ell + 1} + \sum_{k \in \{a,b,c\}, k \neq j} \binom{|S_j(\lambda)|/2 + \ell/2}{\ell + 1} \\
&= \binom{0}{\ell + 1} + 2 \binom{\ell/4 + \ell/2}{\ell + 1} \\
&= 2 \binom{\ell/4 + \ell/2}{\ell + 1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $|S_j(\lambda)| = \ell/2$ is even and consequently, $\langle (k)_\ell, \lambda \rangle_{\mathcal{K}} = 0$ for $k = a, b, c$. Therefore, (1) holds.

Suppose $1 \leq |S_j(\lambda)| \leq \ell/2$. Set $\epsilon = (\epsilon_i) \in \{-1, 1\}^\ell$ by

$$\epsilon_i = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } i \in S_j(\lambda), \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $\langle \beta((0)_{\overline{S_j(\lambda)}}(j)_{S_j(\lambda)}; \epsilon), \beta(\lambda) \rangle = -|S_j(\lambda)|$. By (7.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \binom{\langle \beta((j)_\ell; \epsilon), \beta(\lambda) \rangle + \ell/2}{\ell - 2|S_j(\lambda)| + 1} \\
&= \binom{-|S_j(\lambda)| - \sum_{k \in \{a,b,c\}, k \neq j} |S_k(\lambda)|/2 + \ell/2}{\ell - 2|S_j(\lambda)| + 1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $|S_j(\lambda)| \leq \ell/2$, $\sum_{k \in \{a,b,c\}, k \neq j} |S_k(\lambda)|$ is an even integer. Hence $\langle (j)_\ell, \lambda \rangle_{\mathcal{K}} = 0$. This proves (2). $\square$

Lemma 7.4. $\langle (c)_\ell, \lambda \rangle_{\mathcal{K}} = 0$ and $wt_{\mathcal{K}}(\lambda) < \ell$.

Proof. First, we shall show that $\langle (c)_\ell, \lambda \rangle_{\mathcal{K}} = 0$. If $\lambda \equiv_\tau (c)_\ell$, then it is clear. Assume that $\lambda \not\equiv_\tau (c)_\ell$. We may also assume that $|S_c(\lambda)| = 0$ and $0 \leq |S_a(\lambda)|, |S_b(\lambda)| \leq \ell/2$ by Lemma 7.3. If $1 \leq |S_a(\lambda)|, |S_b(\lambda)|$, then $|S_b(\lambda)| = |S_b(\lambda)| + |S_c(\lambda)|$ and $|S_a(\lambda)| = |S_a(\lambda)| + |S_c(\lambda)|$ are even integers by Lemma 7.3 (2). Hence, we have $\langle (c)_\ell, \lambda \rangle_{\mathcal{K}} = 0$ in this case.

Suppose $|S_a(\lambda)| = 0$. Then $|S_b(\lambda)| > 0$ since $\lambda \neq \mathbf{0}$. Set $\epsilon = (\epsilon_i) \in \{-1, 1\}^\ell$ by

$$\epsilon_i = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } i \in S_b(\lambda), \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that $\binom{-|S_b(\lambda)|+\ell/2}{\ell+1} = 0$ since $1 \leq |S_b(\lambda)| \leq \ell/2$. By (7.2),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{k=a,b,c} \binom{\langle \beta((k)_\ell; \epsilon), \beta(\lambda) \rangle + \ell/2}{\ell+1} \\ &= \binom{-|S_b(\lambda)| + \ell/2}{\ell+1} + \sum_{k \in \{a,b,c\}, k \neq b} \binom{|S_b(\lambda)|/2 + \ell/2}{\ell+1} \\ &= 2 \binom{|S_b(\lambda)|/2 + \ell/2}{\ell+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $|S_b(\lambda)|$ is an even integer and consequently, $\langle (c)_\ell, \lambda \rangle_{\mathcal{K}} = 0$. In the case where $|S_b(\lambda)| = 0$, we can show that $\langle (c)_\ell, \lambda \rangle_{\mathcal{K}} = 0$ similarly.

Next, we shall show that $\text{wt}_{\mathcal{K}}(\lambda) < \ell$. Suppose by way of contradiction that $\text{wt}_{\mathcal{K}}(\lambda) = |S_a(\lambda)| + |S_b(\lambda)| + |S_c(\lambda)| = \ell$.

Case 1. Suppose $|S_j(\lambda)| = \ell$ for some $j \in \{a, b, c\}$. Set $\epsilon = (1, \dots, 1)$. For every $k \neq j$, we have

$$\binom{\langle \beta((k)_\ell; \epsilon), \beta(\lambda) \rangle + \ell/2}{\ell+1} = \binom{-\ell/2 + \ell/2}{\ell+1} = 0.$$

However,

$$0 = \sum_{k=a,b,c} \binom{\langle \beta((k)_\ell; \epsilon), \beta(\lambda) \rangle + \ell/2}{\ell+1} = \binom{\ell + \ell/2}{\ell+1} \neq 0$$

by (7.2). This is a contradiction.

Case 2. Suppose $|S_k(\lambda)| < \ell$ for all $k = a, b, c$. Then there exists $j \in \{a, b, c\}$ such that $1 \leq |S_j(\lambda)| \leq \ell/2$ since $\lambda \neq \mathbf{0}$. Set $\epsilon = (\epsilon_i) \in \{-1, 1\}^\ell$ by

$$\epsilon_i = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } i \in S_j(\lambda), \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $\langle \beta((0)\overline{S_j(\lambda)}(j)_{S_j(\lambda)}; \epsilon), \beta(\lambda) \rangle = -|S_j(\lambda)|$. By (7.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \left(\frac{\langle \beta((j)_\ell; \epsilon), \beta(\lambda) \rangle + \ell/2}{\ell - 2|S_j(\lambda)| + 1} \right) \\
&= \left(\frac{-|S_j(\lambda)| - \sum_{k \in \{a,b,c\}, k \neq j} |S_k(\lambda)|/2 + \ell/2}{\ell - 2|S_j(\lambda)| + 1} \right) \\
&= \left(\frac{-|S_j(\lambda)| - (\ell - |S_j(\lambda)|)/2 + \ell/2}{\ell - 2|S_j(\lambda)| + 1} \right) \\
&= \left(\frac{-|S_j(\lambda)|/2}{\ell - 2|S_j(\lambda)| + 1} \right) \neq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction.

Therefore, we conclude that $\text{wt}_{\mathcal{K}}(\lambda) < \ell$. $\square$

Proposition 7.5. *Let $\mu = (\mu_k)$ be a nonzero element of $\mathcal{K}^\ell$. Let $W = \oplus_{i=0}^\infty W(i)$ be an $\mathbb{N}$ -graded weak $V_{L_{C(\mu)} \times \mathbf{0}}^\tau$ -module. Let $M = \oplus_{i=0}^\infty M(i)$ be an irreducible $V_{L_{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ -submodule of W such that $M(0) \subset W(0)$. Assume that M is isomorphic to $V_{L_{(\lambda, \mathbf{0})}}$ for some nonzero $\lambda = (\lambda_k) \in \mathcal{K}^\ell$. Then $\langle \mu, \lambda \rangle_{\mathcal{K}} = 0$ and $|\{k \mid \mu_k \neq 0, \lambda_k \neq 0\}| < \text{wt}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mu)$.*

Proof. There exists $g \in \tilde{G}_\ell$ such that $g(\mu) = ((c)_r(0)_{\ell-r})$, where $r = \text{wt}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mu)$. Consider a vertex operator algebra $V_{L_{C(g(\mu)) \times \mathbf{0}}}^\tau$ and a $V_{L_{C(g(\mu)) \times \mathbf{0}}}^\tau$ -module $W \circ g^{-1}$ defined by $W \circ g^{-1} = W$ as vector spaces and $Y_{W \circ g^{-1}}(u, x) = Y_W(g^{-1}u, x)$ for $u \in V_{L_{C(g(\mu)) \times \mathbf{0}}}^\tau$. Note that $M \circ g^{-1}$ is a $V_{L_{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ -submodule of $W \circ g^{-1}$ which is isomorphic to $V_{L_{(g(\lambda), \mathbf{0})}}$. Note also that $\langle g\mu, g\lambda \rangle_{\mathcal{K}} = \langle \mu, \lambda \rangle_{\mathcal{K}}$, $|\{k \mid g(\mu)_k \neq 0, g(\lambda)_k \neq 0\}| = |\{k \mid \mu_k \neq 0, \lambda_k \neq 0\}|$, $\text{wt}_{\mathcal{K}}g(\mu) = \text{wt}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mu)$, and $(M \circ g^{-1})(0) \subset (W \circ g^{-1})(0)$. Hence it is sufficient to show that $\langle g(\mu), g(\lambda) \rangle_{\mathcal{K}} = \langle ((c)_r(0)_{\ell-r}), g(\lambda) \rangle_{\mathcal{K}} = 0$ and $|\{k \in \{1, \dots, r\} \mid g(\lambda)_k \neq 0\}| < r$ for $V_{L_{g(C(\mu)) \times \mathbf{0}}}^\tau$ and a $V_{L_{g(C(\mu)) \times \mathbf{0}}}^\tau$ -module $W \circ g^{-1}$.

Consider a vertex operator algebra $V_{L_{C((c)_r) \times \mathbf{0}}}^\tau$. We identify $u \in V_{L_{C((c)_r) \times \mathbf{0}}}^\tau$ with $u \otimes \mathbf{1}^{\otimes \ell-r}$ in $V_{L_{C(g(\mu)) \times \mathbf{0}}}^\tau$. Then $V_{L_{C((c)_r) \times \mathbf{0}}}^\tau$ is a vertex operator subalgebra of $V_{L_{C(g(\mu)) \times \mathbf{0}}}^\tau$ with different Virasoro element. Let $g(\lambda)^{(r)} = (g(\lambda)_1, \dots, g(\lambda)_r)$. By Lemma 7.4, we have $\langle (c)_r, g(\lambda)^{(r)} \rangle_{\mathcal{K}} = 0$ and $\text{wt}_{\mathcal{K}}(g(\lambda)^{(r)}) < r$. Hence $\langle ((c)_r(0)_{\ell-r}), g(\lambda) \rangle_{\mathcal{K}} = 0$ and $|\{k \in \{1, \dots, r\} \mid g(\lambda)_k \neq 0\}| < r$. $\square$

For any nonzero $\mu \in \mathcal{K}^\ell$, we have

$$V_{L_{C(\mu)} \times D}^\tau \cong V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}(0) \oplus V_{L_{\mu \times D}}$$

as $V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^\tau$ -modules. The following lemma will be used later.

Lemma 7.6. $V_{L_{\mu \times D}} \cdot V_{L_{\mu \times D}} = V_{L_{C(\mu) \times D}}^\tau$ for any nonzero $\mu \in \mathcal{K}^\ell$.

Proof. We may assume that $\mu = ((c)_r(0)_{\ell-r}), r > 0$ for the same reason as in the proof of Proposition 7.5. Then the assertion follows from (7.3). $\square$

7.2 Modules of $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau$

For the remainder of this paper, C is a τ -invariant self-dual $\mathcal{K}$ -code of length ℓ with minimum weight at least 4 and D is a self-dual $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -code of the same length. Moreover, $C_{\equiv \tau}$ denotes the set of all orbits of τ in C . Note that

$$V_{L_{C \times D}}(\varepsilon) \cong V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}(\varepsilon) \oplus \bigoplus_{\mathbf{0} \neq \lambda \in C_{\equiv \tau}} V_{L_{\lambda \times D}}, \quad \varepsilon = 0, 1, 2$$

as $V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^\tau$ -modules by Lemma 2.17.

Lemma 7.7. Let (N^1, Y^1) and (N^2, Y^2) be irreducible $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau$ -modules and let $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}_3$. Suppose for each $i = 1, 2$, there is a $V_{L_{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ -submodule of N^i which is isomorphic to $V_{L_{(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0})}}(\varepsilon)$. Then, N^1 and N^2 are isomorphic $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau$ -modules.

Proof. Let N be an irreducible $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau$ -module. Suppose there is a $V_{L_{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ -submodule of N which is isomorphic to $V_{L_{(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0})}}(\varepsilon)$. Since N is an irreducible $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau$ -module, it follows from Proposition 6.3 that N decomposes into a direct sum

$$N = V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^N(\varepsilon) \oplus \bigoplus_{\mathbf{0} \neq \lambda \in C_{\equiv \tau}} V_{L_{\lambda \times D}}^N$$

of irreducible $V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^\tau$ -modules, where $V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^N(\varepsilon) \cong V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}(\varepsilon)$ and $V_{L_{\lambda \times D}}^N \cong V_{L_{\lambda \times D}}$ as $V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^\tau$ -modules (cf. Proof of Lemma 5.2). Hence we may assume that $N = N^1 = N^2$ as $V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^\tau$ -modules and $Y^1(u, x) = Y^2(u, x)$ for all $u \in V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^\tau$. We shall show that (N, Y^1) and (N, Y^2) are isomorphic as $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau$ -modules. For any nonzero $\lambda \in C_{\equiv \tau}$, let $\text{pr}_{V_{L_{\lambda \times D}}^N} : N \rightarrow V_{L_{\lambda \times D}}^N$, $\text{pr}_{V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^N(\varepsilon)} : N \rightarrow V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^N(\varepsilon)$, $\text{pr}_{V_{L_{\lambda \times D}}} : V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau \rightarrow V_{L_{\lambda \times D}}$, and $\text{pr}_{V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}(0)} : V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau \rightarrow V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}(0)$ be projections.

Let $\lambda^1, \lambda^2, \lambda^3$ be nonzero elements of $C_{\equiv \tau}$. For $i = 1, 2$, $u^0, v^0 \in V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}(0), w^0 \in$

$V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^N(\varepsilon), u^j, v^j \in V_{L_{\lambda^j \times D}},$ and $w^j \in V_{L_{\lambda^j \times D}}^N, j = 1, 2, 3,$ set

$$\begin{aligned}
f^{i,(\lambda^1, \lambda^2, \lambda^3)}(u^1, x)w^2 &= \text{pr}_{V_{L_{\lambda^3 \times D}}^N}(Y^i(u^1, x)w^2), \\
f^{i,(\mathbf{0}, \lambda^2, \lambda^3)}(u^0, x)w^2 &= \text{pr}_{V_{L_{\lambda^3 \times D}}^N}(Y^i(u^0, x)w^2), \\
f^{i,(\lambda^1, \mathbf{0}, \lambda^3)}(u^1, x)w^0 &= \text{pr}_{V_{L_{\lambda^3 \times D}}^N}(Y^i(u^1, x)w^0), \\
f^{i,(\lambda^1, \lambda^2, \mathbf{0})}(u^1, x)w^2 &= \text{pr}_{V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^N(\varepsilon)}(Y^i(u^1, x)w^2), \\
h^{i,(\lambda^1, \lambda^2, \lambda^3)}(u^1, x)v^2 &= \text{pr}_{V_{L_{\lambda^3 \times D}}}(Y^i(u^1, x)v^2), \\
h^{i,(\mathbf{0}, \lambda^2, \lambda^3)}(u^0, x)v^2 &= \text{pr}_{V_{L_{\lambda^3 \times D}}}(Y^i(u^0, x)v^2), \\
h^{i,(\lambda^1, \mathbf{0}, \lambda^3)}(u^1, x)v^0 &= \text{pr}_{V_{L_{\lambda^3 \times D}}}(Y^i(u^1, x)v^0), \\
h^{i,(\lambda^1, \lambda^2, \mathbf{0})}(u^1, x)v^2 &= \text{pr}_{V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}(0)}(Y^i(u^1, x)v^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
f^{i,(\lambda^1, \lambda^2, \lambda^3)}(\cdot, x) &\in I_{V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^T} \left(\begin{array}{c} V_{L_{\lambda^3 \times D}}^N \\ V_{L_{\lambda^1 \times D}} \quad V_{L_{\lambda^2 \times D}}^N \end{array} \right), \\
f^{i,(\mathbf{0}, \lambda^2, \lambda^3)}(\cdot, x) &\in I_{V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^T} \left(\begin{array}{c} V_{L_{\lambda^3 \times D}}^N \\ V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}(\varepsilon) \quad V_{L_{\lambda^2 \times D}}^N \end{array} \right), \\
f^{i,(\lambda^1, \mathbf{0}, \lambda^3)}(\cdot, x) &\in I_{V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^T} \left(\begin{array}{c} V_{L_{\lambda^3 \times D}}^N \\ V_{L_{\lambda^1 \times D}} \quad V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^N(\varepsilon) \end{array} \right), \\
f^{i,(\lambda^1, \lambda^2, \mathbf{0})}(\cdot, x) &\in I_{V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^T} \left(\begin{array}{c} V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^N(\varepsilon) \\ V_{L_{\lambda^1 \times D}} \quad V_{L_{\lambda^2 \times D}}^N \end{array} \right), \\
h^{i,(\lambda^1, \lambda^2, \lambda^3)}(\cdot, x) &\in I_{V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^T} \left(\begin{array}{c} V_{L_{\lambda^3 \times D}} \\ V_{L_{\lambda^1 \times D}} \quad V_{L_{\lambda^2 \times D}} \end{array} \right), \\
h^{i,(\mathbf{0}, \lambda^2, \lambda^3)}(\cdot, x) &\in I_{V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^T} \left(\begin{array}{c} V_{L_{\lambda^3 \times D}} \\ V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}(0) \quad V_{L_{\lambda^2 \times D}} \end{array} \right), \\
h^{i,(\lambda^1, \mathbf{0}, \lambda^3)}(\cdot, x) &\in I_{V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^T} \left(\begin{array}{c} V_{L_{\lambda^3 \times D}} \\ V_{L_{\lambda^1 \times D}} \quad V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}(0) \end{array} \right), \\
h^{i,(\lambda^1, \lambda^2, \mathbf{0})}(\cdot, x) &\in I_{V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^T} \left(\begin{array}{c} V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}(0) \\ V_{L_{\lambda^1 \times D}} \quad V_{L_{\lambda^2 \times D}} \end{array} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

For any (μ^1, μ^2, μ^3) not contained in

$$\{(\lambda, \lambda, \mathbf{0}), (\lambda, \lambda, \lambda), (\lambda^1, \lambda^2, \lambda^1 + \tau^j(\lambda^2)) \mid \lambda, \lambda^1, \lambda^2 \in C_{\equiv_\tau} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}, \lambda^1 \not\equiv_\tau \lambda^2\},$$

we have $f^{i,(\mu^1, \mu^2, \mu^3)} = h^{(\mu^1, \mu^2, \mu^3)} = 0$ by Proposition 6.3.

Let $\lambda, \lambda^1, \lambda^2$ be nonzero elements of $C_{\equiv_\tau}$ such that $\lambda^1 \not\equiv_\tau \lambda^2$. Note that $V_{L_{C(\lambda) \times D}}^\tau$ is a vertex operator subalgebra of $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau$. By Proposition 6.3, Lemma 7.6, and the simplicity of $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau$, we see that $h^{(\lambda, \mathbf{0}, \lambda)}, h^{(\lambda, \lambda, \mathbf{0})}, h^{(\lambda, \lambda, \lambda)}$, and $h(\lambda^1, \lambda^2, \lambda^1 + \tau^j(\lambda^2)), j = 0, 1, 2$ are not zero (cf. Proof of Lemma 5.2).

Note that by Proposition 6.3 and Lemma 7.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_{L_{\lambda \times D}} \cdot V_{L_{\lambda \times D}}^N &= V_{L_{\lambda \times D}} \cdot (V_{\lambda \times D} \cdot V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^N(\varepsilon)) \\ &= (V_{\lambda \times D} \cdot V_{L_{\lambda \times D}}) \cdot V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^N(\varepsilon) \\ &= (V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}(0) + V_{L_{\lambda \times D}}) \cdot V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^N(\varepsilon) \\ &= V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^N(\varepsilon) + V_{L_{\lambda \times D}}^N. \end{aligned}$$

Since N is irreducible, it follows that $f^{i,(\lambda, \mathbf{0}, \lambda)}, f^{i,(\lambda, \lambda, \mathbf{0})}, f^{i,(\lambda, \lambda, \lambda)}$, and $f^{i,(\lambda^1, \lambda^2, \lambda^1 + \tau^j(\lambda^2))}, j = 0, 1, 2$ are not zero by Proposition 6.3 (cf. Proof of Lemma 5.2). Hence for $u^\lambda, v^\lambda \in V_{\lambda \times D}, u^{\lambda^1} \in V_{\lambda^1 \times D}, u^{\lambda^2} \in V_{\lambda^2 \times D}, w^\mathbf{0} \in V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^N(\varepsilon), w^\lambda \in V_{L_{\lambda \times D}}^N, w^{\lambda^2} \in V_{L_{\lambda^2 \times D}}^N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau}(u^\lambda, x)v^\lambda &= h^{(\lambda, \lambda, \mathbf{0})}(u^\lambda, x)v^\lambda + h^{(\lambda, \lambda, \lambda)}(u^\lambda, x)v^\lambda, \\ Y_{V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau}(u^{\lambda^1}, x)v^{\lambda^2} &= \sum_{j=0}^2 h^{(\lambda^1, \lambda^2, \lambda^2 + \tau^j(\lambda^1))}(u^{\lambda^1}, x)v^{\lambda^2}, \\ Y^i(u^\lambda, x)w^\mathbf{0} &= f^{i,(\lambda, \mathbf{0}, \lambda)}(u^\lambda, x)w^\mathbf{0}, \\ Y^i(u^\lambda, x)w^\lambda &= f^{i,(\lambda, \lambda, \mathbf{0})}(u^\lambda, x)w^\lambda + f^{i,(\lambda, \lambda, \lambda)}(u^\lambda, x)w^\lambda, \\ Y^i(u^{\lambda^1}, x)w^{\lambda^2} &= \sum_{j=0}^2 f^{i,(\lambda^1, \lambda^2, \lambda^2 + \tau^j(\lambda^1))}(u^{\lambda^1}, x)w^{\lambda^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{7.12}$$

By Proposition 6.3,

$$\begin{aligned} f^{2,(\lambda, \lambda, \mathbf{0})} &= \alpha(\lambda, \lambda, \mathbf{0})f^{1,(\lambda, \lambda, \mathbf{0})}, \\ f^{2,(\lambda, \mathbf{0}, \lambda)} &= \alpha(\lambda, \mathbf{0}, \lambda)f^{1,(\lambda, \mathbf{0}, \lambda)}, \\ f^{2,(\lambda^1, \lambda^2, \lambda^2 + \tau^j(\lambda^1))} &= \alpha(\lambda^1, \lambda^2, \lambda^2 + \tau^j(\lambda^1))f^{1,(\lambda^1, \lambda^2, \lambda^2 + \tau^j(\lambda^1))}, \end{aligned} \tag{7.13}$$

for some nonzero $\alpha(\lambda, \lambda, \mathbf{0}), \alpha(\lambda, \mathbf{0}, \lambda), \alpha(\lambda^2, \lambda^1, \lambda^1 + \tau^j(\lambda^2)) \in \mathbb{C}$ and $f^{2,(\mathbf{0},\mu,\mu)} = f^{1,(\mathbf{0},\mu,\mu)}$ for all $\mu \in C_{\equiv r}$.

Let $u, v \in V_{L_{\lambda \times D}}$ and $w \in V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^N(\varepsilon)$. By the Jacobi identity and (7.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & x_2^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2}\right) \left(f^{i,(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0})}(h^{(\lambda,\lambda,\mathbf{0})}(u, x_0)v, x_2) + f^{i,(\lambda,\mathbf{0},\lambda)}(h^{(\lambda,\lambda,\lambda)}(u, x_0)v, x_2) \right) w \\ &= x_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0}\right) (f^{i,(\lambda,\lambda,\mathbf{0})}(u, x_1) + f^{i,(\lambda,\lambda,\lambda)}(u, x_1)) f^{i,(\lambda,\mathbf{0},\lambda)}(v, x_2) w \\ &\quad - x_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{-x_2 + x_1}{x_0}\right) (f^{i,(\lambda,\lambda,\mathbf{0})}(v, x_2) + f^{i,(\lambda,\lambda,\lambda)}(v, x_2)) f^{i,(\lambda,\mathbf{0},\lambda)}(u, x_1) w. \end{aligned}$$

This implies the following two equations.

$$\begin{aligned} & x_2^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2}\right) f^{i,(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0})}(h^{(\lambda,\lambda,\mathbf{0})}(u, x_0)v, x_2) \\ &= x_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0}\right) f^{i,(\lambda,\lambda,\mathbf{0})}(u, x_1) f^{i,(\lambda,\mathbf{0},\lambda)}(v, x_2) \\ &\quad - x_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{-x_2 + x_1}{x_0}\right) f^{i,(\lambda,\lambda,\mathbf{0})}(v, x_2) f^{i,(\lambda,\mathbf{0},\lambda)}(u, x_1), \end{aligned} \tag{7.14}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & x_2^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2}\right) f^{i,(\lambda,\mathbf{0},\lambda)}(h^{(\lambda,\lambda,\lambda)}(u, x_0)v, x_2) \\ &= x_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0}\right) f^{i,(\lambda,\lambda,\lambda)}(u, x_1) f^{i,(\lambda,\mathbf{0},\lambda)}(v, x_2) \\ &\quad - x_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{-x_2 + x_1}{x_0}\right) f^{i,(\lambda,\lambda,\lambda)}(v, x_2) f^{i,(\lambda,\mathbf{0},\lambda)}(u, x_1). \end{aligned} \tag{7.15}$$

Since $f^{1,(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0})} = f^{2,(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0})}$, it follows from (7.13) and (7.14) that

$$\alpha(\lambda, \lambda, \mathbf{0}) \alpha(\lambda, \mathbf{0}, \lambda) = 1. \tag{7.16}$$

Now, we denote

$$\begin{aligned} f^{i,(\lambda,\lambda,\mathbf{0})}(u, x) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f_n^{i,(\lambda,\lambda,\mathbf{0})}(u) x^{-n-1}, \\ f^{i,(\lambda,\mathbf{0},\lambda)}(u, x) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f_n^{i,(\lambda,\mathbf{0},\lambda)}(u) x^{-n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By (7.13) and (7.15),

$$\begin{aligned}
& x_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0}\right) f^{2,(\lambda, \lambda, \lambda)}(u, x_1) f^{2,(\lambda, \mathbf{0}, \lambda)}(v, x_2) w \\
& - x_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{-x_2 + x_1}{x_0}\right) f^{2,(\lambda, \lambda, \lambda)}(v, x_2) f^{2,(\lambda, \mathbf{0}, \lambda)}(u, x_1) w \\
& = x_2^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2}\right) f^{2,(\lambda, \mathbf{0}, \lambda)}(h^{(\lambda, \lambda, \lambda)}(u, x_0) v, x_2) w \\
& = \alpha(\lambda, \mathbf{0}, \lambda) x_2^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2}\right) f^{1,(\lambda, \mathbf{0}, \lambda)}(h^{(\lambda, \lambda, \lambda)}(u, x_0) v, x_2) w \\
& = \alpha(\lambda, \mathbf{0}, \lambda) \left(x_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0}\right) f^{1,(\lambda, \lambda, \lambda)}(u, x_1) f^{1,(\lambda, \mathbf{0}, \lambda)}(v, x_2) w \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \alpha(\lambda, \mathbf{0}, \lambda) x_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{-x_2 + x_1}{x_0}\right) f^{1,(\lambda, \lambda, \lambda)}(v, x_2) f^{1,(\lambda, \mathbf{0}, \lambda)}(u, x_1) w \right) \\
& = x_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0}\right) f^{1,(\lambda, \lambda, \lambda)}(u, x_1) f^{2,(\lambda, \mathbf{0}, \lambda)}(v, x_2) w \\
& - x_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{-x_2 + x_1}{x_0}\right) f^{1,(\lambda, \lambda, \lambda)}(v, x_2) f^{2,(\lambda, \mathbf{0}, \lambda)}(u, x_1) w.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& x_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0}\right) f^{i,(\lambda, \lambda, \lambda)}(u, x_1) f^{2,(\lambda, \mathbf{0}, \lambda)}(v, x_2) w \\
& - x_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{-x_2 + x_1}{x_0}\right) f^{i,(\lambda, \lambda, \lambda)}(v, x_2) f^{2,(\lambda, \mathbf{0}, \lambda)}(u, x_1) w \\
& = x_2^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2}\right) f^{2,(\lambda, \mathbf{0}, \lambda)}(h^{(\lambda, \lambda, \lambda)}(u, x_0) v, x_2) w.
\end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, 2$. Using the same arguments as in [32, Lemma 3.12], we have

$$f_n^{2,(\lambda, \lambda, \lambda)}(u) f_m^{2,(\lambda, \mathbf{0}, \lambda)}(v) w = f_n^{1,(\lambda, \lambda, \lambda)}(u) f_m^{2,(\lambda, \mathbf{0}, \lambda)}(v) w$$

for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since (N, Y^2) is irreducible,

$$V_{L_{\lambda \times D}} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{ f_m^{2,(\lambda, \mathbf{0}, \lambda)}(v) w \mid v \in V_{L_{\lambda \times D}}, m \in \mathbb{Z} \}$$

for any nonzero $w \in V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}(\varepsilon)$. Hence we have

$$f^{1,(\lambda, \lambda, \lambda)} = f^{2,(\lambda, \lambda, \lambda)}. \quad (7.17)$$

Let $u^1 \in V_{L_{\lambda^1 \times D}}$, $u^2 \in V_{L_{\lambda^2 \times D}}$, and $w \in V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^N(\varepsilon)$. By the Jacobi identity and (7.12), the following equation holds.

$$\begin{aligned} & x_2^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2}\right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^2 f^{i,(\lambda^1 + \tau^j(\lambda^2), \mathbf{0}, \lambda^1 + \tau^j(\lambda^2))} (h^{(\lambda^2, \lambda^1, \lambda^1 + \tau^j(\lambda^2))} (u^1, x_0) u^2, x_2) w \right. \\ &= x_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0}\right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^2 f^{i,(\lambda^1, \lambda^2, \lambda^2 + \tau^j(\lambda^1))} (u^1, x_1) \right) f^{i,(\lambda^2, \mathbf{0}, \lambda^2)} (u^2, x_2) w \\ &\quad \left. - x_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{-x_2 + x_1}{x_0}\right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^2 f^{i,(\lambda^2, \lambda^1, \lambda^1 + \tau^j(\lambda^2))} (u^2, x_2) \right) \right) f^{i,(\lambda^1, \mathbf{0}, \lambda^1)} (u^1, x_1) w. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\lambda^2 + \tau^j(\lambda^1) \equiv_{\tau} \lambda^1 + \tau^{-j}(\lambda^2)$ and $\lambda^1 + \tau^{j_1}(\lambda^2) \not\equiv_{\tau} \lambda^1 + \tau^{j_2}(\lambda^2)$ for $j_1 \not\equiv j_2 \pmod{3}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & x_2^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2}\right) f^{i,(\lambda^1 + \tau^j(\lambda^2), \mathbf{0}, \lambda^1 + \tau^j(\lambda^2))} (h^{(\lambda^2, \lambda^1, \lambda^1 + \tau^j(\lambda^2))} (u^1, x_0) u^2, x_2) w \\ &= x_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0}\right) f^{i,(\lambda^1, \lambda^2, \lambda^2 + \tau^j(\lambda^1))} (u^1, x_1) f^{i,(\lambda^2, \mathbf{0}, \lambda^2)} (u^2, x_2) w \\ &\quad - x_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{-x_2 + x_1}{x_0}\right) f^{i,(\lambda^2, \lambda^1, \lambda^1 + \tau^j(\lambda^2))} (u^2, x_2) f^{i,(\lambda^1, \mathbf{0}, \lambda^1)} (u^1, x_1) w \end{aligned} \tag{7.18}$$

for $j = 0, 1, 2$ by (7.13). Thus we have

$$\alpha(\lambda^1, \lambda^2, \lambda^2 + \tau^j(\lambda^1)) \alpha(\lambda^2, \mathbf{0}, \lambda^2) = \alpha(\lambda^1 + \tau^j(\lambda^2), \mathbf{0}, \lambda^1 + \tau^j(\lambda^2)). \tag{7.19}$$

for $j = 0, 1, 2$. Define a linear map $\phi : N \rightarrow N$ by

$$\phi(u^{\mathbf{0}}) = u^{\mathbf{0}}, \quad \phi(u^{\lambda}) = \alpha(\lambda, \mathbf{0}, \lambda) u^{\lambda}$$

for $u^{\mathbf{0}} \in V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^N(\varepsilon)$ and $u^{\lambda} \in V_{L_{\lambda \times D}}^N$, $\mathbf{0} \neq \lambda \in C_{\equiv_{\tau}}$. Using (7.16), (7.17), and (7.19), it is possible to show that ϕ is an isomorphism of $V_{L_{C \times D}}^{\tau}$ -modules from (N, Y^1) to (N, Y^2) . The proof of Lemma 7.7 is complete. $\square$

Proposition 7.8. *Let N be an $\mathbb{N}$ -graded weak $V_{L_{C \times D}}^{\tau}$ -module which has a $V_{L_{\oplus \ell}}^{\tau}$ -submodule isomorphic to $V_{L_{(\lambda, \gamma)}}$ for some nonzero $\lambda \in \mathcal{K}^{\ell}$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_3^{\ell}$. Then there exists a $V_{L_{\oplus \ell}}^{\tau}$ -submodule M of N which is isomorphic to $V_{L_{(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0})}}(\varepsilon)$ for some $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}_3$. Consequently, there exists a $V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^{\tau}$ -submodule of N which is isomorphic to $V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}(\varepsilon)$. The $V_{L_{C \times D}}^{\tau}$ -submodule of N generated by M is isomorphic to $V_{L_{C \times D}}(\varepsilon)$.*

Proof. Let W^1 be an irreducible $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ -submodule of N which is isomorphic to $V_{L_{(\lambda, \gamma)}}^\tau$ for a nonzero $\lambda \in \mathcal{K}^\ell$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$. Since N is a $V_{L_{0 \times D}}^\tau$ -module, $\gamma \in D^\perp = D$ by Theorem 6.2 and consequently, there exists a $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ -submodule W^2 of N which is isomorphic to $V_{L_{(\lambda, 0)}}^\tau$.

Suppose for any $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}_3$, there is no $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ -submodule of N which is isomorphic to $V_{L_{(0, 0)}}^\tau(\varepsilon)$. Let $N^1 = \bigoplus_{n=0}^\infty N^1(n)$ be the $V_{L_{C \times 0}}^\tau$ -submodule of N generated by W^2 . Note that every irreducible $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ -submodule of N^1 is isomorphic to $V_{L_{(\lambda^1, 0)}}^\tau$ for a nonzero $\lambda^1 \in \mathcal{K}^\ell$ by Proposition 6.3 and the assumption.

Let $M = \bigoplus_{n=0}^\infty M(n)$ be an irreducible $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ -submodule of N^1 such that $M(0) \subset N^1(0)$. There exists a nonzero $\nu \in \mathcal{K}^\ell$ such that M is isomorphic to $V_{L_{(\nu, 0)}}^\tau$ as $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ -modules. For any $\mu \in C$, we have $\langle \mu, \nu \rangle_{\mathcal{K}} = 0$ by Proposition 7.5 since N^1 is a $V_{L_{C(\mu) \times 0}}^\tau$ -module. Hence we have $\nu \in C^\perp = C$. By Proposition 7.5, $\text{wt}_{\mathcal{K}}(\nu) = |\{k \mid \nu_k \neq 0, \nu_k \neq 0\}| < \text{wt}_{\mathcal{K}}(\nu)$. This is a contradiction.

Hence there exists an irreducible $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ -module M which is isomorphic to $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau(\varepsilon)$ for some $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}_3$. By Propositions 5.3 and Theorem 6.2, the $V_{L_{0 \times D}}^\tau$ -submodule of N generated by M is isomorphic to $V_{L_{0 \times D}}^\tau(\varepsilon)$.

Let N^2 be the $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau$ -submodule of N generated by M . By Proposition 6.3,

$$N^2 \cong V_{L_{0 \times D}}^\tau(\varepsilon) \oplus \bigoplus_{0 \neq \lambda \in C \equiv_\tau} V_{L_{\lambda \times D}}^\tau$$

as $V_{L_{0 \times D}}^\tau$ -modules (cf. Proof of Lemma 5.2). Since any nonzero $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau$ -submodule of N^2 must contain $V_{L_{0 \times D}}^\tau(\varepsilon)$ by the above argument, N^2 is irreducible. By Lemma 7.7, N^2 is isomorphic to $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau(\varepsilon)$ as $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau$ -modules. $\square$

Proposition 7.9. *Let N be an $\mathbb{N}$ -graded weak $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau$ -module. Suppose N has a $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^\tau$ -submodule M which is isomorphic to $V_{L^{\oplus \ell}}^{T, \eta}(\tau^i)[\varepsilon]$ for some $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\ell$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}_3$. Then M is a $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau$ -submodule of N which is isomorphic to $V_{L_{C \times D}}^{T, 0}(\tau^i)[\varepsilon]$.*

Proof. Note that the $V_{L_{0 \times D}}^\tau$ -submodule of N generated by M is isomorphic to $V_{L_{0 \times D}}^{T, 0}(\tau^i)[\varepsilon]$ by Theorem 6.2.

Take any nonzero $\lambda \in C$ and consider a vertex operator subalgebra $V_{L_{C(\lambda) \times D}}^\tau$ of $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau$. Let N^1 be the $V_{L_{C(\lambda) \times D}}^\tau$ -submodule of N generated by M . Note that for $\varepsilon_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ with $\varepsilon_1 \neq \varepsilon$, the difference of the minimal eigenvalues of ω_1 in $V_{L_{0 \times D}}^{T, 0}(\tau^i)[\varepsilon_1]$ and in $V_{L_{0 \times D}}^{T, 0}(\tau^i)[\varepsilon]$ is not an integer, where ω is the Virasoro element of $V_{L_{C(\lambda) \times D}}^\tau$. By Theorem 6.2 and Proposition 6.3, $N^1 = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathcal{J}} M^j$

as $V_{L_{0 \times D}}^\tau$ -modules, where each M^j is isomorphic to $V_{L_{0 \times D}}^{T, \mathbf{0}}(\tau^i)[\varepsilon]$. We can take $M^{j_1} = M$ for some $j_1 \in \mathcal{J}$.

For each $j \in \mathcal{J}$, let $\varphi_j : M^j \rightarrow V_{L_{0 \times D}}^{T, \mathbf{0}}(\tau^i)[\varepsilon]$ be an isomorphism of $V_{L_{0 \times D}}^\tau$ -modules and let $\text{pr}_j : N^1 \rightarrow M^j$ be a projection.

We want to show that $|\mathcal{J}| = 1$. Suppose $\mathcal{J}$ contains at least two elements and take $j_2 \in \mathcal{J}, j_2 \neq j_1$. For any $j \in \mathcal{J}$, $v \in V_{L_{\lambda \times D}}$, and $w \in M$, define $f_j(v, x)w = \varphi_j(\text{pr}_j(Y_N(v, x)w))$. Then, $f_j \in I_{V_{L_{0 \times D}}^\tau} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} V_{L_{0 \times D}}^{T, \mathbf{0}}(\tau^i)[\varepsilon] \\ V_{L_{\lambda \times D}} V_{L_{0 \times D}}^{T, \mathbf{0}}(\tau^i)[\varepsilon] \end{smallmatrix} \right)$. Note that at most one f_j is not zero since $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} I_{V_{L_{0 \times D}}^\tau} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} V_{L_{0 \times D}}^{T, \mathbf{0}}(\tau^i)[\varepsilon] \\ V_{L_{\lambda \times D}} V_{L_{0 \times D}}^{T, \mathbf{0}}(\tau^i)[\varepsilon] \end{smallmatrix} \right) = 1$ (cf. [36, Proof of Lemma 5.6]). Since N^1 is generated by M , we have $f_{j_2} \neq 0$. Consequently, $\mathcal{J} = \{j_1, j_2\}$ and $f_{j_1} = 0$. Namely,

$$N^1 = M^{j_1} \oplus M^{j_2}$$

and $V_{L_{\lambda \times D}} \cdot M^{j_1} = M^{j_2}$.

For any $k = 1, 2$, $v \in V_{L_{\lambda \times D}}$, and $w \in M^{j_2}$, define $f_{2, j_k}(v, x)w = \varphi_{j_k}(\text{pr}_{j_k}(Y_N(v, x)w))$. Then, $f_{2, j_k} \in I_{V_{L_{0 \times D}}^\tau} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} V_{L_{0 \times D}}^{T, \mathbf{0}}(\tau^i)[\varepsilon] \\ V_{L_{\lambda \times D}} V_{L_{0 \times D}}^{T, \mathbf{0}}(\tau^i)[\varepsilon] \end{smallmatrix} \right)$.

By Lemma 7.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_{L_{\lambda \times D}} \cdot M^{j_2} &= V_{L_{\lambda \times D}} \cdot (V_{L_{\lambda \times D}} \cdot M^{j_1}) = (V_{L_{\lambda \times D}} \cdot V_{L_{\lambda \times D}}) \cdot M^{j_1} \\ &= (V_{L_{0 \times D}}^\tau \oplus V_{L_{\lambda \times D}}) \cdot M^{j_1} = M^{j_1} \oplus M^{j_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence f_{2, j_1} and f_{2, j_2} are linearly independent (cf. [36, Proof of Lemma 5.6]).

This contradicts the fact that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} I_{V_{L_{0 \times D}}^\tau} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} V_{L_{0 \times D}}^{T, \mathbf{0}}(\tau^i)[\varepsilon] \\ V_{L_{\lambda \times D}} V_{L_{0 \times D}}^{T, \mathbf{0}}(\tau^i)[\varepsilon] \end{smallmatrix} \right) = 1$.

Hence M is a $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau$ -submodule of N . By Theorem 3.12 and Theorem 6.2, $V_{L_{C \times D}}^{T, \mathbf{0}}(\tau^i)[\varepsilon] \cong V_{L_{0 \times D}}^{T, \mathbf{0}}(\tau^i)[\varepsilon]$ as $V_{L_{0 \times D}}^\tau$ -modules. Using the same arguments as in [26, Lemma C.2], we can show that any irreducible $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau$ -module which is isomorphic to $V_{L_{0 \times D}}^{T, \mathbf{0}}(\tau^i)[\varepsilon]$ as $V_{L_{0 \times D}}^\tau$ -modules must be isomorphic to $V_{L_{C \times D}}^{T, \mathbf{0}}(\tau^i)[\varepsilon]$. Hence M is isomorphic to $V_{L_{C \times D}}^{T, \mathbf{0}}(\tau^i)[\varepsilon]$. $\square$

Theorem 7.10. *Let C be a τ -invariant self-dual $\mathcal{K}$ -code of length ℓ with minimum weight at least 4 and let D be a self-dual $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -code of the same length. Then $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau$ is a simple, rational, C_2 -cofinite, and CFT type vertex operator algebra. There are exactly 9 equivalence classes of irreducible $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau$ -modules which are represented by the following ones.*

- (1) $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau(\varepsilon)$, $\varepsilon = 0, 1, 2$.
- (2) $V_{L_{C \times D}}^{T, \mathbf{0}}(\tau^i)[\varepsilon]$, $i = 1, 2, \varepsilon = 0, 1, 2$.

Proof. It is clear that $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau$ is of CFT type. The simplicity of $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau$ is already mentioned. Since $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau$ is a direct sum of finitely many irreducible $V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^\tau$ -modules, $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau$ is C_2 -cofinite by [5]. The classification of irreducible $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau$ -modules follows from Propositions 7.8 and 7.9.

We shall show that $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau$ is rational. Let N be an $\mathbb{N}$ -graded weak $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau$ -module. Let M be the sum of irreducible $V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^\tau$ -submodules of N which are isomorphic to any of $V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}(\varepsilon)$, $V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^{T, \mathbf{0}}(\tau^i)[\varepsilon]$, $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}_3$, $i = 1, 2$. We denote by W the $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau$ -submodule of N generated by M . By Propositions 7.8 and 7.9, W is a completely reducible $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau$ -module. If the $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau$ -module N/W is not zero, then N/W has a $V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^\tau$ -submodule which is isomorphic to one of $V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}(\varepsilon)$, $V_{L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}}^{T, \mathbf{0}}(\tau^i)[\varepsilon]$, $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}_3$, $i = 1, 2$ by Propositions 7.8 and 7.9. This contradicts our choice of W . Hence $N/W = 0$ and consequently, $N = W$. This implies that $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau$ is rational. $\square$

Remark 7.11. In [24], it is shown that there exists a $\mathcal{K}$ -code C of length 12 and a $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -code D of the same length, which satisfy the conditions in Theorem 7.10, and such that $L_{C \times D}$ is isomorphic to the Leech lattice Λ . In this case τ corresponds to a unique fixed-point-free isometry of Λ of order 3 up to conjugacy (cf. [6]). Hence, as a special case of Theorem 7.10, we obtain the classification of irreducible modules, the rationality, and the C_2 -cofiniteness for V_Λ^τ .

Remark 7.12. For $\ell = 4$, let C and D be a $\mathcal{K}$ -code and a $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -code with generating matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & a & 0 & 0 \\ b & b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a & a \\ 0 & 0 & b & b \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

respectively. It is clear that C is τ -invariant self-dual and D is self-dual. Then the lattice $L_{\mathbf{0} \times D}$ is a $\sqrt{2}(E_8)$ -lattice and $L_{C \times D}$ is an E_8 -lattice. Note that D is the $[4, 2, 3]$ ternary tetra code.

We can not apply Theorem 7.10 to $V_{L_{C \times D}}^\tau$ since the minimum weight of C equals 2.

References

- [1] T. Abe, Fusion rules for the charge conjugation orbifold, *J. Algebra* **242** (2001), 624–655.
- [2] T. Abe, G. Buhl and C. Dong, Rationality, regularity, and C_2 -cofiniteness, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **356** (2004), 3391–3402.
- [3] T. Abe and C. Dong, Classification of irreducible modules for the vertex operator algebra V_L^+ : General case, *J. Algebra* **273** (2004), 657–685.
- [4] T. Abe, C. Dong and H.S. Li, Fusion rules for the vertex operator algebra $M(1)$ and V_L^+ , *Comm. Math. Phys.* **253** (2005), 171–219.
- [5] G. Buhl, A spanning set for VOA modules, *J. Algebra* **254** (2002), 125–151.
- [6] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker and R. A. Wilson, *An atlas of finite groups*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985.
- [7] R. Dijkgraaf, C. Vafa, E. Verlinde, and H. Verlinde, The operator algebra of orbifold models, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **123** (1989), 485–526.
- [8] C. Dong, Vertex algebras associated with even lattices, *J. Algebra* **161** (1993), 245–265.
- [9] C. Dong, Twisted modules for vertex algebras associated with even lattices, *J. Algebra* **165** (1994), 91–112.
- [10] C. Dong, C.H. Lam, K. Tanabe, H. Yamada and K. Yokoyama, $\mathbb{Z}_3$ symmetry and W_3 algebra in lattice vertex operator algebras, *Pacific J. Math.* **215** (2004), 245–296.
- [11] C. Dong and J. Lepowsky, *Generalized Vertex Algebras and Relative Vertex Operators*, Progress in Math., Vol. **112**, Birkhauser, Boston, 1993.
- [12] C. Dong and J. Lepowsky, The algebraic structure of relative twisted vertex operators, *J. Pure and Applied Algebra* **110** (1996), 259–295.
- [13] C. Dong, H.S. Li and G. Mason, Simple currents and extensions of vertex operator algebras, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **180** (1996), 671–707.

- [14] C. Dong, H.S. Li and G. Mason, Twisted representations of vertex operator algebras, *Math. Ann.* **310** (1998), 571–600.
- [15] C. Dong, H.S. Li and G. Mason, Modular-invariance of trace functions in orbifold theory and generalized moonshine, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **214** (2000), 1–56.
- [16] C. Dong, H.S. Li, G. Mason and S. P. Norton, Associative subalgebras of the Griess algebra and related topics, in: *Proc. of the Conference on the Monster and Lie algebras at The Ohio State University, May 1996*, ed. by J. Ferrar and K. Harada, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin-New York, 1998, 27–42.
- [17] C. Dong and G. Mason, On quantum Galois theory, *Duke Math. J.* **86** (1997), 305–321.
- [18] C. Dong, G. Mason, and Y. Zhu, Discrete series of the Virasoro algebra and the moonshine module, *Proc. Sympos. Math. Amer. Math. Soc.* **56** (1994), 295–316.
- [19] C. Dong and K. Nagatomo, Representations of vertex operator algebra V_L^+ for rank one lattice L , *Comm. Math. Phys.* **202** (1999), 169–195.
- [20] C. Dong and G. Yamskulna, Vertex operator algebras, generalized doubles and dual pairs, *Math. Z.* **241** (2002), 397–423.
- [21] I. B. Frenkel, Y. Huang and J. Lepowsky, *On axiomatic approaches to vertex operator algebras and modules*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **104**, 1993.
- [22] I. B. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, *Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster*, Pure and Applied Math., Vol. **134**, Academic Press, 1988.
- [23] I. Frenkel and Y. Zhu, Vertex operator algebras associated to representations of affine and Virasoro algebras, *Duke Math. J.* **66** (1992), 123–168.
- [24] K. Kitazume, C.H. Lam and H. Yamada, Decomposition of the moonshine vertex operator algebra as Virasoro modules, *J. Algebra* **226** (2000), 893–919.

- [25] K. Kitazume, C.H. Lam and H. Yamada, 3-state Potts model, moonshine vertex operator algebra and 3A elements of the monster group, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, No. **23** (2003), 1269–1303.
- [26] C. H. Lam, H. Yamada, and H. Yamauchi, McKay’s observation and vertex operator algebras generated by two conformal vectors of central charge $1/2$, IMRP Int. Math. Res. Pap. **2005**, 117–181.
- [27] C.H. Lam and H. Yamada, $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ codes and vertex operator algebras, *J. Algebra* **224** (2000), 268–291.
- [28] J. Lepowsky, Calculus of twisted vertex operators, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **82** (1985), 8295–8299.
- [29] J. Lepowsky and H.S. Li, *Introduction to Vertex Operator Algebras and their Representations*, Progress in Mathematics, **227**, Birkhauser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2004.
- [30] H. Li, Determining fusion rules by $A(V)$ -modules and bimodules, *J. Algebra* **212** (1999), 515–556.
- [31] H.S. Li, Some finiteness properties of regular vertex operator algebras, *J. Algebra* **212** (1999), 495–514.
- [32] H.S. Li, The regular representation, Zhu’s $A(V)$ -theory, and induced modules, *J. Algebra* **238** (2001), 159–193.
- [33] M. Miyamoto, 3-State Potts model and automorphism of vertex operator algebra of order 3, *J. Algebra* **239** (2001), 56–76.
- [34] M. Miyamoto and K. Tanabe, Uniform product of $A_{g,n}(V)$ for an orbifold model V and G -twisted Zhu algebra, *J. Algebra* **274** (2004), 80–96.
- [35] K. Tanabe, On intertwining operators and finite automorphism groups of vertex operator algebras, *J. Algebra* **287** (2005), 174–198.
- [36] K. Tanabe and H. Yamada, The fixed point subalgebra of a lattice vertex operator algebra by an automorphism of order three, to appear in *Pacific J. Math.*
- [37] G. Yamskulna, C_2 -cofiniteness of vertex operator algebra V_L^+ when L is a rank one lattice, *Comm. Algebra* **32** (2004), 927–954.

- [38] Y. Zhu, Modular invariance of characters of vertex operator algebras, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **9** (1996), 237–302.